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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for trash racks and intakes addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability.

The primary purpose of the trash rack is to protect the equipment by keeping floating debris, leaves, and trash from entering the turbines. The primary purpose of the intake is to divert water at the river/reservoir source and deliver the required flow into the penstocks which in turn feed the hydropower plant.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Water Conveyances → Trash Racks and Intakes

1.1.1 Components

The components of the trash rack and intake systems are those features that directly or indirectly contribute to the efficiency of water conveyance operations. The trash rack system is made up of the trash rack itself along with its cleaning and monitoring components. The intake system is comprised primarily of the intake structure, intake gates, and hoisting machinery.

Trash Rack: The primary function of trash racks is to protect equipment, such as wicket gates and turbines, from debris that is too large to pass through without causing harm. The trash rack is probably the single most important debris control device [1]. Typically, a trash rack consists of stationary rows of parallel carbon steel bars located at the dam intake.

Trash Rake: The function of the trash rake is to remove any debris that accumulates on the trash rack. By cleaning clogged racks, trash rakes reduce head differential. Rakes vary in size to accommodate a variety of debris sizes. Rakes also vary in level of automation with some plants using manual trash rakes and others using mechanical systems.

Trash Conveyor: The function of the trash conveyor is to remove trash cleaned from trash racks. Trash conveyors reduce cost by eliminating the need for manual trash removal.

Monitoring System: The function of a monitoring system is to measure head differential across a trash rack. The measurements can then be used to schedule trash cleaning or justify improvements.

Intake: The function of an intake is to divert water from a source such as a river, reservoir, or forebay under controlled conditions into the penstocks leading to the power plant. Intakes are designed to deliver the required flow over the desired range of headwater elevations with maximum hydraulic efficiency.

Intake Structures: Intake structures are commonly built into the forebay side of the dam immediately adjacent to the turbine. Another common intake design is a tower structure connected to a penstock. Tower intakes are often separate structures in the reservoir, typically constructed of reinforced concrete. Intake structures commonly house (1) trash
racks that prevent large debris and ice from entering the water passages and (2) gates or valves for controlling the flow of water and for dewatering of the intake for maintenance purposes.

**Intake Gates:** An intake gate is arranged to shut off the water delivery when the conduit system has to be emptied. Types of gates include hydraulically operated slide gates, roller and wheel-mounted gates, and radial gates.

**Stoplogs/Bulkhead Gates:** Stop logs and bulkhead gates are used to block water so that construction, maintenance, or repair work can be accomplished in a dry environment. Stop logs are stored in a secure storage yard, positioned by a crane and dropped into slots on the pier of a dam to form a wall against the water.

**Air Vents:** Air vents are typically incorporated in the intake structure and configured to prevent collapse of the penstock due to excessive vacuum when closing the intake gates.

**Hoisting Machinery:** Hoists are mechanical (electrically or manually driven), hydraulic (oil or water), or pneumatically operated machines used to raise and lower in place heavy water control features such as gates and stop logs.

![Figure 1: Illustrations of submerged intakes built into the face of the dam](image)
Figure 2: Tower intake structures (Left: Blue Ridge Dam, Fannin County, Georgia; Right: Hoover Dam, Clark County, Nevada/Mohave County, Arizona)

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Routinely monitor and record unit performance at CPL.
- Periodically compare the CPL to the PPL to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Routinely inspect trash racks for degradation.
- Trend trash rack degradation and adjust life expectancy accordingly.
- Routinely clean trash racks, regulated by visual inspection, timed intervals, or head differential monitoring.
- Routinely inspect and maintain trash rack cleaning systems (e.g. trash rakes, conveyors).
- Maintain documentation of IPL and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g. trash rack replacement/repair, trash rake addition/upgrade).
- Include industry knowledge for modern trash rack system components and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-References

- Civil – Penstocks, Tunnels, and Surge Tanks
- Civil – Flumes/Open Channels
- Civil – Draft Tube Gates
- Civil – Leakage and Releases
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Traditionally, trash racks were cleaned by hand with equipment developed by the personnel who used it (i.e., management and staff). Thus, these hand rakes became easier and easier to handle and some even had wheels. Even today, some hydropower plants clean their trash racks by hand. This requires intense manpower at times, particularly in the autumn when rivers are full of fallen leaves. The size and position of trash racks were influenced by the necessities of manual trash rack cleaning. Issues with manual cleaning of trash racks, including limitations on the flow rate and economic inefficiencies, led to mechanization of trash rack cleaners several decades ago. Initial mechanization involved trash racks that were crossed upwards by a chain driven scraper with the collected trash dumped into a cross belt. Chain-driven trash rack cleaning machines are still in use today at small hydropower plants and quickly evolved into the classical wire rope trash rack cleaning machines that are in use today at medium and large plants.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Currently used trash rack apparatus can be categorized by hydropower plant size. For medium-sized hydropower plants with cleaning lengths up to 65 feet, two types of trash rack cleaning machines are typically used: the classic wire rope trash rack cleaner, and more recently the hydraulic jib trash rack cleaner. For large-scaled hydropower plants, the wire rope trash rack cleaner is used.

While the wire rope type trash rack cleaner has been in use for about 100 years, many advances have been made by the way it is transported. Many solutions to the debris storage problem have been created with examples being integrated containers used as buffer storage containers towed by the cleaner and trucks that follow the trash rack cleaning machine under their own power or by being positioned on a platform connected to the cleaner. Wire rope type trash rack cleaners can be used for nearly unlimited cleaning lengths such as 200 feet. The inclination of the trash rack should be at least 10 degrees to the vertical.

The hydraulic jib trash rack cleaners, which have been manufactured for only a few years now, have a base frame with a travelling device along with a pivoted machine house with booms and a grab rake [10]. The revolving superstructure of the machine enables dropping of the trash beside or behind the railway of the trash rack cleaner. The grab rake is designed to pick up oversized trees as well as to push floating debris to the weir. It has a scraper sliding along the trash rack bars. The grab rake can be rotated to conform to the position of a tree or other debris. Therefore, floating debris can be pushed to the weir to be drifted and large debris, such as trees, can be picked up by the grab rake and disposed of. The cleaning length is limited to about 50 to 60 feet, with greater cleaning lengths requiring the use of telescopic beams. This device also makes possible the use of cleaning vertical trash racks.

Intakes are designed to deliver the required flow over the desired range of headwater elevations with maximum hydraulic efficiency. Modern design basis requirements include geologic, structural, hydraulic and environmental attributes. The intake design should shape the water passages such that transformation of static head to conduit velocity is gradual,
Eddy and head losses are minimized, and the formation of vortices at the intake are limited. Advancement in computer modeling technology has yielded a more accurate design of intake structures for hydrodynamic loads, and particularly for updated seismic criteria as specified by modern building codes.

Hydraulic head losses can be mitigated during the intake design by limiting the velocity of the water through the trash rack and minimizing the acceleration of the water to achieve a smooth rate of acceleration. Trash racks should not be exposed and the intake gate lintel should be submerged below the minimum forebay level to lessen potential problems caused by air entrainment.

3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

If trash racks are located at or near the water surface, visual inspection from the surface may be possible. If trash racks are located far enough below the water surface that they cannot be seen from the surface, divers, underwater cameras, and/or ROVs (Remotely Operated Vehicles) may be used to perform inspections.

“ROV’s may provide a more cost effective method for performing inspections – inspections that previously would have required risky diving operations or costly facilities dewatering [8].” The use of a new ROV system saved the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation more money in fixing one “serious problem” than the cost of the ROV [9]. “ROVs can often work in hazardous areas without requiring the dam to stop and tag out intakes and are not subject to diving limits of depth or duration [9].” Using sonar, ROV’s can also work in low and zero-visibility environments. Both still and sonar images taken with a ROV can be seen in Figures 3 and 4 on the following page.

Plants should use manual or automated measurement tools whenever possible to monitor and record head differentials across trash racks to determine energy losses. Data from these measurements can be used to schedule trash rack cleanings and can be incorporated into systems for unit, plant, and system optimization [7]. When head differential data is used to quantify lost production, the calculated economic losses can be used to justify funding for improvements in trash rack cleaning methods and/or trash rack design [7].

The unique orientation of the intake structure in relation to the incoming water may have a significant impact on the overall effectiveness of the intake. Civil aspects of intakes include not only the structure, but also the gates that control the flow. Intake gate life expectancy should be at least 50 years, however corrosive water chemistry, poor coating performance and lack of maintenance can greatly shorten service life [11].

Hydro plant structures have design features to accommodate gates. These features include slots in piers and walls, and steel embedments that provide bearing/sealing surfaces for the gates. The installation of the gates also typically requires hoist lifting machinery. As the hydro plant ages, the intake gates are subject to wear, corrosion and physical damage. Seals other than metallic are subject to environmental deterioration. Metallic seals are subject to
wear. Coating systems can wear or fail exposing steel to corrosion. The hoist lifting systems are subject to mechanical wear.

Concrete structures should be inspected for cracking and spalling, and observed cracks should be monitored to determine if the cracks are progressing or dormant. It is essential to note if the concrete defects are structural or non-structural. Although non-structural distress such as local spalling due to insufficient concrete cover may be unsightly, it is less likely to need to be addressed through remediation than structural cracking. Guides available to assist with concrete condition assessment include U.S. Army Corps of Engineers Manual EM-1110-2-2002, the U.S. Bureau of Reclamation Guide to Concrete Repair, and the American Concrete Institute Standards 201.1 and 364.1R.

Efficient and timely cleaning of trash racks can have a significant impact on the plant’s efficiency and generation. Trash racks capture debris on their upstream surface which creates an energy (head) loss as water passes through them [6]. This energy loss can be excessive when the rack is clogged, reducing the net head for generation and potentially causing a significant reduction in plant efficiency. Although hydraulic losses due to debris accumulation can be costly, they are one of the most common avoidable losses occurring in hydropower plants [2]. Experience has shown that custom-engineered cleaning of trash racks can provide annual power production increases of up to 25% [7]. While there is a cost for cleaning equipment and cleaning operations, the benefits can be significant. Improved trash rack design can also improve efficiency and generation for clean, unclogged racks.

“If there is a need to intercept trash with a trash rack, then there is a need to remove the intercepted trash so that the flow of the water will not be hindered [6].” Some hydro plants...
have such a relatively small and/or infrequent debris load that cleaning can be carried out manually. Other plants have large debris loads (Figure 5), which require mechanical cleaning. Selection of trash rack cleaning equipment is site-specific.

![Debris removed from trash racks can range in size from aquatic milfoil to tree trunks, shown here [2]](image)

Plants located in colder regions may have the additional problem of frazil ice accumulation on trash racks. This ice affects trash rack efficiency in the same manner as debris, clogging the trash rack and reducing the net generation head. In some cases frazil ice may be removed by trash rakes, but in others, additional systems are needed to prevent the accumulation of frazil ice [3]. See the discussion on frazil ice prevention in the following section for more information.

The frequency of trash rack cleaning is site-specific and will vary from season to season at each plant. Cleaning systems should be operated as frequently as needed to maintain plant efficiency and capacity. Using head differential data as discussed in the above section, an automated cleaning system can be installed. See the discussion on automated trash rakes in the following section for more information.

### 3.3 Maintenance

As described in the system components, trash racks traditionally have been made of parallel bars, and such installations have often served well for many decades. Carbon steel trash racks typically need a protective coating, such as epoxy paint, to increase their life expectancy, particularly if portions of the trash rack are periodically exposed to the atmosphere. In some cases, it is cheaper to replace structurally weakened racks than it is to repaint them periodically [6].

When trash racks are replaced, consideration should be given to improve trash rack design, including modifications to bar shape and increased corrosion protection. Hydrodynamically shaped bars have lower head losses, are less affected by flow-induced vibration, and are more
easily cleaned [4]. To protect against corrosion, stainless steel, high density polyethylene (HDPE), and fiber reinforced polymer (FRP) trash racks are available. The life expectancy of steel trash racks is typically 15 to 35 years and 25 to 50 years for plastic or fiberglass trash racks [3]. Some installations also use cathodic protection systems to combat corrosion. These systems create a galvanic cell between the trash rack and an attached metal. The attached metal suffers corrosion, thereby protecting the trash rack [6]. Additional guidance in the replacement and detailed design of trash racks can be found in *The Guide to Hydropower Mechanical Design* [6].

In colder regions where frazil ice accumulation is a problem, it may be cost effective to take steps in preventing ice buildup. One approach is to install air bubblers or water circulating pumps at the bottom of the racks providing a thermal change of water temperature. Another approach is to alter the conductivity of the trash racks through replacement or modification. Installing non-conductive racks (HDPE or FRP) can usually solve the problem. If metal racks are used and they project above the surface of the water, a physical non-thermal conducting break can be installed just below the water surface. This will prevent below freezing temperatures from being transferred into the water through the trash rack. Electrically heating the bars has also been used to prevent ice buildup, but the cost of doing so has not been proven effective or economical [3].

“The main problem with trash removal is that it can be labor intensive. All improvements or upgrades to the trash raking system that can help reduce costs and improve generation output should be considered [3].” An estimated 5% to 25% increase in power production can be seen with the addition of a custom engineered trash cleaning system, and the cost of these upgrades is usually justifiable [7]. The efficiency gained can be quite significant [5]. One utility determined that $500,000 per year could be recovered from trash-related problems at one of their “smaller” plants’, and $250,000 per year at one of their “larger” plants [7]. There is a variety of trash rake systems currently available on the market (Figure 6). These systems range in size as well as level of automation, so they are applicable to almost every plant situation. The systems can be set to clean continuously, at a set interval, and/or whenever differential head reaches a specified level. Conveyor systems can also be installed to reduce the cost of trash removal (Figure 7). Due to the variety of trash rake options on the market, each plant must evaluate the type of rake that will benefit them the most. “Prior to selecting a particular type of rake or manufacturer, the owner needs to consider the physical location of the machine, the type of trash to be handled, and the complexity of the design and system used to run the trash rake [3].”
Surface roughness in the intake can contribute to head loss. Since the intake structure is a relatively short portion of the water flow system, frictional head losses at the intake are usually insignificant, unless the surface profile has been extensively altered or deteriorated. The loss due to friction will increase as the intake walls roughen from cavitation or erosion in high flow areas. Cavitation frequently causes severe damage to concrete or steel surfaces and it may occur at sluice entrances and downstream from gate slots. Surface erosion resulting from debris is sometimes mistaken for cavitation, and cavitation damage may be difficult to determine from examination of the surface within the damaged area. Debris erosion may be identified by grooves in the direction of flow. For both causes, a potential upgrade on an intake having significant surface roughness or pitting would be to apply an epoxy concrete or cementitious repair mortar to the concrete surface. A wide range of these repair mortars are available having high bond strength and excellent workability likely to suit any concrete intake surface. In the case where damage has already occurred, metal-liner plates can be used to protect the concrete from the erosive action of cavitation. For heads above 150 feet, these liner plates should extend five feet downstream from the gate and should not terminate at a monolith joint or transition [10].

Another product that may be effective at reducing head loss at intakes is silicone based coatings used to prevent organic growth. This product also provides a very smooth surface on top of deteriorated areas on the interior intake surfaces. This coating system can be considered in lieu of repair mortar and liner plates in most cases. The potential upgrade to decrease the friction loss of an intake by applying a repair mortar, liner plate, or coating system is highly dependent on accessibility and will vary on a site-specific basis.

Intakes can also introduce head loss to the system through geometric changes in the intake wall structure. Intake walls may have slots to accommodate vertical gates or stoplogs. While the plant is generating power and the stoplogs or gates are removed or raised, these slots present irregular surfaces for flowing water. The void space of these slots will create minor losses due to shape change. If the gates are not used as emergency closures in the conveyance
system, slot fillers can be used to significantly reduce these losses. Slot fillers are often steel or aluminum frames that fit snug inside the slots providing a smooth surface for flowing water.

Other water conveyance issues that can negatively impact plant performance include valve issues, restrictions in discharge channels, and sedimentation. Each of these issues affect efficiency in proportion to the amount of head loss introduced to the conveyance system.

Efficiency can be gained by utilizing low-loss valves, such as gate valves, rather than higher-loss butterfly valves. Additionally, a partially open valve will cause more loss than a fully open valve. Therefore, care must be taken to ensure all valves are completely open when the system is in operation.

Restrictions in discharge channels, such as weirs and bridge piers, can cause water to back up behind them, increasing back pressure on the generation units and decreasing net available head. The location of these structures plays a critical role in whether plant performance is affected. Therefore, it is important to identify potential effects on generation when considering the installation of such a structure. Additionally, natural obstructions downstream from the dam, such as debris build-up or beaver dams, can cause similar decreases in hydroelectric production. Care should be taken to maintain a clear discharge channel, free of any major obstructions.

Plant efficiency can also be adversely affected by sedimentation in the reservoir behind the dam. Upstream bed sedimentation can partially block an intake, reducing the effective flow area and increasing the intake velocities, causing increased head loss at the intake. This issue could be remediated by occasional dredging of the reservoir immediately upstream of the dam.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to new trash rack design information from vendors to establish the achievable unit loss characteristics of replacement racks.

The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit loss characteristics determined by unit testing/monitoring.

The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the unit loss characteristics at the time of commissioning. This condition is used to determine the reference values in the calculations detailed in this best practice. These characteristics may be determined from vendor information and/or model testing conducted prior to or during unit commissioning.

The CPL should be compared with the IPL to determine decreases in trash rack efficiency over time. Additionally, the PPL should be identified when considering plant upgrades. For quantification of the PPL with respect to the CPL, see Quantification for Avoidable Losses and/or Potential Improvements – Integration: Example Calculation
4.2 Data Analysis

The key measurements for a generating unit N include:

- $\Delta H_N$ – Head differential across the trash rack (ft)
- $\Delta H_{RN}$ – Reference head differential across the trash rack (ft)*
- $Q_N$ – Unit flow rate (cfs)
- $\gamma$ – Specific weight of water (62.4 pcf)
- $T$ – Measurement interval for $\Delta H_N$ (hr)
- $M_E$ – Market value of energy ($$/MWh)
- $E_{AN}$ – Actual energy generation (MWh)
- $E_{RN}$ – Reference energy generation (MWh)*

*Reference values are found when the trash rack for a given unit is in its original (clean) state

Measurements can be near real-time or periodic (hourly, daily, weekly, monthly) depending on the site details.

4.3 Integrated Improvements

Utilization: Key Computations

Avoidable power loss $P_N$ (MW) associated with $\Delta H_N$:

$$P_N = Q_N \gamma (\Delta H_N - \Delta H_{RN})/(737,562)$$

where 737,562 is the conversion from pound-feet per second to megawatts

Avoidable energy loss $E_N$ (MWh) associated with $\Delta H_N$:

$$E_N = P_N T$$

Avoidable revenue loss $R_N$ ($) associated with $\Delta H_N$:

$$R_N = M_E E_N$$

Avoidable loss efficiency, $L_{eff,N}$ (%)

$$L_{eff,N} = (E_{AN}/E_{RN})100$$

Note that the costs associated with a trash cleaning operation should be established for comparison with the associated revenue losses and used to schedule cleaning, to evaluate and justify new cleaning equipment or trash rack re-design, etc.

Integration: Example Calculation

A theoretical hydroelectric plant has a steel trash rack that has become clogged over time. The hydraulic properties of the trash rack are as follows:

- Head loss across clogged trash rack = 4.0 ft
- Head loss across clean trash rack = 0.5 ft
Average flow across trash rack = 800 cfs

The avoidable power loss can be calculated as:

$$\Delta P = \frac{(800 \text{ cfs})(62.4 \text{ pcf})(4.0 \text{ ft} - 0.5 \text{ ft})}{737,562} = 0.24 \text{ MW}$$

At an estimated market value of energy of $65/MWh, and assuming the plant produces power 75% of the time, the market value of power loss can be calculated as:

$$0.75 (0.24 \text{ MW})(65/\text{MWh})(8,760 \text{ hours/year}) = $102,500/\text{year}$$

This analysis indicates a significant avoidable energy and revenue loss over the performance assessment interval.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:


State of the Art:
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for penstocks, tunnels, and surge tanks addresses how innovations in technology, proper condition assessments, and improvements in operation and maintenance practices can contribute to maximizing overall plant performance and reliability. The primary purpose of a penstock or tunnel is to transport water from the intake and deliver it to the hydraulic turbine in the powerhouse. Once the water has been delivered to the turbine, it is then released downstream into the discharge channel.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Water Conveyances → Penstocks, Tunnels, & Surge Tanks

1.1.1 Components

Penstocks: Penstocks are pressurized conduits that transport water from the first free water surface to a turbine. Penstocks can be either exposed or built integral with the dam structure as shown in Figure 1. Characteristics of functional penstocks are structural stability, minimal water leakage, and maximum hydraulic performance. Specific features of a penstock system include:

- **Main Shell Material**: Typically penstock shells are constructed of large round steel cross-sections. Fabricated welded steel is generally considered to be the better option when dealing with larger heads and diameters; however, pre-stressed or reinforced concrete, glass-reinforced plastic (GRP), and PVC plastic pipes are also utilized.

- **Shell Linings and Coatings**: The protective membrane applied to the interior (linings) and exposed exterior surfaces (coatings) which provide corrosion protection and water tightness.

- **Connection Hardware**: Includes rivets, welds, bolts, etc.

- **Unrestrained Joints**: Includes expansion joints or sleeve-type couplings spaced along the penstock span to allow for longitudinal expansion of the pipe due to changes in temperature.

- **Air Valves**: The primary function of air valves is to vent air to and from the penstock during both operating conditions and watering/dewatering of the penstock.

- **Control Valves**: Includes bypass, filling, shutoff valves, and gate valves used during watering and dewatering, redirecting flows, emergency shutoff, etc [2].

- **Manholes and Other Penetrations**: Includes items directly attached to the penstock and exposed to the internal pressure such as manholes, air vents and, filling line connections.
• **Above Ground Supports**: Includes saddles, ring girders, and anchor/thrust blocks which are susceptible to settlement or movement. The shell material and exterior coating are also more likely to experience premature failure at support locations due to high stresses and surface irregularities and should be periodically inspected.

• Surrounding soil backfill or concrete encasement for below ground structures.

• **Appurtenances**: Includes transitions, bends, tees, elbows, and reducers. Appurtenances are especially susceptible to excessive vibrations, aging, and lining loss.

• **Dewatering Drains**: Drains located typically at low points along the penstock span used during dewatering. Since drains are prone to blockage or leakage, regular inspection and cleaning of drains should be implemented [2].

• **Instrumentation**: Any instrumentation associated with water conveyance components such as penstocks and tunnels. This can include pressure relief systems, emergency gate control system, and valve operators.

![Exposed Penstocks at the Appalachia Hydroelectric Plant, Polk County, Tennessee](image-url)
Tunnels: Tunnels are underground passageways commonly in rock used to carry water for power between two points. A typical arrangement is to convey water for power in a tunnel at low head, followed by a transition to a steep penstock to the powerhouse, with surge handled in a surge tank at the transition. A tunnel can be pressurized or unpressurized. Unpressurized tunnel flow is similar to open channel flow. This document addresses tunnels with pressurized flow. Depending on the condition of the surrounding rock or available tunneling technology, tunnels can be lined with concrete, shotcrete, or unlined. Different linings and rock conditions will determine the amount of water leakage and head loss through tunnels.

Surge Tanks: The surge tank is an integral part of the penstock system whose purpose is to help provide plant stability and minimize water hammer by limiting the rise and fall of pressure within the penstock. Surge tanks are also used to help regulate flow and improve turbine speed regulation. There are two categories of surge tanks: conventional open surge tank and closed air cushion surge chamber. The open surge tank can have various shapes (horizontal area as a function of elevation) and overflow arrangements. Any space that may be temporarily occupied by water during transient operation should be regarded as a surge tank (e.g. aeration pipe, gate shaft, access shaft). The air cushion chamber can reduce the total volume of the tank and can be designed for less favorable topographic conditions; however, maintenance may be needed for compressed air compensation. Surge tanks are typically excavated underground and lined with steel plate, wood, or reinforced concrete. They experience issues similar to that of penstocks such as deterioration or corrosion of tank material, breakdown in coatings and linings, and damage or deterioration to tank mechanical appurtenances. Figure 3 shows an example of a surge tank erected on the ground surface.
In some hydropower stations, the tailrace also consists of pressurized tunnels with or without surge tanks.

![Steel Surge Tank at Isawa II Power Station in Japan](image)

Figure 3: Steel Surge Tank at Isawa II Power Station in Japan

### 1.2 Summary of Best Practices

#### 1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Routine monitoring and recording of head loss through penstocks and tunnels.
- Trend head loss through penstocks and tunnels, comparing Current Performance Level (CPL) to Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.
- Maintain documentation of Installed Performance Level (IPL) and update when modification to components is made (e.g. replacement of lining or coating, addition of slot fillers).
- Include industry acknowledged “up-to-date” choices for penstock and tunnel component materials and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.

#### 1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Develop a routine inspection and maintenance plan.
- If the exterior surface of the penstock is not already coated, provide exterior coating to protect penstock shell and extend life.
- Routinely inspect exterior supports or anchor blocks for signs of settlement or erosion. Misalignment of the penstock could also indicate slope stability issues or settlement.
- Regularly inspect joints for leakage, corroded or missing rivets or bolts, cracked welds and for concrete penstocks deterioration of waterstops or gaskets.
- If build-up within the penstock is present, recommend high-pressure cleaning. If organic build-up is a persistent problem, recommend replacing liner with a fouling release type product.

- Repair/replace interior liners as required to prevent shell corrosion and extend the penstock shell life.

- Routinely inspect tunnels for signs of erosion or leakage.

- Water hammer or transient flow is an unavoidable and critical issue in any pressurized water conveyance system. Water hammer can result from any load variations, load rejections, operating mode changes, unit startup and shutdown, and operational errors. Water hammer and transient flow can cause major problems ranging from noise and vibrations to pipe collapse and total system failure. Therefore, water hammer protection devices such as surge tanks, air chambers, air valves, and pressure relief valves should be routinely inspected to ensure they are functioning properly. In addition, flow and load control devices such as the governor, turbine wicket gates, and penstock control valves should be routinely checked to prevent water hammer incidences. If found to be suspicious, measurements and further investigation should be immediately performed.

### 1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- Civil – Trash Racks and Intakes Best Practice
- Civil – Leakage and Releases Best Practice
- Civil – Flumes/Open Channels Best Practice
- Civil – Draft Tube Gates Best Practice

### 2.0 Technology Design Summary

#### 2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Coatings and linings for penstocks provide protection for the shell material and are critical to the performance and longevity of the penstock [6]. Coating and lining technology has rapidly evolved in recent years. Penstocks in many hydroelectric facilities have not been re-lined in several years or have only applied local repairs to the original linings. For this reason, it is crucial that plants perform routine evaluations as to the condition of both linings and coatings so as to avoid costly repairs or loss of revenue due to unscheduled shutdowns.

Historically, coal tar liners have been used to line the interior of penstocks. From the 1800’s to 1940 a molten coal tar was used with a 15 to 20 year expected life span. However, these liners became brittle with time which led to cracking. Coal tar enamels became readily used after 1940 with an expected life span of 20 to 30 years. These liners were discontinued after
the 1960’s due to health and environmental concerns over high Volatile Organic Compound (VOC) levels. Between 1960 and 1980, coal tar epoxies were used; however, due to thinner applications, these liners had only a 15 year life span. It was not till the 1980’s that high performance 100% epoxies were used (25 to 30 year life expectancy) [5]. Innovations in epoxy liners are rapidly evolving. Liners were originally used only to provide corrosion protection and water tightness; however, recent innovations in silicone and epoxy liners can provide resistance to build-up due to organic growth, reduction in frictional resistance, and an increase in water flow rate performance. Also, newer liners have longer life expectancies and limit costly maintenance or repair expenses.

Tunneling technology has also evolved over the last decades. In the 1950’s most pressurized tunnels and shafts were steel lined. Today, there are specialized techniques and design concepts for unlined, high-pressure tunnels, shafts, and air cushion surge chambers which have been developed and well-practiced in Europe and China. The cost of lining a meter of tunnel is often two to three times the cost of excavating the tunnel; therefore, new tunneling technology significantly saves in cost and construction time. This allows for the design of a larger cross-sectional area of tunnel with lower flow velocity. Larger tunnels are more tolerant of falling rocks and minor blockage along the tunnel floor given there is a rock trap at the end of the headrace tunnel. This trade-off in tunnel design and construction may not increase the head loss or leakage; however, the condition of the tunnel should be routinely inspected to prevent serious collapses or local tunnel blockages.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Penstocks are pressurized conduits designed to transport water from the first free water surface to the turbine with maximum hydraulic performance. By using state of the art technology for new liners such as silicone-based fouling release systems, the surface roughness of the penstock interior can be reduced (i.e. minimize frictional resistance) and organic buildup can be limited thus reducing head loss through the system. Advancement in computer modeling technology has also yielded more accurate penstock designs for hydrodynamic loading limiting head loss, reducing water hammer effects, and extending life expectancy of both liners and shell material. In addition computer modeling allows for more accurate design for updated seismic criteria per modern building codes.

It is important to periodically collect performance data on penstocks, tunnels, surge tank and associated components. Instrumentation technology is rapidly evolving and improving in accuracy and reliability. By using state-of-the-art technology, hydroelectric facilities can monitor pressure levels, movement, flow, temperature, stress, and strain. These measurements can alert plant personnel to any changes in performance levels or required maintenance. Also reliable performance data can be used to determine upgrade or modernization opportunities for water conveyance systems such as penstocks and tunnels.
State of the art tunneling technology allows for a larger excavation volume which reduces the flow velocity and thus reduces hydraulic head losses. The innovative containment principles and permeability control measures (e.g. grouting) used in tunnel design and construction can minimize water leakage through the rock mass.

3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

Since penstocks, tunnels, and surge tanks are exposed to occasional severe service conditions and are expected to perform reliably for extended periods of 50 years or more, they are prone to the following maintenance issues:

Deterioration of linings and coatings

- Corrosion/thinning of steel penstock shell and other steel components
- Leaking at joints/couplings
- Erosion or cavitation
- Organic growth on interior surfaces
- Localized buckling
- Air vent blockage or pressure relief valve malfunction
- Foundation settlement
- Slope instabilities

Sedimentation

Condition assessments of penstocks, tunnels, and surge tanks are conducted primarily by visual examination and physical measurements. The purpose of these inspections is to determine structural integrity, life expectancy, and necessary improvements of the conveyance components. Most parts of these components will be difficult to inspect. Typically, the interior inspections will require dewatering and will present a hazardous working environment, with poor ventilation, slippery surfaces, and steep inclines. Inspection of some components may require the use of divers or remote-controlled video equipment (e.g., remote-operated vehicles, or ROVs). If a penstock is buried or integral with the dam structure, an exterior inspection is not possible. Where exposed, the penstock exterior should be inspected during full operating pressure to detect any leakage [9]. Visual inspection typically includes assessments of corrosion, coatings, rivets/joints, general alignment,
foundation conditions, and stability of supporting and adjacent earth slopes. Non-destructive examination (NDE) testing, which should be performed on penstocks where accessible, includes shell thickness measurements and dimensional measurements for alignment, ovaling, and bulging. Additionally, concrete structures must be inspected for excessive cracking and pitting. Baseline crack maps should be prepared so that new or worsened conditions can be observed and documented [1].

It is important to schedule routine and thorough inspections of all penstock, tunnel, and surge tank components. This will help identify any defects or other maintenance issues. Through proper inspection, any unscheduled shutdowns for maintenance or repair can be minimized. When developing an inspection program, an important step in the planning phase is to acquire critical design and operating histories. This can include, but is not limited to, the initial design criteria, geotechnical/foundation information, as-built drawings, construction information, operation history, and records of previous maintenance issues [5].

Once a comprehensive history of the penstock, tunnel, and surge tank performance has been acquired, personnel can develop an inspection plan. A schedule should be implemented to periodically monitor maintenance issues. These inspections should be conducted at least once every five years [2].

Several factors can affect how often inspections of penstocks and tunnels should occur, including age, accessibility, public safety or environmental concerns, construction, and previous maintenance problems [2]. An efficient and comprehensive inspection plan, specific for each facility, should be developed after carefully considering all contributing factors. As previously noted, inspections of penstock and tunnel components generally require dewatering of the system. Therefore, inspections would ideally occur during scheduled unit outages to minimize system down time. See Tables 2-1 and 2-2 in Steel Penstock – Coating and Lining Rehabilitation: A Hydropower Technology Round-Up Report [5] for additional guidance in developing an inspection program.

3.2 Operations

Periodic flow measurements should be obtained to determine that the water conveyance system is functioning optimally. It is also important to routinely monitor changes in pressure within the water conveyance system.

Performing a hydraulic transient analysis consists of computer simulation of the water conveyance system and turbine-generator units to calculate pressure at all critical locations in the system [2]. The maximum operating pressures within the system can be determined through load rejection testing. Testing should be performed for a full range of operating conditions. The scope of measurement during the transient testing should include continuous records for the following:
• Pressures at the chosen points along the tunnel, penstock, immediately upstream and downstream of the turbine, and along the outlet tailrace tunnel;

• Pressures within the turbines: spiral case, head cover, under runner, and in the draft tube;

• Wicket gate openings;

• Angles of runner blades for the Kaplan turbines;

• Strokes of penstock control valves;

• Speed of turbine units;

• Torques acting on the coupling;

• Axial hydraulic thrust;

• Displacement and vibration of bearings.

The recorded data is very important for transient investigation and analysis. In addition, the following parameters are to be recorded intermittently during steady-state operations before and after transient conditions. Note that these values should agree with the corresponding values recorded continuously.

• Water levels in head reservoir and tailrace;

• Wicket gate openings and angle of runner blades for Kaplan turbines;

• Pressures in penstock, upstream and downstream of the powerhouse, and the tailrace tunnel;

• Pressures within the turbines: spiral case, head cover, under runner, and in the draft tube;

• Electric current and voltage in the generator;

• Angular speed of turbine units.

When observed and computer simulated values fit well with each other, the program of measurements and investigations could be shortened or revised. By determining the maximum and minimum operating pressures, a comparison to the original system design can be made which can help to identify significant operational changes and potential upgrade needs.

In addition, it is important to ensure that the penstock emergency gates are functioning properly, i.e. gates open and close freely with no binding or leakage. Emergency gate tests at balanced head should be performed on an annual basis and every 5 to 10 years for
unbalanced head. Opening/closing times and operating pressure should be recorded for future testing comparison [2].

During plant operations, it is important to routinely inspect the exterior surfaces of penstocks for signs of leakage while penstock is under hydrostatic pressure. If any leaks are discovered, the source should be promptly identified and repair performed. Leakage not only increases head loss over time, it may be indicative of more severe issues such as slope instability, foundation movement, penstock misalignment, severe corrosion, or joint failure.

3.3 Maintenance

Penstocks and tunnels carry water from the intake to the generator and introduce head loss to the system through hydraulic friction and geometric changes in the water passageway such as bends, contractions, and expansions. Reduction of these losses through upgrades or replacement can improve plant efficiency and generation. However, because of the relatively small available efficiency improvements, these actions are unlikely to be justifiable on the grounds of reducing head losses alone [8]. Therefore, upgrading or replacing penstock and tunnel structures will typically be economically viable only if the plant is already scheduled for a shutdown to address other related improvements or maintenance concerns.

Although upgrades to penstocks and tunnels will have a minor effect on generation efficiency, maintenance and life-extending repairs of these structures are very important. Since any unscheduled repair generally requires dewatering of the system with subsequent loss of power production, any plant shutdowns to repair penstock and tunnel structures will have a significant effect on plant availability and generation.

Evaluating head loss in penstocks and tunnels can point to ways of increased plant efficiency. Head loss can be caused by joints and bends, changes in diameter, and roughness and irregularities of conveyance structures. The geometry of a penstock or tunnel structure is not easily modified. Therefore, decreasing head losses by removing or reducing the number of existing joints and bends is not usually an economically viable undertaking. However, if replacement of a penstock or tunnel structure is required for other maintenance reasons, a detailed evaluation of rerouting the waterway to increase efficiency would be warranted. In this case, the penstock or tunnel material and diameter should also be a design consideration. *Friction Factors for Large Conduits Flowing Full* [3] gives Darcy friction factors for different conduit materials and construction types as a function of Reynolds number (Re). These friction coefficients are directly proportional to the total frictional head loss. Therefore, if replacement is required, selection of lower friction material and construction types would be integral in reducing head loss through the penstock or tunnel structure. Head losses are also proportional to the square of the velocity, so the appropriate diameter should be verified. This is particularly important at older facilities where the hydraulic capacity requirements of the penstock or tunnel structure may have changed over time.
The internal surface roughness of penstocks contributes to head loss and can often be reduced to yield an increase in efficiency. “In one plant studied where the penstock is 130 feet long a net gain of head of 0.65 feet could be realized by replacing the riveted penstocks with welded steel, spun-tar lined penstocks. The generation gain would be more than one million kWh per year [8].” Surface roughness reductions can also be achieved by coating the inside of the penstock. Many different coating materials are available and the use of a specific material type will be dependent on project-specific needs. Some coatings not only improve surface roughness but can also prevent organic buildup. These coatings, such as silicone-based fouling release systems, should be considered where bio-fouling is a design consideration. Surface roughness may also be reduced by scrubbing and cleaning the interior of the penstock, removing buildup of foreign material such as invasive zebra mussels as shown in Figure 4. In one study, the surface roughness of two identical steel conduits was examined. One conduit surface was considered “quite smooth” while the other had accumulated significant organic buildup. The average Darcy friction factors under normal operating conditions were calculated at 0.13 for the smooth pipe and 0.20 for the pipe with buildup [3]. By restoring similarly affected penstocks to their original surface conditions, plant operators could expect comparable results, possibly reducing friction head losses by up to 35%, as in the case study.

Figure 4: Invasive Zebra Mussels on Steel Surface

Head loss in tunnels can be caused by similar hydraulic phenomena that affect head loss in penstocks such as sharp bends in routing, variations in diameter, and surface roughness of the tunnel wall. Tunnels can be both lined and unlined, and the roughness of the wall “relative to its cross-sectional dimensions is fundamental to the efficiency with which it will convey...
Typical causes of head loss in tunnels that have the potential for efficiency upgrades include rock fallout in unlined tunnels, significant and abrupt changes in rock tunnel diameter, and organic buildup. “Slime growth in tunnels can be a serious problem...one plant is on record as losing 3% of maximum power due to this [8].” It should be noted that by relieving one problem, others may emerge. Removing organic buildup can expose rough linings or rock walls that have comparable head loss characteristics. Perhaps the best technique for improving efficiencies in tunnels is to decrease surface roughness by either filling in large cavities in the rock wall with grout or installing some type of lining. “A major modification for substantial reduction in head loss is the installation of concrete lining (or to a lesser extent a paved invert) in a formerly unlined tunnel [8].” Lining or grouting the tunnel wall can result in an increase in efficiency by reducing leakage into the surrounding rock which can reduce the available generation flow.

Penstock shell thickness measurements need to be taken and monitored periodically to identify losses in thickness, which must then be compared with minimum acceptable thickness values. If shell thinning exceeds acceptable values for structural integrity, corrective actions must be taken [9]. Deteriorated penstocks may be rehabilitated by patching at localized areas of need, lining with a material such as fiberglass to reinforce the structure of the penstock, or replacing the existing penstock [7].

Figure 5: Exposed Portion of Penstock at Center Hill Hydro Plant in DeKalb Co., Tennessee

Another concern for penstock structural integrity is ovalization or out-of-roundness due to improper installation or design. If this occurs, the penstock diameter should be measured at various locations along its length and recorded to help monitor any geometric changes. Other
possible structural problems that must be carefully monitored include penstock alignment, pinhole leaks, and localized shell buckling. Additionally, it is important to carefully inspect the shell liner for protrusions, caused by organic growth, marine organisms (e.g., mussels), and degradation of the linings or coatings – all of which can impede water flow [2]. Ultrasonic devices can be utilized for determining shell thickness and rivet integrity. There have also been advances in remote-controlled video equipment (e.g., ROVs) for use in inspections of penstocks and intakes where access is limited that allow for safe and efficient inspections. Portions of penstocks that cannot be dewatered or readily dewatered should be periodically inspected by a diver or an ROV. For more information on non-destructive testing methods see Steel Penstocks [9].

After the inspection, an evaluation should be done to determine if corrective actions need to be taken and what is the best way to implement them. The evaluation of penstock and tunnel components should be performed by a qualified individual or team to determine the system’s reliability to perform per the original design criteria and to make recommendations for future inspection frequency and areas of focus.

The key to improving system performance through penstock and tunnel component rehabilitation can be summarized as follows: 1) Development of an inspection/maintenance program based on individual system needs; 2) Effective implementation of the inspection program; 3) Proper evaluation of inspection results; 4) Recommendations for rehabilitation and repairs with focus on efficiency improvements and service life extension; and 5) Execution of upgrades and repairs with limited system shutdown time. Establishing a proper maintenance program can reduce the occurrence of unscheduled shutdowns and efficiency losses in penstock and tunnel components.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental equations for evaluating efficiency through penstocks and tunnels is the Darcy-Weisbach equation for head loss due to friction and the equation for head loss due to minor losses from geometric irregularities such as gate slots and bends. Avoidable head losses can be directly related to overall power/energy loss and subsequent loss of revenue for the plant. These equations are defined as follows:

Avoidable head loss due to friction, $\Delta h_f$ (ft), from the Darcy-Weisbach equation:

$$\Delta h_f = \Delta f \frac{L v^2}{D 2g}$$

Where:

- $\Delta f$ is the difference in Darcy friction factors computed for the existing roughness conditions and roughness conditions after potential upgrade
- $L$ is the length of the conveyance component (ft)
· \( V \) is the average flow velocity or flow rate per cross-sectional area (ft/s)

· \( D \) is the hydraulic diameter (ft)

· \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (ft/s\(^2\))

Avoidable head loss due to minor losses (e.g., gate slots), \( \Delta h_m \) (ft):

\[
\Delta h_m = \Delta K \frac{V^2}{2g}
\]

Where:

· \( \Delta K \) is the difference in minor head loss coefficients computed for existing wall irregularities from gate slots and for conditions with irregularities removed by use of slot fillers after potential upgrades.

· \( V \) is the average flow velocity or flow rate per cross-sectional area (ft/s)

· \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (ft/s\(^2\))

Other key values required to complete the computations for avoidable head losses include the dimensionless Reynolds number, \( Re \), Darcy friction factor, \( f \), kinematic viscosity, \( \nu \) (ft\(^2\)/s), and equivalent roughness \( \varepsilon \) (ft). If the Reynolds number and relative roughness of the penstock shell or tunnel interior are known, the Darcy friction factor can be determined using either the Moody diagram or the associated Colebrook-White equation. If exact relative roughness measurements are unavailable, an approximate Darcy friction factor can be determined by comparing the existing conditions with charts found in publications such as *Friction Factors for Large Conduits Flowing Full* [3], which provide data of measured Darcy friction factors for various construction materials.

Avoidable power loss, \( \Delta P \) (MW), associated with \( \Delta h_f \) or \( \Delta h_m \):

\[
\Delta P = \frac{Q \gamma \Delta h}{737,562}
\]

Where:

· \( Q \) is the average volumetric flow rate through the plant (ft\(^3\)/sec)

· \( \gamma \) is the specific weight of water (62.4 lb/ft\(^3\))

· \( \Delta h \) is the avoidable head loss

· 737,562 is the conversion from pound-feet per second to megawatts
Avoidable energy loss, $\Delta E$ (MWh), associated with $\Delta h_f$ or $\Delta h_m$:

$$\Delta E = \Delta P T$$

Where:
- $\Delta P$ is the avoidable power loss (MWh)
- $T$ is the measurement interval (hrs.)

Avoidable revenue loss, $\Delta R$ ($), associated with $\Delta h_f$ or $\Delta h_m$:

$$\Delta R = M_E \Delta E$$

Where:
- $M_E$ is the market value of energy ($/MWh$)
- $\Delta E$ is the avoidable energy loss

### 4.2 Data Analysis

Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) will require reference to the flow characteristics of the modified geometry and/or surface roughness of the penstock or tunnel components. The PPL will vary for each plant. However, the maximum PPL will be based on the flow characteristics of the most efficient available upgrade.

The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of water conveyance component performance characteristics determined by flow and head measurements and/or hydraulic modeling of the system.

The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the water conveyance component performance characteristics at the time of commissioning or at the point when an upgrade or addition is made. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing at the time of commissioning or upgrade.

The CPL should be compared with the IPL to determine decreases in water conveyance system efficiency over time. Additionally, the PPL should be identified when considering plant upgrades. For quantification of the PPL with respect to the CPL, see *Quantification for Avoidable Losses and/or Potential Improvements – Integration: Example Calculation*.

### 4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, these would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g., Automatic Generation Control), but if not, hard copies of the data should be made available to all involved personnel – particularly unit operators, their importance emphasized, and their ability to be understood confirmed. All necessary upgrades or maintenance (penstock re-
lining, penstock cleaning, etc) and methods to routinely monitor unit performance should be implemented.

Integration: Example Calculation

A theoretical hydroelectric plant has three girth-welded steel penstocks integral with the dam structure. The interior of the penstocks has significantly corroded over time. The hydraulic properties of each penstock are as follows:

- Length = 600 ft
- Diameter = 14 ft
- Average flow = 2200 cfs
- Average velocity = 14 ft/s

If the penstocks are treated with a silicone-based coating system, the decrease in head loss can be calculated as follows:

Surface roughness of existing penstocks (corroded steel w/ welded girth joints) = 0.005 ft

Relative roughness of existing penstocks = \( \frac{0.005 \text{ ft}}{14 \text{ ft}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-4} \)

Surface roughness of silicone coating = 0.000005 ft

Relative roughness of silicone coating = \( \frac{0.000005 \text{ ft}}{14 \text{ ft}} = 3.6 \times 10^{-7} \)

Re = \( \frac{14 \text{ ft/s} \times 14 \text{ ft}}{1.0 \times 10^{-5} \text{ ft}^2/\text{s}} = 1.9 \times 10^7 \)

From the Moody diagram:

\[ f_{\text{existing}} = 0.016 \]
\[ f_{\text{silicone}} = 0.008 \]

\[ \Delta f = 0.016 - 0.008 = 0.008 \]

The decrease in head loss per penstock:

\[ \Delta h_f = (0.008) \left[ \frac{(600 \text{ ft})}{(14 \text{ ft})} \right] \left[ \frac{(14 \text{ ft/s})^2}{2(32.2 \text{ ft/s}^2)} \right] = 1.04 \text{ ft} \]

The decrease in head loss in all three penstocks:

\[ \Delta h_f = 3 (1.04 \text{ ft}) = 3.13 \text{ ft} \]

The increase in power production can be calculated as:

\[ \Delta P = \frac{(2200 \text{ cfs})(62.4 \text{ pcf})(3.13 \text{ ft})}{737,562} = 0.583 \text{ MW} \]
At an estimated market value of energy of $65/MWh, and assuming the plant produces power 75% of the time, the market value of increased power production can be calculated as:

\[ 0.75 \times (0.583 \text{ MW}) \times ($65/\text{MWh}) \times (8,760 \text{ hours/year}) = $250,000/\text{year} \]

This analysis indicates an available energy and revenue increase over the performance assessment interval.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:


State of the Art:


Standards:


Contents

1.0 Scope and Purpose ................................................................................................................. 41
  1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position ............................................................................................. 41
    1.1.1 Components ...................................................................................................................... 41
  1.2 Summary of Best Practices .................................................................................................... 43
    1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices ........................................ 43
    1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices .................................... 43
  1.3 Best Practice Cross-references ............................................................................................. 44
2.0 Technology Design Summary ............................................................................................... 44
  2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution ............................................................................ 44
  2.2 State of the Art Technology ................................................................................................... 45
3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices ................................................................................... 46
  3.1 Condition Assessment ........................................................................................................... 46
  3.2 Operations ............................................................................................................................ 48
  3.3 Maintenance ......................................................................................................................... 49
4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis .......................................................................................... 52
  4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability .......................................................... 52
  4.2 Data Analysis ......................................................................................................................... 54
  4.3 Integrated Improvements .................................................................................................... 55
5.0 Information Sources: .............................................................................................................. 55
1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for flumes and open channels addresses how innovations in technology and design, proper condition assessments, and improvements in operation and maintenance practices can contribute to maximizing overall plant performance and reliability.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility

3.0 Water Conveyances

3.7 Flumes / Open Channels

3.7.1 Flumes

3.7.2 Open Channels

3.7.3 Forebay Structure

3.7.4 Desilting Chamber

1.1.1 Components

Flumes and open channels are free-flow water conveyance systems for hydroelectric facilities. In certain hydro facilities the surface water reservoirs are not located directly adjacent to the generating station and the topographical or geological condition is not suitable for tunneling; therefore, necessitating the use of flumes or open channels to divert flow from the reservoir and convey the water over long distances. The primary purpose of flumes and open channels is to carry adequate water flows with minimized hydraulic losses [4]. Both flumes and open channels operate under the laws of open channel flow. The long distance open channel flow system is usually designed and constructed for water diversion (i.e., run-of-river) scheme of hydro projects with lower head and/or lower power capacity.

Flumes: A type of free-flow, man-made hydraulic channel generally square, rectangular, or semicircle constructed primarily of wood, steel, concrete, or masonry. Flumes can be supported on grade, piles, structural steel framing, concrete piers, or wood framing as show in Figure 1. Typically flumes are costly to construct; therefore, they are generally used to convey smaller quantities of water than open channels/canals or when the surrounding terrain necessitates the use of flumes.
Open Channels: An upstream open channel is a type of free-flow water conveyance system used to transport water from its source (river, impounded lake, etc.) to the powerhouse, which is also referred to as intake canal, power canal, or headrace channel. A tailrace is often designed as an open channel (i.e., tailrace channel), rather than a tailrace tunnel, for discharging the tailwater collected from the turbines back into the original river/lake or to other rivers downstream. Open channels differ from flumes in that they are hydraulic channels excavated in the earth or rock (see Figure 2) whereas flumes are generally elevated man-made structures. Open channels can be constructed in various shapes and sizes and may either be lined or unlined.
Forebay Structure: The primary function of a forebay structure is to provide limited storage for hydroelectric facilities during operational changes. These structures are typically sized to provide the initial water supply needed when increasing plant output while water in conveyance components is being accelerated; as well as to accept the rejection or surplus water due to a decrease in plant output. Forebay structures may be a separate head pond or integral with the intake canal or open channel [4].

De-silting Chamber: A tank or chamber generally located upstream from water conveyance systems used to trap suspended silt load, pebbles, etc. so as to minimize erosion damage to the turbine runner.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Routine monitoring and recording of head loss through flumes and open channels.
- Trend head loss through flumes and open channels comparing Current Performance Level (CPL) to Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.
- Maintain documentation of Installed Performance Level (IPL) and update when modifications to components are made (e.g., replacement of liner).
- Include industry acknowledged “up-to-date” choices for flume and open channel design component materials and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Develop a routine inspection and maintenance plan.
- Routinely inspect flume supports for signs of settlement or erosion.
- Regularly inspect structural joints for leakage, corroded or missing rivets or bolts, cracked welds, damage, etc.
- Routinely clean and remove debris from flumes and open channels.
- Routinely inspect and maintain debris removing systems (i.e. trash boom).
- Periodically remove sedimentation by dredging, flushing, vacuum extraction, or other available methods.
- Document any operational changes such as an increase in the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF), changes in flow requirements due to unit upgrades, changes in seismic criteria, or changes in operational regimes to compare with the original design criteria to ensure that the water conveyance component is functioning optimally and safely.
As compared with a headrace channel, a tailrace channel is usually shorter and flow velocities are slower; therefore, head loss and water loss are less of a concern. However, flow capacity and safety of tailrace operations should not be compromised (i.e., sudden blockage of the tailrace might cause a severe accident).

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- Civil – Trash Racks and Intakes Best Practice
- Civil – Leakage and Releases Best Practice
- Civil – Penstocks, Tunnels, and Surge Tanks Best Practice
- Civil – Draft Tube Gates Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Channel liners can be used to increase the hydraulic performance of open channels and flumes. Historically, open channels have been unlined or lined with erodible material such as sand or gravel. Unlined channels are plagued by several operational and maintenance-related issues such as erosion of embankment slope material, water seepage, hydraulic losses due to frictional resistance, and loss of hydraulic area due to vegetation growth or buildup of eroded material. Linings can improve hydraulic performance by improving discharging capacity, reducing frictional head losses, improving operational efficiency, extending channel life expectancy, preventing buildup due to vegetation such as weeds, reducing maintenance costs, and reducing seepage losses [1]. There have been recent innovations in liner materials and application processes. The use of geo-membranes has been used in recent years due to its ease of application and water-tightness.

The US Bureau of Reclamation conducted a 10 year study of various channel lining arrangements and their effectiveness on reducing seepage [5]. The three primary arrangements included concrete, exposed geomembranes, and a combination of concrete with a geomembrane under-liner. The concrete liner proved to have excellent durability; however, the long term effectiveness of preventing seepage was poor due to cracking. The installation and maintenance of a concrete liner is generally cost effective since plants are familiar with concrete and better equipped to provide routine maintenance such as crack repair. Figure 3 shows an example of a canal concrete lining project. The exposed geomembrane liner proved to be very effective in reducing or eliminating water losses due to seepage; however, they are more susceptible to damage than concrete and have a shorter service life (15 to 20 years) [5]. Geomembranes have a lower initial installation and maintenance cost, but the long term maintenance costs can be almost twice as much as concrete. This is due to the fact that plant
personnel are generally not familiar with the material and special equipment or training may be required for even minor repairs. The third arrangement proved to be the most effective and easily maintained. By providing a geomembrane under-liner for the concrete lining, they were able to achieve the desired water tightness of a membrane while still having the durability and protection of the concrete. The maintenance costs are also lower since only the concrete top coat requires maintenance. Other material combinations that were tested included geosynthetics, shotcrete, roller compacted concrete, grout mattresses, soil, elastomeric coatings, and sprayed-in-place foam [5]. The appropriate channel liner should be addressed on an individual plant basis. Factors to consider when determining the most appropriate liner should include plant economics (maintenance and construction expenses), availability of local materials, local terrain limitations (use of heavy construction equipment may not be possible), amount of excavation or subgrade preparation necessary, environmental constraints, and desired hydraulic characteristics.

Figure 3: Coachella Canal Concrete Lining Project (Coachella County, California)

2.2 State of the Art Technology
For designing a new open channel system or considering a replacement of an existing open channel or flume when it is severely deteriorated or no longer meets the operational requirements, computer-aided modeling can be used to develop the most efficient hydraulic arrangement (channel shape, longitudinal slope, side slope, minimum and maximum
permissible velocities, type of lining, etc.) while balancing plant economics, site specific limitations, and construction limitations. For example, from a hydraulics stand point, the most efficient section for open channel flow is a semicircle since for a given area it has the least wetted perimeter than any other shape; however, a semicircle shape may not be the most economical solution since it costs more to excavate and line the curved surface, it may not be feasible for the available natural condition, or the arrangement may be limited by the channel slope. The use of scaled physical models has become standard procedure in recent years for the design of open channels. Scaled hydraulic models allow for performance to be checked while still in the design phase. Advances in computer technology can aid in the development of hydraulic models for testing. Both the numerical model (e.g., HEC-RAS) and physical model should simulate the unsteady flows with wave propagation and backwater effect along the channel under either routine or emergency plant operations. By checking performance, any necessary design changes or modifications that could potentially result in savings in operating and construction costs can be identified [8]. Therefore, computer-aided modeling can be beneficial in helping to balance hydraulic efficiency with plant requirements and economics.

In addition to advances in computer-aided modeling, construction techniques have also advanced. Historically, channels have been trapezoidal in shape due to limitations in constructability. As of recent years, advances in both lining and excavation techniques have allowed for curved bottomed channels which are hydraulically more efficient [9].

3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

Since flumes and open channels (including the forebay, de-silting chamber and tailrace channel) are periodically exposed to severe service conditions such as turbulent water or severe weather, they are prone to the following maintenance issues:

- Erosion of channel embankment slopes
- Structural deterioration
- Concrete spalling (canal linings, flumes, or guide walls)
- Steel corrosion (flume structural components or linings)
- Increased surface roughness due to aquatic growth/vegetation and erosion
- Sedimentation
- Water loss due to seepage through linings, joints, embankments, etc.
- Ice and debris collection or blockage
- Deterioration of linings
- Foundation settlement or deterioration
- Instability of adjacent slopes

It is important that flumes and open channels be routinely inspected for not only efficiency related maintenance issues but also safety, since failure of a flume or channel can have dire consequences. Condition assessments are primarily conducted by visual examination and physical measurements. The purpose of any water conveyance condition assessment is to determine the structural integrity of the components, the remaining life expectancy, and any necessary upgrades to improve overall efficiency. A visual inspection typically includes assessments of corrosion, lining deterioration, joint conditions (bolts, weld, etc.), evidence of embankment erosion or instability, foundation conditions, stability of supporting and adjacent earth slopes, and flow blockage due to debris or ice accumulation. Since the interiors of flumes and open channels are often underwater and difficult to inspect, it is recommended that when components are required to be dewatered for other reasons, the plant should inspect the interiors and remove any debris or buildup of sedimentation. Flume exteriors should be visually inspected for any signs of leakage while in operation.

Data records from previous inspections, maintenance, and upgrades should be obtained. By reviewing any previous records potential problems can more easily be identified such as worsening conditions or chronic issues. It is important to identify any previous repairs or repair recommendations that might not have been implemented. Another key to an effective inspection plan is to review the original design documents. This can help to identify if: 1) obsolete construction methods were used such as copper waterstops or unlined channels, 2) there are any obsolete components, configurations, equipment, or other features in use such as poor hydraulic shape for channels, 3) materials are nearing the end of their life expectancy, 4) there were any problems encountered during construction such as a fault zone across a channel or a soft zone in the foundation material, 5) inadequate inspection during construction, and 6) foundation issues such as geologic faults or differential settlement [3]. Plants should schedule routine and thorough inspections of all flume and open channel components. This will help to identify defects or other maintenance issues so that unscheduled shutdowns for repairs can be minimized. When developing an inspection program, it is also important to acquire information regarding operational records which should show any changes in operation or upgrades. It will allow for comparison of current operating conditions with the original design criteria.

The frequency and extent of condition assessments will be based on various plant and site specific factors including accessibility, age of structure or component, previous maintenance or reliability issues, public safety or environmental concerns, changes in operation, etc. An efficient and comprehensive inspection plan should be developed after considering all
contributing factors. If significant issues are discovered during the condition assessment, then the plant should have a qualified engineer perform a special inspection to determine what repairs are necessary or if replacement is required. It is also recommended that plants perform special inspections after floods, earthquakes, or any other unusual event (e.g., load rejection) that may have resulted in damage [3].

3.2 Operations

Routine removal of debris and ice should be performed using trash/ice booms or similar. If debris or ice buildup is a recurrent issue, it is recommended that the plant consider installing permanent structures for aid in removal. Sedimentation can also have a negative impact on plant operations. Sediment should be routinely removed using methods such as dredging, vacuum extraction, flushing, mining dry while conveyance system is dewatered, or in more severe instances the addition of a stilling basin upstream to allow settlement of sedimentation or a sediment collection device. Also, increasing the flow velocity by reducing channel cross-sectional area can help the flow achieve ‘flushing velocity’; however, this is generally only a consideration in new design. By achieving the ‘flushing velocity’, accumulation of sedimentation is reduced; however, the sediment is passed downstream where it might still pose operational or maintenance issues such as turbine erosion. The addition of a de-silting chamber can also be installed upstream to help trap suspended silt particles. Buildup of sedimentation can increase surface roughness and reduce cross-sectional area, therefore increasing head losses due to frictional resistance. In addition, the removal of debris or ice buildup can increase flow. Thus routine cleaning practices can improve hydraulic performance through water conveyance systems and increase overall plant efficiency. It is important to note that not only does debris and ice buildup have a negative effect on operation, they can also cause blockage and lead to failure as was the case with the forebay skimmer wall failure at the Safe Harbor Hydroelectric Project in Pennsylvania as a result of ice accumulation [4].

Plants should routinely evaluate any changes in the Probable Maximum Flood (PMF) from the original design criteria. If the PMF increases, structures should be re-evaluated through hydraulic model tests to determine that the existing conveyance system is still adequate. Miscalculation of PMF in the original design or failure to account for changes in PMF from recent hydrological analysis of watershed, may lead to overtopping of the canal embankment or failure. If the structural integrity of the system is not compromised, an increase in PMF can be addressed by raising channel embankments or constructing parapet walls; however, in some cases construction of a new conveyance system may be necessary [4].

Another important phenomena to consider in channel operations is hydraulic jump or hydraulic drop (fall). When high velocity flow (supercritical) is introduced to a section of slow moving flow (subcritical) resulting in a rapid reduction of flow velocity over a short
length, the channel will experience an abrupt rise in water surface known as a hydraulic jump. Alternatively, a hydraulic drop is caused by the introduction of subcritical flow to supercritical flow causing a rapid increase in flow velocity and abrupt drop in water surface level. Sudden changes in channel bed slope can result in hydraulic jumps or drops. Hydraulic jumps and drops in the intake channel can negatively affect plant efficiency by dissipating energy and leading to head loss. Hydraulic jumps can be avoided by ensuring that transitions at the intake channel are gradual. Alternatively, hydraulic jumps may be desirable at the discharge when erosion in the downstream channel or river is a concern. Through hydraulic jump basins, the discharge energy can be dissipated before flow is returned to the downstream channel limiting erosion problems [2]. If hydraulic jumps or drops are observed, plants should consider further investigation into how the phenomena is impacting operations and if corrective action is warranted. Generally, this occurrence is only considered during the initial design since any upgrades to reduce jumps or drops are not economically feasible for improving efficiency alone.

Other operational considerations include increased flow requirements due to unit upgrades, changes in seismic criteria, changes in operational regimes, or any condition changes unaccounted for in the original design such as degradation conditions or increased surface roughness; as well as potential emergency circumstances (e.g., load rejection causing wave propagation and backwater effect) when the operational regimes and conditions have been changed. Plant personnel should routinely evaluate flumes and open channels to ensure that they are functioning properly and efficiently for the current operational characteristics.

3.3 Maintenance

Flumes and open channels are designed to convey water from its source (river, lake, reservoir, etc.) over a long distance to the intake or pressurized conduit (penstock or tunnel) or discharge water from the powerhouse to the downstream river/lake, while limiting losses due to hydraulic friction, seepage, and leakage. Reduction of these losses through installation or repair of a liner or replacement of the conveyance system can help improve plant efficiency and generation; however, these upgrades can be costly and not likely justifiable on the grounds of reducing head losses alone [7]. Therefore, upgrade or replacement of a water conveyance component such as flumes or open channels is generally only viable if safety of the structure is a concern, the component no longer satisfies the operating requirements, there is significant seepage or erosion, or the water conveyance has severe degradation. Since upgrades or replacement can be costly, it is important to routinely perform any necessary maintenance or life-extending repairs so as to limit unscheduled shutdowns which can affect plant availability and generation.

Foundations and supports should be regularly checked for signs of seepage. Seepage is the slow percolation of water through an embankment or foundation [3]. Seepage not only results in loss of water it can also saturate the supporting soil and either undermine the foundation or cause it to shift or collapse. Other foundation issues can include erosion,
settlement which can lead to misalignment, foundation faults, heaving due to expansive foundation material such as clay. Erosion and stability of surrounding slopes are also a concern. Eroded material from surrounding slopes can cause blockages in channels or increase the hydraulic roughness. Failure of a surrounding slope can also negatively impact the structural integrity of flumes and channels, as was the case with the Ocoee River Flume in Tennessee. In April 2010, a rock slide destroyed a 70 ft section of the historic wood flume. The rock slope was stabilized using 90 bolts, some 40 ft long as shown in Figure 4 [6]. Other means of slope stabilization can include the addition of retaining structures or shotcrete. If large amounts of sloughed materials from surrounding slopes are present in flumes and channels, further investigation of slope stability is warranted.

Figure 4: Slope Stabilization (Ocoee River Flume, Ocoee, Tennessee)
Photo Courtesy of J. Miles Cary
Figure 5: Wood Flume Repair (Ocoee River Flume, Ocoee, Tennessee)
Photo Courtesy of Jason Huffine/TVA

It is critical that any obstructions within flumes or open channels be removed promptly so that the flow capacity is not negatively impacted. Obstructions can result from overgrown vegetation, aquatic growth, sloughed materials from adjacent slope failures, debris such as dead trees or limbs, or ice accumulation [3]. Obstructions such as these will not only impede the flow capacity, but can also lead to damage of the structure or liner, increased hydraulic roughness, or sudden failure due to blockage. Debris should be routinely removed so as to avoid buildup.

Since flumes and open channels are often subject to turbulent flow, concrete liners, structures, or foundations are likely to experience a range of concrete problems. These issues include cracking, surface defects, cavitation, erosion, and leakage at joints. Concrete cracking is a common phenomenon in hydroelectric facilities and does not necessarily require immediate action. Cracks should, however, be routinely monitored, measured, and documented for future comparison. It is necessary to have ongoing records documenting any cracks so that any significant changes can be identified. If new cracks suddenly appear or existing cracks become more severe or extensive, then further investigation by a qualified engineer is warranted [3]. Concrete surface defects may include shallow deficiencies in the concrete surface, textural defects from improper installation, and localized damage caused by debris [3]. Any surface defects should be recorded and any necessary repairs performed. Concrete deterioration due to either cavitation or erosion should be routinely monitored and repaired as necessary. Concrete repairs can include shotcrete applications, localized grouting of cracks, replacement or patching, or overlays for concrete liners.
Water loss through joint leakage is another common issue for open channels and flumes. Concrete channels often have waterstops which are continuous strips of waterproof material embedded in joints, usually made of metal, PVC, or rubber [3]. When waterstops are damaged or begin to deteriorate, water can seep through the joints. Not only does this lead to water loss, it can also lead to erosion of the foundation material or further joint damage due to freeze/thaw. Channel joints should be inspected when dry if possible. Evidence of joint problems can include soil fines seeping through the joint, vegetation in joints, or damaged or missing joint sealant [3]. Joints can be repaired by grouting, replacement of joint material or waterstops, sealing joints with epoxy, or the addition of a watertight membrane over the entire channel.

![Figure 6: Waterstop Repair in Concrete Channel](image)

Steel can be used for flume supporting structures, channel liners, or flume liners. Since steel in hydroelectric facilities is repeatedly exposed to moisture, corrosion is oftentimes a recurrent problem. Evidence of steel corrosion can include scaling, flaking, pitting, or color changes. If left unchecked, corrosion can lead to loss of material, leakage, and in some instances failure of the structure. Corrosion can be limited or avoided by either painting the steel or installing cathodic protection. Other steel problems include fatigue due to repetitive loading, erosion by abrasive debris, tearing or rupture due to debris impact, cavitation due to high flow velocities, cracking, and deformation [3]. Plant personnel should regularly inspect all steel surfaces for any signs of deterioration or problems.

### 4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

#### 4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental equations for evaluating efficiency through flumes and open channels are Manning’s equation for open channel flow, the equations for head losses due to friction and geometrical changes, and water losses due to seepage, leakage, or unexpected overflow.
(water loss from evaporation is minimal and unavoidable) [2 and 9]. Losses due to leakages or unexpected overflow are more difficult to quantify and require more detailed analysis based on a plant specific basis. Avoidable head losses can be directly related to overall power/energy loss and subsequent loss of revenue for the plant. These equations are defined as follows:

Flow quantity, $Q$ (ft$^3$/sec):

$$Q = \frac{1.486}{n} AR^{2/3} \bar{S}$$

Where:
- $Q$ is the flow quantity (ft$^3$/sec)
- $n$ is the Manning roughness coefficient
- $A$ is the cross-sectional area (ft$^2$)
- $R$ is the hydraulic radius (ft)
- $S$ is the slope of energy line or energy gradient (ft/ft)

Head loss due to friction, $h_f$ (ft):

$$h_f = n^2 \frac{Lv^2}{R^{4/3}}$$

Where:
- $h_f$ is the head loss due to friction through the conveyance component (ft)
- $n$ is the difference in Manning roughness coefficients for existing roughness conditions and roughness conditions after potential upgrades.
- $L$ is the length of the conveyance component (ft)
- $v$ is the average flow velocity or flow rate per cross-sectional area (ft/sec)
- $R$ is the hydraulic radius (ft)

Head loss due to minor losses (e.g. channel bends, adjacent slopes), $h_m$ (ft):

$$h_m = K_b \frac{v^2}{2g}$$

Where:
- $h_m$ is the head loss due to minor losses from geometrical changes (ft)
- $K_b$ is the difference in the head loss coefficient for existing conditions and for conditions after potential upgrades computed as follows for channel bends:

$$K_b = \frac{2W}{R_c}$$

- $W$ is the channel width (ft)
- $R_c$ is the center-line radius of the channel curve (ft)
· \( V \) is the mean velocity or flow rate per cross-sectional area (ft/s)
· \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (ft/s\(^2\))

Moritz formula for water losses due to seepage in unlined channels, \( S \) (ft\(^3\)/s/mile):

\[
S = 0.2C \bigg( \frac{Q}{V} \bigg)^{1/2}
\]

Where:
· \( S \) is the losses due to seepage (ft\(^3\)/s/mile)
· \( C \) is the rate of water loss (ft\(^3\)/24 hours/1 ft\(^2\) of wetted area). Average values of \( C \) can range from 2.20 for sandy soils to 0.41 for clays.
· \( Q \) is the flow quantity (ft\(^3\)/s)
· \( V \) is the mean velocity (ft/s)

Avoidable power loss, \( \Delta P \) (MW), associated with head losses:

\[
\Delta P = \frac{(Q \gamma \Delta h + \Delta Q \gamma h)}{737,562}
\]

Where:
· \( Q \) is the average volumetric flow rate through the water conveyance component (ft\(^3\)/sec)
· \( \gamma \) is the specific weight of water (62.4 lb/ft\(^3\))
· \( \Delta h \) is the avoidable head loss
· \( 737,562 \) is the conversion from pound-feet per second to megawatts

Avoidable energy loss, \( \Delta E \) (MWh):

\[
\Delta E = \Delta PT
\]

Where:
· \( \Delta P \) is the avoidable power loss (MWh)
· \( T \) is the measurement interval (hrs.)

Avoidable revenue loss, \( \Delta R \) ($):

\[
\Delta R = M_E \Delta E
\]

Where:
· \( M_E \) is the market value of energy ($/MWh)
· \( \Delta E \) is the avoidable energy loss

4.2 Data Analysis

Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) will require reference to the flow characteristics of the modified geometry and/or surface roughness of the flume or open
channel components. The PPL will vary for each plant. However, the maximum PPL will be based on the flow characteristics of the most efficient available upgrade. The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of water conveyance component performance characteristics determined by flow and head measurements and/or hydraulic modeling of the system. The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the water conveyance component performance characteristics at the time of commissioning or at the point when an upgrade or addition is made. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing at the time of commissioning or upgrade. The CPL should be compared with the IPL to determine decreases in water conveyance system efficiency over time. Additionally, the PPL should be identified when considering plant upgrades.

4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and maintenance practices. Optimally, any test results or observations should be integrated into an automated system, but if not, hard copies of the data should be made available to all involved plant personnel (particularly unit operators). All necessary upgrades or maintenance (channel lining, debris removal, slope stabilization, etc.) and methods to routinely monitor unit performance should be implemented.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:


State of the Art:


*Standards:*
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for draft tube gates addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices for the gates and associated operating equipment with the objective to maximize performance and reliability of plant generating system.

The primary purpose of the draft tube gate is to protect the interior equipment of the hydropower plant including the turbine by providing a barrier and blocking water flow during maintenance and dewatering activities. Most draft tube gates fall under the category of “bulkhead gates or stop logs” that are normally lifted vertically into place and installed under no flow conditions for maintenance or emergency use. They typically spend a vast majority of their lifecycle in storage rather than service. The gates may be sectioned or un-sectioned with the sectioned subassemblies lifted into place individually and stacked vertically. Although different materials have been used historically, draft tube gates are primarily made from carbon steel and therefore will be the primary focus for this best practice.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Water Conveyances → Draft Tube Gates

1.1.1 Components

The components of the draft tube gate system are those features that directly or indirectly contribute to the effectiveness of the maintenance and dewatering operations. The system is made up of the draft tube gates itself along with the gate operating equipment.

Draft Tube Gate: Also referred to as stop logs or bulkhead gates, these assemblies are used to block water so that construction, maintenance, or repair work can be accomplished in a dry environment. These gates are stored in a secure storage yard, positioned by a crane, and dropped into slots on the pier, which is sometimes integrated with the dam, to form a wall against the water.

Draft Tube Gate Seals: Gate seals function to close off the open gap between the edge of a movable structure and a fixed sealing surface so as to prevent any water from passing through the interface. The seals are typically rubber material, and formed from a flat strip of rubber, or shaped by a molding or extrusion process.

Draft Tube Gate Hoists: Hoists are mechanical (electrically or manually driven), hydraulic (oil or water), or pneumatically operated machines used to raise and lower in place heavy water control features such as gates and stop logs. A lifting beam is commonly a key component of the hoist system for draft tube gates.

Draft Tube Gate Bearing Structure: Openings are formed in reinforced concrete walls with dedicated piers at the edge of the openings to hold the draft tube gates in place. Slots are configured in the concrete piers to match the size and geometry at the edges of the gate to allow for a tight fit.
1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Monitor leakage and functionality of the draft tube gates and include findings in the plant’s unit performance records. The plant should routinely monitor and maintain a record of unit performance at the Current Performance Level (CPL).

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Develop a routine inspection and maintenance plan.
- Routinely inspect draft tube gates, seals, hoists, and bearing structure components for degradation.
- Trend draft tube gates, seals, hoists and bearing structure components for degradation and adjust life expectancy accordingly to ensure that the system has the appropriate degree of functional reliability.
- Routinely inspect and maintain draft tube gate operating hoist and lift equipment.
- Maintain documentation of installed performance level (IPL) and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g. gate seal replacement/repair, concrete piers/slots upgrade).
- Include industry knowledge for modern draft tube gate system components and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-References

- Civil – Penstocks, Tunnels, and Surge Tanks
- Civil – Leakage and Releases
- Civil – Trash Racks and Intakes
- Civil – Flumes/Open Channels
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

A wide variety of draft tube gate designs have been used at hydropower plants over the course of the last century. Popular designs implemented include slide gates, roller gates, and stoplogs (wood or steel). As mentioned previously, the most commonly used gate is constructed of carbon steel members and plate. These steel gates have the advantage of being relatively inexpensive to construct and can be positioned using standard equipment.

The Norris hydro plant had been in operation for nearly 60 years without dewatering equipment for the draft tubes. But modernization of the plant could not be accomplished without dewatering. Shown in Figure 1 is the initial installation of one of the new draft tube gates supported by the overhead traveling gantry crane connected by the lifting beam. The overhead gantry and crane rail girder is shown in Figure 2 while undergoing load testing. Other system components included in the design but not shown are the dewatering pumps, draft tube gate guides, and seal bearing plates.
Difficulties have been experienced while lifting the draft tube gate without a lifting beam. The design above includes the lifting beam, new crane hook, hook lift points on the gate and gate dogging device for supporting the gate when not being utilized.

### 2.2 State of the Art Technology

The primary technological advances for draft tube gates are in the areas of the seals and corrosion protection of the steel. Seal geometry and means of attachment to the gate can be selected so that the seal is not susceptible to being rolled over due to the velocity of the water past the seal, or due to wedging of debris between the seal and the sealing surface. The double stem top seal, shown in Figure 3, is highly desirable whether located on the top or sides of the gate since it has the ability to equalize the pressure behind the seal. Concrete and steel surfaces must be smooth, burr and rust free to prevent wear and damage to the seals. For steel sealing surfaces, a stainless steel overlay or cladding can be utilized to provide the seal with a rust free sliding surface. An important advancement in draft tube gate design and fabrication in the past 40 years has been the use of rubber gate seals with a J-bulb or music note shape (See Figure 4). This advanced seal design allows for movement by using adjustable mounting attachments.
3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

Conditions and problems associated with a draft tube gate, its guides, rails and seal plates, and crane can only be properly assessed if the various components are readily accessible. The draft tube gate assemblies spend most of their life cycle in storage rather than in service. The following are the primary attributes to be concerned with during a condition assessment of the draft tube gate assembly:

- Anomalies in gate slots in concrete piers
- Condition of seals, crane and lifting components, and electrical parts
- Debris jamming gates
- Corroded, bent, and damaged structural gate members and gate components

These common problem areas for draft tube gates can be assessed by a series of routine inspections to determine structural integrity, life expectancy, and necessary improvements. Prior to an assessment all maintenance records, past inspection reports, and design drawings should be collected and reviewed. Each component should have a known physical condition and age from these supporting documents. This should assist in identifying existing problem areas as well as previous repairs.

These assessments will primarily be performed by visual examination and physical measurements using a combination of divers and Remote Operated Video (ROV) equipment where necessary. The determining factors of which inspection method to utilize will depend greatly on the plant specific dewatering capabilities and required data collection. When a visual inspection is all that is required an ROV will typically be the most practical option. For this the ROV should be equipped with a lighting system and high quality video with an engineer present to direct the underwater observations and note areas of concern for either immediate closer viewing or for future inspection using a diver [3]. A disadvantage of the use of an ROV may be its limitation in turbid water due to poor visibility [4]. Diver disadvantages include regulations that restrict the allowable depths and durations of dives, the number of repeat dives in a given period, and limitations in cold climates [5]. Other difficulties encountered when using divers is the plant must shutdown the unit being inspected as well as the adjacent units.

The alkali chemical reactions caused by the chemistry between the water and concrete often results in concrete expansion. This occurrence is commonly known as Alkali-Aggregate Reaction (AAR). This will commonly cause the gate to bind due to the reduction of gate opening and misalignment of the original opening with the gate geometry. Another cause of gate slot irregularities are local deterioration and spalling of the concrete that can lead to the pier slots geometry being out of tolerance with the gate. Exact measurements using calibrated instruments are an essential part of evaluating the concrete slots during the visual inspection. Therefore, gate slots and concrete piers that form the openings require access by divers to perform a thorough condition assessment. When using a diver, this person should be equipped with lighting, voice communication, and a video camera. Communication
should be arranged so that the engineer supervising the inspection can view and be in direct contact with the diver. This ensures all required measurements and information are obtained.

Seals become damaged mainly due to excessive wear and environmentally caused deterioration (debris/flow past the seal). The visual inspection should carefully check for any debris trapped between the seal and the sealing surface. Seals can also be damaged by rolling over during gate lifting. The condition of the seal should be carefully documented, being sure to note any cracks, chips, or disfigurement.

The crane condition assessment is meant to include the crane and all of the associated components. A mobile gantry crane typically utilizes a lifting beam to raise and lower the sectioned or un-sectioned draft tube gates into the gate slots. Common problems associated with the lifting beam include floating debris blocking the gate’s lift lugs and malfunction of the lifting beam sheaves or lift lug engagement device. If applicable, ensure moving parts are properly lubricated, gearbox oil is free of contaminants/moisture, gears and bearings do not have excessive wear, and hoist ropes have no broken strands or deformation. When examining the rope it is important to evaluate the entire length especially the underside that contacts the drum or sheaves. Typically visual inspection of the rope is sufficient, however if the integrity or serviceability is in question for a critical application a non-destructive test method called magnetic flux leakage (MFL) is available. This MFL testing may be performed for further evaluation or the rope simply be replaced based on the associated cost and feasibility. The gearbox inspection should ensure full operation cycle and desired speeds are achieved. Abnormal sounds and vibrations coming from the gearbox may be indications of internal problems. If abnormal sounds or vibrations are observed, further internal inspection should be performed. [2]

Bent and damaged gate members could cause twisting of the gate, resulting in the gate not being lifted smoothly. The assessment should carefully visually inspect for warped flanges of wide-flange and channel steel members, misaligned or partially loose exterior plates, loose bolts or rivets, other localized defects such as weld cracks and gouges, and signs of structural overstress (i.e., excessive deflections). Note the functionality of all gate components such as wheels and rollers and assess the condition of any coating that is present.

The carbon steel used for construction of these gates will frequently corrode due to the aggressive environment experienced during storage or submerged conditions. This corrosion can range from minor surface rust to significant section loss. The minor surface rust can cause an abrasive and uneven sealing surface leading to degradation of the seals and leakage.

3.2 Operations
The draft tube gate functions during plant maintenance and dewatering activities, and is typically stored in a site yard during plant operations. Therefore, draft tube gates are not subjected to operational conditions. Problems associated with their functionality during these maintenance and dewatering periods will be discussed in detail in the following section on Maintenance.
3.3 Maintenance

Opportunities to improve draft tube gate performance involves properly diagnosing any of the common problems noted above in the Condition Assessment section, determining the apparent or root cause, and applying the most appropriate cost effective repair. It is imperative that the required maintenance be performed on the gates and the associated equipment. Performing the recommended maintenance will extend the life of the component and will help avoid high costs encountered due to emergency repairs and lost revenue during extended outages.

Problems with concrete openings not allowing the gates to be inserted properly are often due to the expansive nature of the concrete and long term wear that reduces the clear opening leading to gate binding. There are a few methods for alleviating this condition including cutting back or trimming the concrete slots so as to enlarge the opening, trimming the edges of the gates to restore proper clearance, and fabricating a new gate that allows for some adjustments of its width. If concrete spalling is causing sealing difficulties because of significant surface roughness and pitting, an epoxy concrete or cementitious repair mortar may be used to restore the damaged surface.

Inadequate maintenance of seals and hoisting mechanisms can lead to several problems such as seal damage/rolling, unequal hoisting chain length and loading, and motor overload. When a gate is being lifted, seals can roll over and wedge the gate between the sealing surfaces, thereby damaging the seal and increasing the lifting loads to be overcome by the hoist. The corrective action involves replacing the gate seals and redesigning the means used to attach the seal to the gate, or using reconfigured seal geometry. Most seals at today’s hydropower plants are made of rubber and can become worn or damaged over extended time periods of use. Worn or damaged seals can cause excessive leakage which results not only in loss of water, but can also lead to erosion of the concrete surfaces. Replacing the seals with the current bulb type, which are adjustable, provides more allowance for movement in the seal and provides capability to resist water pressures from either side. Bulb seals work best when allowed to deflect rather than compressing the bulb against the sealing surface.

Motor overload results from a non-uniform torque transfer into the hoist’s gearbox. Overload causes include motor undersizing, additional frictional or resisting gate loads, drive shaft misalignment, old age and deterioration of the motor windings. Solutions include replacing the motor, diagnosing the workings of the hoist machinery and replacing any defective parts such as drive shafts, reduction gears, bearings and drive train. If the problem cannot be shown to be directly related to the condition of the hoist, assess the gate to determine the cause of the additional loads that the hoist must lift.

Other issues to look for at regular maintenance periods include debris that is jamming gates and deformed/damaged gate structural members. Debris can readily get stuck between the gate and support piers or guides, causing binding of the gate. A common solution is to modify the gate to prevent debris from becoming wedged between the gate and gate supports. Modifications may include extending plates from the upstream side of the gate to reduce the width of the gap between the gate and the support piers or guides.
Regarding the expected lifespan of the steel parts (plates, structural shapes, bolts, welds, etc.) used for the draft tube gate assembly, 75 years is a reasonable service life when the proper attention is given to the initial surface coating/protection and regularly scheduled preventative maintenance. Often after 75 years of service, the area of the gate most in need of major repair or replacement is around the perimeter of the gate adjacent to the gate seals. Due to the gate seals being often inaccessible, this area is routinely not subjected to inspection or preventative maintenance activities.

Bent and damaged gate structural members (i.e., steel wide flange and channel shapes) can lead to warping of the gate, resulting in the gate not being lifted smoothly. The only viable solution is to inspect the gate regularly, and remove and replace the damaged members as necessary. If welds between steel members and plates look visually flawed ultrasonic testing can be performed to determine if the weld needs to be reconstructed.

The damage caused by minor corrosion can be limited with minor preventative maintenance such as coatings and the use of stainless steel overlays and cladding, as described in the State of the Art Technology section of this report. If significant section loss is present due to corrosion, complete or partial replacement may be justified for gate members and its associated components.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

For draft tube gates the measure for performance will be a direct result of its functionality. The purpose of these gates is to protect and keep water away from the required portions of the hydropower plant. It is important that these gates function properly not necessarily for efficiency but for safety since failure can have dire consequences. Leakage of these gates can be tolerated as long as safety and equipment protection are not compromised. The leakage around the gate seals should be in the order of 0.01 gallons/minute per foot of wetted perimeter for rubber seals. For metal on metal seals the allowable rate of leakage is 0.1 gallons/minute per foot of wetted perimeter. [1]

4.2 Data Analysis

Leakage of these gates can be tolerated as long as equipment protection and safety are not compromised. Relatively small amounts of leakage can be tolerated and handled by pumping water out of areas maintenance will be performed. However, if pumping becomes excessive the cost of new seals or other corrective actions may be justified.

4.3 Integrated Improvements

The field test results for leakage should be included when updating the plant’s unit performance records. These records shall be made available to all involved personnel and distributed accordingly for upcoming inspections.
5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
This best practice for leakage and releases addresses technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability. Leakage is an unintentional release of water and occurs to some extent at all hydroelectric facilities. In most cases the loss from leakage is less than 1% of the average flow [1]. There are certain cases where seepage can create a substantial loss of flow, but the cost associated with preventing this loss is typically very high and almost always outweighs the cost of lost generation. For these reasons, leakage is considered to have a minor impact on efficiency, performance, and reliability of a hydro unit.

The release of excess water from spillways and sluiceways when flow exceeds storage and generation capacity can become substantial over a long period of time. In some areas, meeting minimum downstream flow requirements can also result in the release of substantial amounts of water. Inadequate flow measurements can also lead to excess water losses through releases. A variety of equipment is available on the market to generate electricity from releases without a powerhouse structure [7]. This equipment has the potential to provide a sizeable amount of power generation by harnessing the power from flow releases that previously generated no revenue, contributing to unit efficiency, performance, and reliability.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

This best practice encompasses the leakage and releases issues associated with spillways, weirs, and sluiceways; also addresses the seepages through the abutments and foundation of dams. The above chart indicates the position of this topic implied in the Taxonomy.

1.1.1 Causes of Leakage & Releases
Leakage is usually a minor problem in plant operations. In a survey on plant leakage, the average loss from leakage reported by plant owners was less than 0.5% of the average river flow. Very few plants reported leakage in excess of 1% [1].

The most common and controllable source of leakage occurs at spillway gates due to inadequate sealing. “Many old plants were built without gate seals for economic or other reasons [1].” Even where gate seals are used, they deteriorate over time.

Another form of leakage comes from seepage. Seepage occurs under the foundation or around the abutments of a dam. Small amounts of seepage are inevitable. Severe cases of
seepage under the foundation, however, can cause major damage due to increased uplift pressure and piping of soils in embankment dams [12]. These cases are safety concerns, and repairs can be very costly. An example of this is Wolf Creek Dam in Kentucky where seepage under the dam required hundreds of millions of dollars in repairs [2].

Seepage around abutments can divert a portion of the reservoir’s flow around the dam. “These leaks usually cannot be prevented except by redoing the upstream cut-off or grout curtain of the dam and even then may not be possible to stop [1].” These techniques are costly, but in certain cases where it is found that seepage can be prevented, the reduction in losses can be substantial.

The primary purposes of releases are to maintain a minimum required flow downstream of the dam and to regulate the water level of the reservoir. Minimum flow requirements ensure that various needs of the downstream community are met, such as:

- Protecting water quality and aquatic resources.
- Ensuring year-round navigation.
- Providing water for power production and municipal and industrial use downstream [3].

Several examples of plants with flow release requirements are found in Flow Measurement at Hydro Facilities: Achieving Efficiency, Compliance, and Optimal Operation [4].

Generation and releases make up the flow that a plant produces downstream. Inaccurate flow measurements from these sources can lead to an excess or insufficient flow being released from the reservoir. In order to provide a flow that meets regional requirements, many plants release more water than the required amount. Over time, this excess release can become a substantial loss of generation revenue. To obtain the highest efficiency, care should be taken to release the minimum amount of water above the generation capacity to meet flow requirements. When releases are unavoidable, accurate flow measurements and gate calibration can assist in providing increased efficiency.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Routine monitoring and recording of gate leakage and downstream seepage.
- Trend gate leakage to trigger feasibility studies of seal replacement/addition or gate replacement.
- Trend downstream seepage to trigger feasibility studies of prevention techniques.
- Obtain information of releases at Current Performance Level (CPL) by measurements or models if none is currently available.
• Limit releases to minimum required flow, and only release when required.

• Use information of releases at CPL to regulate releases.

• Periodic comparison of the CPL of releases to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

• Maintain documentation of Installed Performance Level (IPL) and update when modifications are made (e.g. replacement/addition of seals, prevention of seepage, addition of generating equipment, changes in release control).

• Include industry acknowledged “up to date” choices, for leakage prevention and release control practices to plant engineering standards.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

• Monitor conveyance components and gates for signs of excessive leakage, and repair or replace damaged or defective components causing the leakage.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

• Civil – Penstocks, Tunnels, & Surge Tanks

• Civil – Trash Racks & Intakes

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Gate seals are used to close the gap between the edge of a movable gate and a fixed sealing surface. Most gates of modern hydroelectric plants have seals that are made of rubber. However, wood, plastic, and even leather have been utilized for gates, typically under low head applications. Dissimilar metal was also a common pre-1950’s seal material and was seen as a more durable, longer-lasting option [14].

Performance levels for leakage and releases can be stated at three levels as follows:

• The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described as the loss characteristics at the time of the plant’s commissioning or at the point when an upgrade, addition, or modification is made.

• The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of loss characteristics encompassing all sources of leakage and releases. It is important to locate and accurately quantify all sources of leakage and releases for this performance level.

• The Potential Performance Level (PPL) is ideally considered as the condition where no power generation loss occurs from leakage or releases. However, this ideal condition is never completely obtainable. Therefore, the PPL can be considered as the
condition where the minimum amount of losses can be obtained through upgrade to the best designs and technologies.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Performance data on leakage and releases is only as reliable as the methods used to collect the data. Emerging and state of the art technology continues to provide increasingly accurate instrumentation and analysis software used to calculate hydraulic flow properties. These tools can then be used to determine the difference between the CPL and the PPL of hydro plant leakage and releases.

State of the art design of gate seals typically incorporates rubber as the primary seal material. Although the designed service life of rubber seals does not greatly exceed that of other materials, the biggest advantage comes from the reduction in leakage around the seals. Leakage around rubber seals is approximately 10 times less than that of metal on metal seals [14].

3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

To inspect for leakage from gates, visual inspection can be performed by observing if any water flows from the gates when they are closed. If the gates are not visible, it may be possible to observe the flow from their outlets.

To inspect for leakage caused by abutment seepage, a variety of methods may be implemented. In some cases simple visual inspection can be used. Muddy tailwater flows, sinkholes, and downstream appearances of leakage are all possible signs of seepage. Figure 1 on the following page shows an example of the appearance of leakage from Center Hill Dam in Tennessee [5]. Other cases may require the use of electronic, audio, or magnetic field measuring devices to find the cause of seepage [8] [9]. *Seepage Analysis and Control for Dams* provides guidance in seepage analysis [12].
It has historically been difficult to accurately measure the flows released from gates and spillways. Antiquated plants have often relied only on charts that estimate flows for given gate opening heights. In plants where accurate measurements of flow release are unavailable, tests may be run to obtain flow data and/or a physical or computer model can be produced. Using data collected through these methods, accurate flow measurements can be obtained. A list of flow tests along with their applicability and advantages can be found in *Flow Measurements at Hydro Facilities: Achieving Efficiency, Compliance, and Optimal Operation* [4].

### 3.2 Operations

Gate seals deteriorate over time and they should be inspected periodically. Any leaks discovered should be recorded and their severity monitored. While a small leak may cause a negligible loss, if left unchecked, it can become a much larger loss over time.

Seepage in one form or another occurs at all dams. Therefore, the appearance of any of the signs of seepage previously mentioned may not indicate a need for repair. These signs should be monitored. If they worsen or are accompanied by other signs, the operators should investigate the source of seepage before permanent damage occurs. Downstream appearances of water should be monitored. These may be from a separate source or may be water escaping the reservoir through seepage. The volume of flow from these sources should be recorded regularly, and any increases may indicate a need for further investigation [12].

Once accurate flow measurements are obtained, they can be used to regulate releases more efficiently. In plants where previous data of flow through gates and spillways are available, the flow measurements can be used for gate calibration. In plants where no previous data of flow through gates and spillways is available, the flow measurements can be used to implement a procedure for flow control.
Operators should consider altering generating schedules if excess amounts of water are being released through spillways and gates. Any water released from the reservoir that is not used to generate electricity is ultimately a loss of revenue.

3.3 Maintenance

Over time, gate seals will deteriorate and will need to be replaced. If possible, seals should be replaced when the gates are out of use, either from dewatering or seasonal reservoir level drops. To reduce maintenance, the use of improved seals may be a cost effective solution. In cases where no seals are present, it may be cost effective to install seals on the gates. In extreme cases of leakage, particularly where gates are severely deteriorated or have an outdated design, it may be cost effective to replace the gate entirely if the addition or replacement of seals is not sufficient.

Seepage prevention is typically a costly improvement and doesn’t always fix the problem. Grout curtains are the most common form of seepage prevention [13]. Even after they are installed, seepage water may still find a path around the grouting or may find an outlet further downstream. In the case of Great Falls Dam in Tennessee, an extensive grouting program was successful in stopping 98% of reservoir leakage [6], but the largest of the uncorrected leakage, located a few hundred feet downstream from the powerhouse, has increased since the grout curtain was installed. This leakage can be seen in Figure 2. Operators must take care to ensure that seepage prevention is a cost effective endeavor. In many cases the small amount of water lost cannot justify the cost of correcting the problem. A variety of seepage control methods and their appropriate applications can be found in *Seepage Analysis and Control for Dams*, EM 1110-2-1901 [12].

At some point every plant must release water due to the generator or reservoir capacity limit. Some plants, however, require a large volume of releases for environmental purposes. There is a variety of equipment that can be installed to generate power from these types of releases without the need for a powerhouse. Some of the most recent hydro generation equipment can be found in “Top 5 Developments in Hydro” [7]. Among these are a fully-sealed combined axial turbine and generator [10] and hydrokinetic technologies [11]. These options can utilize previously unused generation potential from environmental releases.

Figure 2: Great Falls Leakage (powerhouse shown at left)
Additionally, some plants use releases to provide required dissolved oxygen concentrations downstream of the dam. For these plants, the releases may not coincide with minimum flow requirements and therefore contribute to decreased plant efficiency. Other means of providing minimum dissolved oxygen, such as aeration weirs or aerating turbines, are recommended in this case.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental process of a hydro plant can be described by the power equation. In the case of leakage and releases, the power loss can be determined based on the following calculation:

\[
\text{P} = \frac{Q\gamma H}{737,562}
\]

Where:
- \( P \) is the power loss of the hydroelectric plant (MW)
- \( Q \) is the flow rate lost through leakage or releases (ft\(^3\)/s)
- \( \gamma \) is the specific weight of water (62.4 lb/ft\(^3\))
- \( H \) is the effective pressure head across the system (ft)

The general expression for power loss (\( P \)):

4.2 Data Analysis

Analysis of performance data shall determine plant efficiency relative to power generation. The results from the analysis (CPL) shall be compared to previous or original performance data (IPL) as well as the efficiency gained from potential improvements to leakage and releases (PPL). The cost of rehabilitation and internal rate of return must be calculated to determine if improvements are justified.

4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, these would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g., Automatic Generation Control), but if not, hard copies of the data should be made available to all involved personnel – particularly unit operators, their importance to be emphasized, and their ability to be understood and confirmed. Justified projects a method to constantly monitor unit performance should be implemented.

5.0 Information Sources

Baseline Knowledge:


TVA, *Great Falls Hydro Plant - Dam Safety Instrumentation Project Performance Report*, EDMS J22060427001, 2005


**State of the Art**

*Top 5 Developments in Hydro*, International Water Power and Dam Construction, January 26, 2011

*Diagnosing Dam Seepage*, International Water Power and Dam Construction, March 21, 2011


**Standards:**


American Society of Civil Engineers, Civil Works for Hydroelectric Facilities: *Guidelines for Life Extension and Upgrade*, 2007
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
This best practice for a Francis turbine addresses its technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize its performance and reliability. The primary purpose of the turbine is to function as the prime mover providing direct horsepower to the generator. It is the most significant system in a hydro unit. How the turbine is designed, operated, and maintained provides the most impact to the efficiency, performance, and reliability of a hydro unit.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position
Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Turbine → Francis Turbine

1.1.1 Francis Turbine Components
Performance and reliability related components of a Francis turbine consist of a spiral case, stay ring/stay vanes, wicket gates, vacuum breaker, reaction type runner, aeration device, and draft tube.

Spiral Case: The function of the spiral case (or scroll case) is to supply water from the penstock to the stay vanes and through its unique shape of continual cross sectional area reduction, maintain a near uniform velocity of water around the stay vanes and wicket gates.

Stay Ring/Vanes: The function of the stay vanes (and stay ring) is to align the flow of water from the spiral casing to the wicket gates. They also usually function as support columns in vertical units for supporting the static weight of the unit’s stationary components and hydraulic thrust during turbine operation.

Wicket Gates: The function of the wicket gates is primarily to control the quantity of water entering the turbine runner, thereby controlling power output. Secondarily, the gates control the angle of the high tangential velocity water striking the runner bucket surface. The optimum angle of attack will be at peak efficiency. The wicket gates also function as a closure valve to minimize leakage through the turbine while it is shut down. Leakage can also originate from water passing by the end seals on the gates between the top end of the gates and the head cover, and the bottom end of the gates and the bottom ring.

Runner: The function of the runner is to convert the potential energy of pressure (head) and flow of water into mechanical energy or rotational horsepower which is supplied directly to the turbine shaft. There are various types, from horizontal to vertical orientation, single discharge, double discharge, and overhung designs. The most prevalent type is a vertical unit.

Vacuum Breaker: The function of the vacuum breaker is to admit air to a zone near the turbine runner [2]. It is usually an automatic device either spring loaded or cam operated off the wicket gate shifting ring. For reaction turbines it is used for drawing in atmospheric air at low gate openings, such as synchronizing and speed no load, to reduce
vibration and rough operation. While this reduces rough operation, it also reduces turbine efficiency by introducing vacuum and air vortex beneath the runner.

**Aeration Device:** The function of an aeration device is for inlet of air into the turbine to provide for an increase in dissolved oxygen in the tailrace waterway for environmental enhancements. The device can be either active or passive in design with the passive designs being more common. An active design would include some type of motorized blower or compressor to force air into the turbine for mixing with water in the turbine and/or draft tube. A passive design would consist of some type of addition or modification to a turbine runner to naturally draw in atmospheric air into the turbine. This in its most basic form is done through adding baffles to vacuum breaker air discharge ports in the crown or nose cone of the turbine runner and blocking the vacuum breaker open. The latest and most efficient method is by an aerating turbine runner designed and built to discharge the air through internal porting in the runner and out the blade tips.

**Draft Tube:** The function of the draft tube is to gradually slow down the high discharge velocity water capturing the kinetic energy from the water, which is usually below atmospheric pressure. In most cases, it has an elbow in order to minimize excavation for the unit. The head recovery from the draft tube is the difference between the velocity head at the runner discharge and draft tube discharge overall, increasing the head across the turbine. The larger the head differential is across the turbine, the higher the turbine power output. The draft tube should be steel lined from the discharge ring to the point where the water velocity reduces to about 20 ft/s, which is considered below concrete scouring velocity [1].

Non-performance but reliability related components of a Francis turbine include the wicket gate mechanism / servomotors, head cover, bottom ring, turbine shaft, guide bearing, and mechanical seals / packing.

**Wicket Gate Mechanism / Servomotors:** The function of the wicket gate mechanism and servomotors is to control the opening and closing of the wicket gate assembly. The mechanism includes arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles or eccentric pins for closure adjustment, operating ring (or shift ring, and bearing pads), and bushings either greased bronze or greaseless type. Servomotors are usually hydraulically actuated using high pressure oil from the unit governor. In some limited cases a very small unit may have electro-mechanical servomotors.

**Head Cover:** The head cover is a pressurized structural member covering the turbine runner chamber that functions as a water barrier to seal the turbine. It also serves as a carrier for the upper wicket gate bushings, upper seal surface for the wicket gate vanes, support for the gate operating ring, carrier for the runner stationary seal rings, and support for the turbine guide bearing.

**Bottom Ring:** The bottom ring serves as a carrier for the bottom wicket gate bushings, bottom seal surface for the wicket gate vanes, and a carrier for the bottom runner stationary seal ring.
**Turbine Shaft:** The function of the turbine shaft is to transfer the torque from the turbine runner to the generator shaft and generator rotor. The shaft typically has a bearing journal for oil lubricated hydrodynamic guide bearings on the turbine runner end or wearing sleeve for water lubricated guide bearings. Shafts are usually manufactured from forged steel, but some of the largest shafts can be fabricated.

**Guide Bearing:** The function of the turbine guide bearing is to resist the mechanical imbalance and hydraulic side loads from the turbine runner thereby maintaining the turbine runner in its centered position in the runner seals. It is typically mounted as close as practical to the turbine runner and supported by the head cover. Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic (babbitted) bearings or water lubricated (plastic, wood, or composite) bearings.

**Mechanical Seals / Packing:** Sealing components in the turbine includes the seal for the turbine shaft and the wicket gate stem seals. Shaft seals are typically either packing boxes with square braided packing or for high speed units a mechanical seal is required. Wicket gate stem packing is usually either a square braided compression packing, a V type or Chevron packing, or some type of hydraulic elastomer seal. Although in the truest sense any sealing components on a turbine could be a performance issue, since any leakage that by-passes the turbine runner is a loss of energy, the leakage into the wheel pit is considered insignificant to the overall flow through the turbine.

### 1.2 Summary of Best Practices

#### 1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Periodic testing to establish accurate current unit performance characteristics and limits.

- Dissemination of accurate unit performance characteristics to unit operators, local and remote control and decision support systems, and other personnel and offices that influence unit dispatch or generation performance.

- Real-time monitoring and periodic analysis of unit performance at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from expected efficiency for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or instrument malfunction.

- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

- Maintain documentation of IPL and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g., hydraulic profiling, draft tube slot fillers, unit upgrade).

- Trend loss of turbine performance due to condition degradation for such causes as metal loss (cavitation, erosion, and corrosion), opening of runner seal, opening of wicket gate clearances, and increasing water passage surface
roughness. Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

- Include industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for turbine components materials and maintenance practices.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Use ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel to manufacture Francis turbine runners, wicket gates, and water lubricated bearing shaft sleeves to maximize resistance to erosion, abrasive wear, and cavitation. [18, 19]

- Bushing clearances greater than two times the design are considered excessive and warrants replacement.

- Wicket gate shear pins (mechanical fuse) are an engineered product designed to prevent failures of more costly components in the mechanism. When replacing pins or spares pins, it is best practice, to purchase the pin material from one manufacturer to ensure material properties remain consistent. Prototype sample pins are manufactured and tested to finalize the diameter for the final pin shop drawing.

- Turbine shaft areas near the shaft seal that are exposed to water should be sealed with a robust coating such as an epoxy paint to prevent corrosion of the shaft.

- Damage from erosion and cavitation on component wetted surfaces are repaired using 309L stainless steel welding electrodes. The electrodes increase damage resistance.

- When turbine runner seal clearances reach twice the design value one should consider rehabilitating or replacing the runner due to efficiency loss.

- Francis turbines with heads above 100 feet should be considered as candidates for embedded wicket gate vane end seals and wicket gates fabricated from stainless steel to mitigate leakage and wear.

- Adequate coating of the turbine wetted components not only prevents corrosion but has added benefits of improved performance.

- Vacuum breakers should be inspected routinely and adjusted for optimal performance.

- Discharge areas on a turbine runner for aeration devices should be clad with stainless steel to mitigate cavitation.

- Wicket gate mechanism linkage bushings should be of the greaseless type to reduce grease discharge to the wheel pit and ultimately the station sump. Using greaseless bushings in other applications possible; however, care must be taken
in any retrofit to ensure that the servomotors are strong enough to operate even after a 25% increase in long term friction.

- For applications above 200 feet of head, stainless steel wearing plates embedded into the head cover and bottom ring immediately above and below the wicket gate vanes are recommended.
- Kidney loop filtration should be installed on turbine guide bearing oil systems.
- Automatic strainers with internal backwash should be installed to supply uninterrupted supply of clean water to water lubricated turbine guide bearings.
- Monitor trends of decrease in condition of turbine (decrease in Condition Indicator (CI)) and decrease in reliability (an increase in Equivalent Forced Outage Rate (EFOR), a decrease in Effective Availability Factor (EAF)). Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C - Automation Best Practice
- Mechanical - Lubrication Best Practice
- Mechanical - Generator Best Practice
- Mechanical – Governor Best Practice
- Mechanical – Raw Water Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Francis turbine runners are typically manufactured as one piece, typically either as a casting or as a welded fabrication. Very old runners, from the early 1900’s or before, could have been cast from cast iron or bronze, later replaced with cast carbon steel; and today either cast or fabricated from carbon steel or stainless steel. Just as materials have improved for modern turbine runners, so has the design and manufacturing to provide enhanced performance for power, efficiency, and reduced cavitation damage.

Best practice for the turbine begins with a superior design to maximize and establish the baseline performance while minimizing damage due to various factors, including cavitation, pitting, and rough operation. The advent of computerized design and manufacturing occurred in the late 1970’s through 1980’s and made many of the advancements of today possible. Modern Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) flow analysis, Finite Element Analysis techniques (FEA) for engineering, and Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC) in manufacturing have significantly improved turbine efficiency and production accuracy.

Performance levels for turbine designs can be stated at three levels as follows:
The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing conducted prior to and during unit commissioning.

The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics determined by unit efficiency testing, which requires the simultaneous measurement of flow, head, and power under a range of operating conditions, as specified in the standards referenced in this document.

Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to new turbine design information from manufacturer to establish the achievable unit performance characteristics of replacement turbine(s).

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Turbine efficiency is likely the most important factor in an assessment to determine rehabilitation or replacement. Such testing may show CPL has degraded significantly from IPL. Figure 1 is an example of the peak efficiency of a Francis unit with a percentage point drop in peak efficiency of greater than 3 in a 35 year period since it went into commercial operation. Regardless of whether performance has degraded or not, newer turbine designs are usually more efficient than those designed 30 to 40 years ago. Also, a new turbine can be designed using actual historical operations rather than original design data providing a turbine more accurately suited for the site.

Figure 1: Example - Original vs. Degraded Performance Curves [8]

Newer state of the art turbine designs can not only achieve the PPL but also provide decreased cavitation damage based on better hydraulic design and materials [3]. Figures 2 and 3 show an original runner and its state of the art stainless steel replacement runner, as a comparison. Figure 6 shows a state of the art aerating runner which discharges the air from the bucket tips.
3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

After the commercial operation begins, how the turbine is operated and maintained will have a huge impact on loss prevention of the IPL and CPL and maintaining reliability. Materials for turbine runners are usually cast iron, steel, or stainless steel. As a best practice, the most common material being used today for new state of the art runners is ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel [18, 19]. It is cavitation resistant, fairly easy to cast and fabricate, and can usually be weld repaired without post heat treatment. The same is true for wicket gate materials.

The other wetted turbine components such as stay vanes, spiral cases, and draft tubes are usually constructed from steel due to strength requirements. Some components have stainless steel cladding overlaid in critical areas. The most significant contributor to performance loss for all wetted components is any metal loss due to cavitation, as shown in Figure 4, abrasive erosion, surface finish degradation, and the poor quality of past repairs which can distort the hydraulic design contours of components.

Condition assessment of those flow components must address any past damage, location of damage, repeat damage, and resulting increase in surface roughness. Evaluating the overall condition of a turbine and all its components may show that a new state of the art turbine runner with enhanced power and efficiency may provide sufficient benefits to justify its replacement, including rehabilitating related components, as compared to maintaining current turbine with existing efficiency [3].
The vacuum breaker or air inlet valve is usually mounted directly to turbine head cover and will probably require disassembly for a thorough condition assessment. A condition assessment would include observing operation of the vacuum breaker during startup. Loose operation or banging of the seals would indicate a misadjusted or worn device requiring maintenance. Unit performance can also be checked with valve opened, closed, and in normal operating position to measure and contrast any difference in unit performance that would indicate a problem with the valve.

Aeration devices for the turbine can take the form of more complex active systems, such as motorized blowers, to the less complex passive systems, such as baffles and self aspirating runner designs. The passive designs are being the most common practices, as shown in Figures 5 and 6.

Focusing on the most common designs, the condition assessment would include inspections of the air discharge passages in the turbine and any observable cavitation or erosion damage that might affect its normal operation. A decrease of normal dissolve oxygen uptake in the waterway downstream could be an indicator of degradation of the condition of the aeration device.
The wicket gate mechanism (Figure 7) and the actuating servomotors provide for the regulation and control of the turbine. The condition assessment of the components would include measurements of wear or looseness in the arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles (or eccentric pins), linkage bushings, operating ring (and bearing pads), and wicket gate stem bushings. It is important to note, that excessive wear in the components is additive and can result in losing off-line regulating control of the wicket gates making it more difficult to synchronize the unit. This is an indicator that rehabilitation on the components is necessary. Measurement of wear is difficult without disassembly, however, extreme wear can be observed as loss of motion in gate movements.

In some turbine designs it is possible during un-watered outages, to measure the clearance between the wicket gate stem journals and the inside diameter of the bushings with feeler gauges. Abnormal water leakage around the wicket gates in the turbine wheel pit after an attempt to adjust the stem packing is an indicator of excessive wicket gate stem bushing wear. As a best practice, bushing to journal clearance greater than two times the design is considered excessive. An increase in the number of shear pin failures over a given period is an indication of either a problem with the design and material used to manufacture the pins or a problem with binding in the mechanism.
Hydraulic servomotors (Figure 8) are usually very reliable, with the most common problem being oil leakage from the seal on the actuating rod. The amount of acceptable leakage is dependent on the seal design and site maintenance requirements. Hydraulic seals will leak very little whereas a square braided compression packing will leak more. A condition assessment would include observation of the leakage and discussion with the plant maintenance technicians as to the amount of daily or weekly maintenance required. Excessive maintenance would require the change of the seal or packing. It is important to note and observe if the actuating rod is smooth, without any scoring or grooves which would prevent sealing. If the rod is damaged it will require repair or replacement.

The condition assessment of the head cover and bottom ring consists mainly of visually inspecting the wetted surfaces for erosion and cavitation. Cracking in either component or deep erosion in the water barrier of the head cover is a major concern and must be addressed immediately. Excessive corrosion of the joint bolting (stay ring flange or split joints) or failure of the bolting is a major concern and must be addressed immediately. The assessment would also include observation of any galling between the ends of the wicket gate vanes, the head cover, bottom ring and damage to embedded end seals.
The condition assessment of the turbine shaft (Figure 9) would include observation of corrosion and defects on the exposed surface. Any cracking as identified by the Nondestructive Examination (NDE) methods is a major concern and must be addressed immediately.

Bearing journals and sleeves must be smooth and free of defects (only accessible with bearing removed) to ensure the reliability of the turbine guide bearing. As a best practice for water lubrication turbine bearings, wearing sleeves are usually manufactured from ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel either as a forging or centrifugally cast [18, 19]. Areas near the shaft seal that are exposed to water should be sealed with a robust coating such as an epoxy paint to prevent corrosion of the shaft.

Figure 9: Turbine Shaft / Wheel Pit

Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic bearings (Figure 10) or water lubricated bearings (Figure 11), with the latter being found only in low head slow speed units. The condition assessment of the oil lubricated type includes vibration measurements (i.e. shaft throw) and temperature of the bearing in operation. Abnormal indications of those could be a sign of failure of the babbitted surface (wipe), un-bonding of the babbitt from the bearing housing, or contamination of the oil. The condition assessment of a water lubricated type centers mainly on vibration measurements and success of subsequent bearing adjustments if the design permits. An indication of a loose wearing sleeve on the shaft is excessive shaft throw (vibration) even after adjusting the bearing. Non-adjustable water lubricated bearings, or bearings worn beyond adjustment will require the wearing liner (either wood, plastic, or composite) to be replaced.
The condition assessment of the wicket gate stem seals or shaft seals usually includes the observation of excessive water leakage in the turbine wheel pit area which can be viewed visually or estimated by sump pump operation (if available). Excessive leakage, even after adjustments (if possible by design), is an indication that the seals or packing must be replaced.
3.2 Operations

Since Francis turbines have a very narrow operating range for peak efficiency (Figure 12), it is extremely important for plant operations to have an accurate operating curve for the units. The curves originate from the manufacturer’s model test data and post installation performance testing. The performance of the turbine can degrade over time, due to cavitation and/or erosion damage and resulting weld repairs, etc. Therefore, to maximize unit efficiency, periodic performance testing, either as absolute or relative testing must be carried out to update operational performance curves. An example of relative testing would be index testing (using Winter Kennedy taps).

![Figure 12: Typical Francis Performance Curve](image)

“Frequent index testing, especially before and after major maintenance activities on a turbine, should be made to detect changes in turbine performance at an early stage and establish controls [8].” Plants should, as “best practice,” perform periodic performance testing (such as index testing according to PTC 18 [13]) to assure the most accurate operating curves are available to optimize plant output. Routinely, this should be done on a 10 year cycle as a minimum.

3.3 Maintenance

It is commonly accepted that turbines normally suffer from a progressive deterioration in performance over time (in default of restorative action) [4]. Usual causes include cavitation damage, abrasive erosion wear, galvanic corrosion, impact damage from debris passing through, and errors in welding repairs to original runner blade profile and surface finish. Performance related maintenance techniques involve mainly those weld repairs to cavitation damage, abrasive erosion damage, and galvanic corrosion on the turbine components such as the runner, wicket gates, stay vane, spiral case and draft tube. The usual best practice is to perform cladding with a 309L stainless steel welding electrode to provide some cavitation resistance. In some cases, original blade contour templates are available at the plant to facilitate returning the blade back to Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) specifications. A good reference for turbine maintenance is the USBR’s FIST Volume 2-5,
Francis turbine runners usually have replaceable seal rings or wear rings on the outside diameter of the crown and band, or provision for adding such in the future. It is essential to maintain adequate sealing to prevent excessive hydraulic thrust loads on the generator thrust bearing (bearing carrying the unit’s axial load, i.e., static weight plus hydraulic thrust) and prevent excessive water leakage by-passing the runner.

The generator thrust bearing is designed to handle hydraulic loads from the seals worn to twice the design value [1]. Therefore, as a best practice, when runner seal clearances reach twice the design value one should consider rehabilitating or replacing the runner. Seal ring clearances are usually measured with feeler gauges during routine maintenance and documented for trending over time. For high head units, leakage by these seal rings may affect the overall efficiency of the turbine by 1 to 3% [5].

Worn wicket gate end clearances can also contribute to a decline in unit performance since leakage contributes to power generation loss, particularly by those units with a low service factor (i.e., gates in closed position for a significant period of time). In a new unit, the leakage through properly designed wicket gates may be markedly less than 1% of full gate discharge, however, over years of operation this could be doubled due to eroded end clearances, worn stem journal bushings, and improperly adjusted toe to heel closures [5]. As a best practice, turbines with heads above 100 feet should be considered as candidates for embedded wicket gate vane end seals and wicket gates fabricated from stainless steel to mitigate leakage and wear.

Investigations by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) show minor modifications to the stay vane - wicket gate system could result in an operation efficiency increases of 0.5 to 0.7% for the units studied [10]. As shown in reference [10], the modification takes the form of profile change on the stay vane leading and trailing edges modifying the wake relative to the wicket gate. These changes have to be studied in a Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) model and/or physical model. In addition, such modifications can reduce fish injury as one environmental benefit.

In some cases, Von Karman vortices can trail off the wicket gates during high flow operation, impinging on the runner band and blades with resulting cavitation damage. Flow profile modifications, including a narrowing of the lower trailing edges (as shown in Figure 13) of the wicket gates, can reduce the formation of vortices, and thus allow higher flow rates and power output. The exact profile change should be designed based on CFD and/or physical modeling.
Further studies by the USACE to improve turbine efficiency have found some relationship between surface roughness of the turbine components, and degradation of the unit performance [9]. It is commonly known that surface roughness on flow surfaces robs a moving fluid of energy; similar to what is found in piping systems. A higher relative roughness will increase the friction loss usually expressed in the head.

Since the power generated by a turbine is directly related to head, logically, any loss in head by frictional losses of the water flowing through the turbine will be a loss in performance. Improvements in surface finish include grinding and coating (painting) the surfaces. In some cases, the USACE tests found efficiency improvements of 0.1 to 0.8% comparing pre-coated versus post-coating performance [9]. However, the level of uncertainty of field testing measurement can range up to 1%, which makes it difficult to quantify results within testing error. Common maintenance best practice of providing adequate coating of the turbine components to prevent surface corrosion does have added benefits of improved performance, however unquantifiable.

By design, a vacuum breaker introduces atmospheric air into the sub-atmospheric area below the runner reducing the pressure across the runner, thereby reducing efficiency. The vacuum breaker should be able to work at the smallest possible gate setting to avoid vibration and rough operation, but not admit air at the higher operating gate settings. Best practice for the vacuum breaker includes periodic maintenance (during routine inspections) to assure proper operation and evaluation of the condition of the main seals to prevent leakage. It is important that any stroke dampening devices built into the vacuum breaker be checked and adjusted annually to avoid excessive cycling (banging) of the seal during operation. The vacuum breaker, being a mechanical device subject to frictional wear, will require major maintenance (overhauling) based on number of cycles of operation, but typically every 10 to 20 years.

Maintenance of any aeration device on the turbine includes periodic inspection (during routine inspections) and testing of components to ensure the device is operating according to design. Areas adjacent to the air discharge in the turbine must be monitored for damage due...
to erosion or cavitation. As a best practice, those areas if not stainless steel already should be clad with stainless steel to mitigate damage.

Pressures in the draft tube increase as the water flows from the elbow to the exit. If the top of the draft tube gate slots (close to the elbow) is submerged (under tail water), water can be drawn down into the draft tube due to the lower pressure there, increasing the total flow in the draft tube from that point to the exit, thereby increasing the head loss and reducing the unit efficiency. The closer the gate slot is to the centerline of the unit, the greater the effect. The use of slot fillers to plug the upper openings of the gate slots have been shown to remedy this problem and in some cases, improve efficiency by as much as 1% is considered a best practice.

The wicket gate mechanism consists of arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles (or eccentric pins), linkage bushings, operating ring (and bearing pads), and wicket gate stem bushings. For greased bushing designs it is essential that the greasing system is functioning to original specification with metered grease flowing to all points. It is important to grease the wicket gate stem bushings and observe if the grease is entering the bushing clearance and visually discharging. If not, this will have to be repaired immediately.

Greaseless bushing designs require less routine maintenance than the greased designs; however the most common maintenance issue is broken or loose anti-rotation devices on the pins. The greaseless bushings will wear at a more rapid rate than the greased bushings, requiring replacements more frequently, such as on a 10 to 20 year cycle in contrast to a 30 to 40 year cycle for greased bushings.

As a best practice, the bushings on the wicket gate linkages are usually the greaseless type in order to reduce the amount of grease discharging into the wheel pit area and ultimately flowing into the powerhouse sump. Bushing applications in other turbine areas, such as wicket gate stem bushings, operating ring pads, and servomotors are usually chosen based on the owner’s preference when comparing bushing life and reliability versus the owner’s desire to minimize the use of grease lubrication. However, it is important that each greaseless bushing is designed correctly for the application.

In some cases the friction in greaseless bushings increases over time due to trapped wear debris and incursion of silt and debris from the water, as compared to the greased bushings which are flushed by the movement of the grease. An increase in long term operating friction in greaseless applications means the wicket gate servomotors must be over designed (particularly in retrofits) with excess capacity of at least 25% in order to ensure reliable operation [11].

Major maintenance of the wicket gate mechanism includes replacement of the pins, pads, bushings, and true machining of wear surfaces. This will be required every 10 to 40 years depending on the design and operating conditions. Shear pins (mechanical fuse) are an engineered product designed to prevent failures of more costly components in the mechanism. It is best practice to purchase the pin material from one manufacturer to ensure material properties remain constant. Prototype sample pins are manufactured and then broken
in a test stand to determine actual shear properties. This test data is used to finalize the shear area diameter for the final pin shop drawing.

Routine maintenance of wicket gate servomotors is minimal and usually only requires changing of the actuating rod seals or packing when leakage become excessive. Major maintenance includes an overhaul of the servomotor, requiring disassembly, and replacement of bushings, seals, and piston rings.

Head cover and bottom ring routine maintenance is usually to ensure that the protective coating on the wetted surfaces is intact and any erosion or cavitation is repaired before it progressively worsens. Any galling damage at or near the ends of the wicket gate vanes must be removed by grinding to prevent further galling or damage to the wicket gate vane end seals. For higher head units with heads above 200 feet and/or poor water quality units it is best practice to embed stainless steel wearing plates in the head cover and bottom ring immediately above and below the wicket gate vane ends to mitigate erosion and cavitation damage.

It is also common to install wicket gate vane end seals (either elastomer or bronze) into these areas to minimize leakage. Unfortunately, it is also best practice to manufacture wicket gates from stainless steel. Since stainless steel in contact with stainless steel can experience a high degree of galling, it is imperative that the design of wicket gate up thrust device be robust to resist the axial movement of the gate and prevent these surfaces from contacting. Wicket gate up thrust is generated either by the hydraulic pressure of water under the bottom stem and/or grease application pressure. Major maintenance of the head cover and bottom ring includes blasting and Nondestructive Examination (NDE) for cracking inspection, recoating, replacing wear plates and runner stationary seal rings, and replacing wicket gate bushings.

Routine turbine shaft maintenance consists of minimizing the corrosion of the shaft surface with a light coat of oil in the non-water contact areas and periodic re-coating of areas that come in contact with water with a robust paint such as epoxy. Major maintenance includes refurbishment on bearing journals, replacement of wearing sleeve, and re-truing coupling faces during a major unit overhaul.

Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic bearings or water lubricated bearings. Maintenance of an oil lubricated bearing and its reliability are directly connected to the quality of the supplied oil used for lubrication and cooling. Any contamination of the oil either with debris or water will increase the likelihood of a bearing failure. A best practice is to install a kidney loop filtration system capable of continuously removing debris and water from the bearing oil supply. Maintenance of a water lubricated bearing and its reliability are also directly connected to the quality of the supplied water used for lubrication and cooling. Although in this case, with the viscosity of the water being so low, the water functions more as a coolant than as a lubricant. A best practice is to install an automatic strainer with internal backwash for uninterrupted supply of clean water to the bearing without need of routine maintenance to change or clean the filters. An uninterrupted supply is essential since any loss of water flow during turbine operation will quickly overheat the anti-friction contact
surface of the internal liner (plastic, wood, or composite) of the bearing resulting in a rapid failure.

Since water lubricated bearings inherently wear which results in an increase in shaft vibration (shaft throw), periodic maintenance is required to adjust the bearing to tighten the running clearance. Some poorly designed bearings are non-adjustable and require the internal lining to be replaced to tighten clearance. Extreme shaft vibration (shaft throw) can cause contact of the turbine runner’s seal rings, resulting in wear and the possible failure of the seal rings and extended unit outage. Major maintenance of either bearing type requires the refurbishment of the bearings, such as re-babbitting of an oil bearing or re-lining the water lubricated bearing. In addition, for water lubricated bearing, the shaft wearing sleeve may have to be machined true or replaced.

Sealing components in the turbine include the wicket gate stem seals and the seal for the turbine shaft. Routine maintenance will vary according to the type of seal and the operating conditions. Generally the hydraulic type seals, such as PolyPak seals, on wicket gate stems are maintenance free, however, like o-ring seals, once they leak there are no adjustments and must be replaced. Adjustable seal designs, such as with packing, can be tightened to reduce the leakage. Excessive leakage, even after adjustment, is an indication that the seals or packing must be replaced.

Seals for the turbine shaft vary from simple packing in a packing box around the shaft to higher speed applications with mechanical seals. It is important to note that a certain amount of leakage is required in a turbine shaft seal for cooling the seal (or packing), therefore zero leakage is not the objective. Routine maintenance includes replacement of the packing in the packing box or replacement of the composite (sacrificial) wearing component in the mechanical seal. Major maintenance of all the applications consists of the routine maintenance replacements and additional replacement of any opposing hard face wear elements such as wear sleeves for packing and hard face wear elements for the mechanical seals.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental process for a hydro turbine is described by the efficiency equation, which is defined as the ratio of the power delivered by the turbine to the power of the water passing through the turbine.

Where:  
- \( \eta \) is the hydraulic efficiency of the turbine  
- \( P \) is the mechanical power produced at the turbine shaft (MW)  
- \( \rho \) is the density of water (1000 kg/m\(^3\))  
- \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s\(^2\))  
- \( Q \) is the flow rate passing through the turbine (m\(^3\)/s)  
- \( H \) is the effective pressure head across the turbine (m)
The general expression for this efficiency (η): \[ \eta = \frac{p}{\rho g Q H} \] [14]

Turbine performance parameters for Francis units are defined in ASME PTC-18 [13] and IEC 60041 [14], and typically include the following: Generator Output, Turbine Discharge, Headwater and Tailwater Elevations, Inlet Head, Discharge Head, Gate Position, and Water Temperature.

Typical vibration measurements may include: shaft displacement (x and y) at turbine and generator bearings, and headcover and thrust bridge displacements (z). Acoustic emission (on the draft tube access door or liner) may be measured to track relative cavitation noise.

The condition of the Francis turbine can be monitored by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual, ORNL, October 2011 [12].

Unit reliability characteristics, as judged by its availability for generation, can be monitored by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s (NERC) performance indicators, such Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR). These are used universally by the power industry. Many utilities supply data to the Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the performance of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analysis and decision-making by GADS data users.

4.2 Data Analysis

Analysis of test data is defined in ASME PTC-18 [15] and IEC 60041 [16]. Basically, determine unit efficiency and available power output relative to turbine discharge, head, gate opening position, and determine operating limits based on vibration and acoustic emission measurements (CPL). Compare results to previous or original unit test data (IPL), and determine efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue loss. Compare results to new unit design data (from turbine manufacturer), and determine potential efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue gain (PPL). For the latter, calculate the installation/rehabilitation cost and internal rate of return to determine upgrade justification. Separately, determine the justification of draft tube profile modification using turbine manufacturer’s data.

Trend runner seal clearances (top and bottom) relative to OEM design values. If rehabilitation is required (resulting in complete unit disassembly), consider the value of installing new design unit.

Trend wicket gate end clearances (top and bottom), and toe to heel closures relative to OEM design values. If rehabilitation is required (resulting in complete unit disassembly), consider the value of installing new design unit. If the turbine does not already have wicket gate end seals (either spring loaded bronze or elastomer), analytically determine the annual energy and revenue gain associated with their use. Calculate the implementation cost and internal rate of return.
Monitor the operation of vacuum breaker based on routine maintenance program and through performance testing. Consider rehabilitating the vacuum breaker if it is leaking.

Analytically or using field test data, determine the efficiency, annual energy, and revenue gain associated with the use of draft tube gate slot fillers. Calculate the implementation cost and internal rate of return.

The condition assessment of a Francis turbine is quantified through the CI as derived according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual, ORNL, October 2011 [12]. The overall CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the turbine. This methodology can be applied periodically to derive a CI snapshot of the current turbine condition such that it can be monitored over time and studied to determine condition trends that can impact performance and reliability.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations [17].

### 4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, these would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g., Automatic Generation Control), but if not, hard copies of the curves and limits should be made available to all involved personnel – particularly unit operators, their importance to be emphasized, and their ability to be understood and confirmed.

Justified projects (hydraulic re-profiling, slot fillers, unit upgrade), and a method to constantly monitor unit performance should be implemented.

As the condition of the turbine changes, the CI and reliability indexes are trended and analyzed. Using this data, projects can be ranked and justified in the maintenance and capital programs to bring the turbine back to an acceptable condition and performance level.

### 5.0 Information Sources:

**Baseline Knowledge:**


State of the Art
March, P.A. and Wolff, P.J., Component Indicators for an Optimization-Based Hydro Performance Indicator: HydroVision 2004, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, August 2004.


USACE, Turbine Surface Roughness Improvement, HDC-P, December, 2003

USACE, Stay Vane and Wicket Gate Relationship Study, CENWP- HDC-P, January 19, 2005


ORNL, HAP Condition Assessment Manual, October, 2011


Standards:
ASME 2011, Hydraulic Turbines and Pump-Turbines, PTC 18-2011


NERC, Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations, January, 2011

ASTM A487, Standard Specification for Steel Castings Suitable for Pressure Service
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for a Propeller/Kaplan turbine addresses its technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize its performance and reliability. The primary purpose of the turbine is to function as the prime mover providing direct horsepower to the generator. It is the most significant system in a hydro unit. How the turbine is designed, operated, and maintained provides the most impact to the efficiency, performance, and reliability of a hydro unit. The Propeller/Kaplan type turbine is typically used in a low head and high flow application. Fixed-blade propeller types have a very narrow range of high efficiency operation, while adjustable-blade types can operate at high efficiency over a wide flow and power output range.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Turbine → Propeller/Kaplan Turbine

1.1.1 Kaplan/Propeller Turbine Components

Performance and reliability related components of a Propeller/Kaplan turbine consist of a reaction type axial-flow runner with adjustable-blade mechanism, wicket gates and controlling mechanism, spiral case, stay ring/stay vanes, and draft tube.

Spiral Case: The function of the spiral case (or scroll case) is to supply water from the intake to the stay vanes, directly to the upstream portion of the turbine, and through a unique shape of continual cross sectional area reduction to the downstream portion of the turbine; maintaining a near uniform velocity of water around the stay vanes and wicket gates.

Stay Ring/Vanes: The function of the stay vanes (and stay ring) is to align the flow of water from the spiral casing to the wicket gates. They also function as support columns in vertical units for supporting the static weight of the unit’s stationary components and hydraulic thrust during turbine operation.

Wicket Gates: The function of the wicket gates is primarily to control the quantity of water entering the turbine runner, thereby controlling power output. Secondarily, the gates control the angle of the high tangential velocity water striking the runner blades. The optimum angle of attack will be at peak efficiency. In an adjustable-blade unit, the tilt of the blades and opening of the gates are synchronized to maximize efficiency over as much of the operating range as possible. The wicket gates also function as a closure valve to minimize leakage through the turbine while it is shut down.

Runner: The function of the runner is to convert the potential energy of pressure (head) and flow of water into mechanical energy or rotational horsepower. The Kaplan runner is comprised of a hub, nosecone, blades, and an internal blade tilting mechanism - typically a hydraulically-driven piston with linkage and seals. Oil pressure is provided by the governor hydraulic system.
**Draft Tube:** The function of the draft tube, which is initially conically shaped and attached to the turbine discharge, is to gradually slow down the high discharge velocity water, capturing kinetic energy from the water, which is usually below atmospheric pressure. In most cases, it has an elbow in order to minimize excavation for the unit. The head recovery from the draft tube is the difference between the velocity head at the runner discharge and draft tube discharge, overall increasing the head across the turbine. The larger the head differential is across the turbine, the higher the turbine power output. The throat ring of the draft tube should be steel lined from the discharge ring to the point where the water velocity reduces to about 20 ft/s, which is considered below concrete scouring velocity [1].

Non-performance but reliability related components of a Propeller/Kaplan turbine include the wicket gate mechanism/servomotors, head cover, bottom ring, turbine shaft, guide bearing, mechanical seals/packing and discharge/throat ring.

**Wicket Gate Mechanism/Servomotors:** The function of the wicket gate mechanism and servomotors is to control the opening and closing of the wicket gate assembly. The mechanism includes arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles or eccentric pins for closure adjustment, operating ring (or shift ring, and bearing pads), and bushings either greased bronze or greaseless type. Servomotors are usually hydraulically actuated using high pressure oil from the unit governor. In some limited cases a very small unit may have electro-mechanical servomotors.

**Turbine Shaft:** The function of the turbine shaft is to transfer the torque from the turbine runner to the generator shaft and generator rotor. The shaft typically has a bearing journal for oil lubricated hydrodynamic guide bearings on the turbine runner end or wearing sleeve for water lubricated guide bearings. Shafts are usually manufactured from forged steel, but some of the largest shafts can be fabricated.

**Guide Bearing:** The function of the turbine guide bearing is to resist the mechanical imbalance and hydraulic side loads from the turbine runner thereby maintaining the turbine runner in its centered position in the runner seals. It is typically mounted as close as practical to the turbine runner and supported by the head cover. Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic (babbitted) bearings or water lubricated (plastic, wood, or composite) bearings.

**Mechanical Seals / Packing:** Water retaining sealing components in the turbine includes the seal for the turbine shaft and the wicket gate stem seals. Shaft seals are typically either packing boxes with square braided packing or for high speed units a mechanical seal is required. Wicket gate stem packing is usually either a square braided compression packing, a V type or Chevron packing, or some type of hydraulic elastomer seal. Although in the truest sense any sealing components on a turbine could be a performance issue, since any leakage that by-passes the turbine runner is a loss of energy, the leakage into the wheel pit is considered insignificant to the overall flow through the turbine.
Oil filled Kaplan hubs have seals around the blade trunnions to prevent oil leakage and to prevent water leakage into the oil. These trunnions seals are usually either double opposing or chevron packing type.

Head Cover / Bottom Ring: The head cover is a pressurized structural member covering the turbine runner chamber that functions as a water barrier to seal the turbine. It also serves as a carrier for the upper wicket gate bushings, upper seal surface for the wicket gate vanes, support for the gate operating ring, carrier for the runner stationary seal rings, and support for the turbine guide bearing. The bottom ring serves as a carrier for the bottom wicket gate bushings, bottom seal surface for the wicket gate vanes, and a carrier for the bottom runner stationary seal ring.

Discharge / Throat Ring: The discharge ring serves as the steel housing of the runner which is the transitional piece to the expanding draft tube.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Periodic testing to establish accurate current unit performance characteristics and limits.
- Dissemination of accurate unit performance characteristics to unit operators, local and remote control and decision support systems, and other personnel and offices that influence unit dispatch or generation performance.
- Real-time monitoring and periodic analysis of unit performance at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from expected efficiency for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or instrument malfunction.
- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.
- Maintain documentation of IPL and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g., hydraulic profiling, slot fillers, unit upgrade).
- Trend loss of turbine performance due to condition degradation for such causes of metal loss (cavitation, erosion and corrosion), opening of runner seal and wicket gate clearances, increasing water passage surface roughness.
- Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.
- Include industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for turbine components materials and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.
1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Use ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel to manufacture Propeller/Kaplan turbine runners, wicket gates, and water lubricated bearing shaft sleeves to maximize resistance to erosion, abrasive wear, and cavitation.

- Bushing clearances greater than two times the design are considered excessive and warrants replacement.

- Wicket gate shear pins (mechanical fuse) are an engineered product designed to prevent failures of more costly components in the mechanism. When replacing pins or spares pins, it is best practice, to purchase the pin material from one manufacturer to ensure material properties remain consistent. Prototype sample pins are manufactured and tested to finalize the diameter for the final pin shop drawing.

- Turbine shaft areas near the shaft seal that are exposed to water should be sealed with a robust coating such as an epoxy paint to prevent corrosion of the shaft.

- Damage from erosion and cavitation on component wetted surfaces are repaired using 309L stainless steel welding electrodes. The electrodes increase damage resistance.

- Propeller/Kaplan turbines with heads above 100 feet should be considered as candidates for embedded wicket gate vane end seals and wicket gates fabricated from stainless steel to mitigate leakage and wear.

- Adequate coating of the turbine wetted components not only prevents corrosion but has added benefits of improved performance.

- Vacuum breakers should be inspected routinely and adjusted for optimal performance.

- Discharge areas on a turbine runner for aeration devices should be clad with stainless steel to mitigate cavitation.

- Wicket gate mechanism linkage bushings should be of the greaseless type to reduce grease discharge to the wheel pit and ultimately the station sump. Using greaseless bushings in other applications possible; however, care must be taken in any retrofit to ensure that the servomotors are of sufficient strength to operate even after a 25% increase in long term friction.

- Kidney loop filtration should be installed on turbine guide bearing oil systems.

- Automatic strainers with internal backwash should be installed to supply uninterrupted supply of clean water to water lubricated turbine guide bearings.
1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C - Automation Best Practice
- Mechanical - Lubrication Best Practice
- Mechanical - Generator Best Practice
- Mechanical – Governor Best Practice
- Mechanical – Raw Water Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Propeller/Kaplan turbine blades and internal parts are typically cast; whereas the hub and nose cone are either cast or rolled and welded. Very old runners, from the early 1900’s or before, could have been cast from cast iron, later replaced with cast carbon steel. Today’s casting would involve casting or fabrication from carbon steel or stainless steel. As a best practice, the most common material used for the blades is ASTM A487/A743 CA6NM stainless steel \[18, 17\]. It is cavitation-resistant, fairly easy to cast and fabricate, and can usually be weld-repaired without post heat treatment.

Best practice for the turbine begins with a superior design to maximize and establish the baseline performance while minimizing damage due to various factors, including cavitation, pitting, and rough operation. The advent of computerized design and manufacturing occurred in the late 1970’s through 1980’s and made many of the advancements of today possible. Modern Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) flow analysis, Finite Element Analysis techniques (FEA) for engineering, and Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC) in manufacturing have significantly improved turbine efficiency and production accuracy.

Performance levels for turbine designs can be stated at three levels as follows:

- The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing conducted prior to and during unit commissioning.

- The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics determined by unit efficiency testing, which requires the simultaneous measurement of flow, head, and power under a range of operating conditions, as specified in the standards referenced in this document.

- Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to new turbine design information from manufacturers to establish the achievable unit performance characteristics of replacement turbine(s).
2.2 State of the Art Technology

Turbine efficiency is likely the most important factor in a condition assessment to determine rehabilitation or replacement. Testing may show performance has degraded significantly. For example, the efficiency of a Kaplan unit has experienced steady degradation amounting to a total of 4 percentage points over a 19 year period (Figure 1).

Regardless of whether performance has degraded or not, newer turbine designs are usually more efficient than those designed 30 to 40 years ago. Also, a new turbine can be designed using actual historical data rather than original design data providing a turbine more accurately suited for the site. Newer turbine designs also provide decreased cavitation based on better hydraulic design and materials [2]. For comparison, Figures 2 and 3 show an original runner and its stainless steel replacement runner.

![Figure 1: Kaplan Performance Degradation](image-url)
3.0 Operational & Maintenance Best Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

After the commercial operation begins, how the turbine is operated and maintained will have a huge impact on loss prevention of the IPL and CPL and maintaining reliability.

Materials for turbine runners are usually cast iron, steel, or stainless steel. As a best practice, the most common material being used today for new state of the art runners is ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel [16, 17]. It is cavitation resistant, fairly easy to cast and fabricate, and can usually be weld repaired without post heat treatment.

The same is true for wicket gate materials. The hub and nose cone are usually carbon steel, but should have strategically-located stainless steel overlay. The other wetted components such as distributor rings, including stay vanes, are typically constructed from steel due to strength requirements and some with stainless steel cladding overlaid in critical areas.

Spiral cases and draft tubes are usually left as poured concrete except for the high velocity throat ring area. A significant contributor to performance loss in these wetted components is any surface degradation due to cavitation, abrasive erosion, surface finish degradation, and the poor quality of past repairs. Typical locations are shown in Figure 4. These deteriorating factors can distort the hydraulic design contours of components. Condition assessment of those flow components must address all past damage, location of damage, repeat damage, and resulting increase in surface roughness. The same is true for wicket gate materials.

The other wetted turbine components such as stay vanes, spiral cases, and draft tubes are usually constructed from steel due to strength requirements. Some components have stainless steel cladding overlaid in critical areas. The most significant contributor to performance loss for all wetted components is any metal loss due to cavitation, as shown in Figure 4, abrasive erosion, surface finish degradation, and the poor quality of past repairs which can distort the hydraulic design contours of components.
Condition assessment of those flow components must address any past damage, location of damage, repeat damage, and resulting increase in surface roughness.

Figure 4: Typical Areas to Check for Cavitation Damage

A certain amount of cavitation is inherent in a Kaplan runner, primarily due to gaps between the blade inner periphery and hub, and between the blade outer periphery and throat ring. Most runners manufactured since the 1980’s include an “anti-cavitation fin” (located on a portion of the suction side of the blade outer periphery) to serve as a sacrificial element (Figure 5). Periodic inspection of this fin and of the throat ring may assist in identification of excessive operation beyond recommended cavitation limits in an effort to take advantage of the excessive flow and/or head which are otherwise wasted.
A comparison of the blade tip clearances to original installation measurements will provide an indication of the condition of the mechanism (bushings/bearings) securing the blade trunnions. Increased play in the securing mechanism of the trunnions can result in sagging blade tips which essentially creates a modified hydraulic profile from that designed, and consequent reduction in performance.

Drifting of the blade position over time and excessive oil usage may indicate the need to replace piston rings or other oil seals in the system. Maintaining blade position is paramount for optimizing performance. A periodic check should be made of the blade position on the hub versus the indicated position outside the unit, since original manufacturer’s data (usually model) is often required to develop the optimum gate-blade relationship over the full head range.

Evaluating the condition of a turbine and its components may show that a new, state of the art designed runner with enhanced power and efficiency may provide sufficient benefits to justify its replacement, including rehabilitating related components, as compared to maintaining the current turbine with its existing efficiency [2].

The wicket gate mechanism (Figure 6) and the actuating servomotors provide for the regulation and control of the turbine. The condition assessment of the components would include measurements of wear or looseness in the arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles (or eccentric pins), linkage bushings, operating ring (and bearing pads), and
wicket gate stem bushings. It is important to note, that excessive wear in the components is additive and can result in losing off-line regulating control of the wicket gates making it more difficult to synchronize the unit. This is an indicator that rehabilitation on the components is necessary. Measurement of wear is difficult without disassembly, however, extreme wear can be observed as loss of motion in gate movements.

In some turbine designs it is possible during un-watered outages, to measure the clearance between the wicket gate stem journals and the inside diameter of the bushings with feeler gauges. Abnormal water leakage around the wicket gates in the turbine wheel pit after an attempt to adjust the stem packing is an indicator of excessive wicket gate stem bushing wear. As a best practice, bushing to journal clearance greater than two times the design is considered excessive. An increase in the number of shear pin failures over a given period is an indication of either a problem with the design and material used to manufacture the pins or binding in the mechanism.

Hydraulic servomotors (Figure 7) are usually very reliable, with the most common problem being oil leakage from the seal on the actuating rod. The amount of acceptable leakage is dependent on the seal design and site maintenance requirements. Hydraulic seals will leak very little whereas a square braided compression packing will leak more.

A condition assessment would include observation of the leakage and discussion with the plant maintenance technicians as to the amount of daily or weekly maintenance required. Excessive maintenance would require the change of the seal or packing. It is important to note and observe if the actuating rod is smooth, without any scoring or grooves which would prevent sealing. If the rod is damaged it will require repair or replacement.
The condition assessment of the head cover and bottom ring consists mainly of visually inspecting the wetted surfaces for erosion and cavitation. Cracking in either component or deep erosion in the water barrier of the head cover is a major concern and must be addressed immediately. Excessive corrosion of the joint bolting (stay ring flange or split joints) or failure of the bolting is a major concern and must be addressed immediately. The assessment would also include observation of any galling between the ends of the wicket gate vanes and the head cover and bottom ring and damage to embedded end seals.

The condition assessment of the turbine shaft (Figure 8) would include observation of corrosion and defects on the exposed surface. Any cracking as identified by the Nondestructive Examination (NDE) methods is a major concern and must be addressed immediately. Bearing journals and sleeves must be smooth and free of defects (only accessible with bearing removed) to ensure the reliability of the turbine guide bearing. As a best practice for water lubrication, turbine bearings, wearing sleeves are usually manufactured from ASTM A743 CA6NM [17] stainless steel either as a forging or centrifugally cast. Areas near the shaft seal that are exposed to water should be sealed with a robust coating such as an epoxy paint to prevent corrosion of the shaft.
Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic bearings (Figure 9) or water lubricated bearings (Figure 10), with the latter being found only in low head slow speed units. The condition assessment of the oil lubricated type includes vibration measurements (i.e. shaft throw) and temperature of the bearing in operation. Abnormal indications of those could be a sign of failure of the babbitted surface (wipe), un-bonding of the babbitt from the bearing housing, or contamination of the oil.

The condition assessment of a water lubricated type, centers mainly on vibration measurements and success of subsequent bearing adjustments (design permitting). An indication of a loose wearing sleeve on the shaft is excessive shaft throw (vibration) even after adjusting the bearing. Non-adjustable water lubricated bearings, or bearings worn beyond adjustment will require the wearing liner (either wood, plastic, or composite) to be replaced.

The condition assessment of the wicket gate stem seals or shaft seals usually includes the observation of excessive water leakage in the turbine wheel pit area which can be viewed visually or estimated by sump pump operation (if available). Excessive leakage, even after adjustments (if possible by design), is an indication that the seals or packing must be replaced.

Either leakage of oil from the Kaplan blade trunnions seals or leakage of water into the Kaplan hub, oil condition is an indicator of possible worn Kaplan blade trunnions bushings or bearings. Excessive wear in the blade trunnions bushings allow the blade to move further than the capability of the seal resulting in leakage during operation and long term wear of the seal.

### 3.2 Operations

Turbine performance can be maximized by utilizing operating characteristic curves and adhering to minimum and maximum output limits (such as vibration and cavitation). Adjustable-blade units require the additional necessity of an accurate gate-blade relationship. Curves, limits, and gate-blade relationships should be generated from manufacturer’s data and adjusted to field test data.
Operation will only be as good as this information is accurate. Plus, the performance of the turbine can degrade over time due to cavitation and/or erosion damage and resulting weld repairs, etc. Periodic performance checks, through absolute or relative (e.g., index) testing, are necessary for maintaining accuracy, and must be made comprehensively at a number of operating heads. If a 2-dimensional (2D) cam is used in the governor for blade tilt control, it must be adjusted periodically to changing head conditions. If an electronic 3-dimensional (3D) cam is used, the database must be updated as needed, and the head inputs must be checked against independent measurements particularly if the permanent measurement location can be affected by trash buildup.

Figure 11 shows typical performance curves for fixed and adjustable-blade units (in this case, from the same plant). The very narrow range of high efficiency in the fixed blade units must be defined accurately to optimize performance. In contrast, the adjustable-blade units offer a much wider range of high efficiency; however, the absolute peaks of the individual blade tilt efficiency curves (Figure 1) must be defined accurately in order to develop the optimum gate-blade relationships required to realize optimum performance.

Frequent index testing, especially before and after major maintenance activities on a turbine, should be made to detect changes in turbine performance at an early stage and establish controls. [5] Plants should, “as best practice”, perform periodic performance testing (such as index testing according to PTC 18 [14] to assure the most accurate operating curves are available to optimize plant output. This should be done on a 10 year cycle, as a minimum.

Pressures in the draft tube increase as the water flows from the elbow to the exit. If the top of the draft tube gate slots are submerged (under tailwater), water can be drawn down into the
draft tube due to the lower pressure there, increasing the total flow in the draft tube from that point to the exit, thereby increasing the head loss and reducing the unit efficiency. The closer the gate slot is to the centerline of the unit, the greater the effect. The use of slot fillers to plug the upper openings of the gate slots (Figure 12) have been shown to remedy this problem in one case by as much as 1% efficiency [7].

![Figure 12: Draft Tube Gate Slot Fillers](image)

### 3.3 Maintenance

It is commonly accepted that turbines normally suffer from a progressive deterioration in performance over time (in default of restorative action) [3]. Usual causes include cavitation damage, abrasive erosion wear, galvanic corrosion, striking damage from debris passing through, and errors in welding repairs to original blade profiles and surface finish. Performance-related maintenance techniques involve mainly those weld repairs to cavitation damage, abrasive erosion damage, and galvanic corrosion on the turbine components such as the runner, wicket gates, and distributor ring. Usual best practice is to perform cladding with a 309L stainless steel welding electrode to provide some cavitation resistance. In some cases, original blade contour templates are available at the plant to facilitate returning the blade inlet and trailing edges back to OEM specifications. A good reference for turbine maintenance is the USBR’s FIST Volume 2-5, *Turbine Repair* [4] and Spicher’s *Hydro Wheels* [13].

Typically, Kaplan runner blades are designed with stress relief grooves at the leading and trailing sides of the blade/trunnion intersection (Figure 13). These grooves, located to minimize the possibility of cracking in the high stress areas of the blade, create cavities in the flow profile which cause downstream disturbances in the form of low pressure vortices and can result in cavitation erosion on the hub and nose cone. It has been shown that fillers, attached to the blade or trunnion seal, have been effective in reducing the erosion, especially when paired with strategically-located stainless steel overlay on the hub and nose cone. Figure 14 shows a typical overlay area for a Kaplan runner hub/nose cone. Also, the spherical design of some newer runner hubs, as opposed to the traditional conical design, minimizes the gap between the blades and hub as the blades move to flatter positions (Figures 2 and 3).
Additional areas for stainless steel overlay include the throat ring to protect against “seal cavitation” at the blade periphery (Figure 5), and sections of the lower distributor ring and bottom ring where Von Karman vortices can trail off the wicket gates during high flow operation (Figure 15).

Flow profile modifications, a narrowing of the lower trailing edges of the wicket gates (Figure 16), can reduce vortices and allow higher flow rates and power output. The exact profile change should be designed based on Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) and/or physical modeling.

Figure 13: Stress Relief Notch & Overlay
Figure 14: Typical Hub/Nose Cone Stainless Steel Overlay Location

Figure 15: Bottom Ring Overlay
Figure 16: Modified Wicket Gate
Investigations by the US Army Corps of Engineers (USACE) show minor modifications to the stay vane/wicket gate system could result in an operation efficiency increase of 0.5 to 0.7% for units studied [8]. As shown in the reference, the modification takes the form of profile changes on the stay vane, leading and trailing edges, modifying the wake relative to the wicket gate. The exact profile change should be designed based on CFD and/or physical modeling. In addition, such modifications can reduce fish injury as one environmental benefit.

Worn wicket gate end clearances can also contribute to a decline in unit performance since leakage contributes to power generation loss, particularly by those units with a low service factor (i.e., gates in closed position a significant period of time). In a new unit, the leakage through properly designed wicket gates may be markedly less than 1% of full gate discharge, however, over years of operation this could be doubled due to eroded end clearances, worn stem journal bushings, and improperly adjusted toe to heel closures.

The wicket gate mechanism consists of arms, linkages, pins, shear pins, turnbuckles (or eccentric pins), linkage bushings, operating ring (and bearing pads), and wicket gate stem bushings. For greased bushing designs it is essential that the greasing system is functioning to original specification with metered grease flowing to all points. It is important to grease the wicket gate stem bushings and observe if the grease is entering the bushing clearance and visually discharging. If not, this will have to be repaired immediately.

Greaseless bushing designs require less routine maintenance than the greased designs; however the most common maintenance issue is broken or loose anti-rotation devices on the pins. The greaseless bushings will wear at a more rapid rate than the greased bushings, requiring replacements more frequently, such as on a 10 to 20 year cycle in contrast to a 30 to 40 year cycle for greased bushings.

As a best practice, the bushings on the wicket gate linkages are usually the greaseless type in order to reduce the amount of grease discharging into the wheel pit area and ultimately flowing into the powerhouse sump. Bushing applications in other turbine areas, such as wicket gate stem bushings, operating ring pads, and servomotors are usually chosen based on the owner’s preference when comparing bushing life and reliability versus the owner’s desire to minimize the use of grease lubrication. However, it is important that each greaseless bushing is designed correctly for the application.

In some cases the friction in greaseless bushings increases over time due to trapped wear debris and incursion of silt and debris from the water, as compared to the greased bushings which are flushed by the movement of the grease. An increase in long term operating friction in greaseless applications means the wicket gate servomotors must be over designed (particularly in retrofits) with excess capacity of at least 25% in order to ensure reliable operation [10].

Major maintenance of the wicket gate mechanism includes replacement of the pins, pads, bushings, and true machining of wear surfaces. This will be required every 10 to 40 years depending on the design and operating conditions. Shear pins (mechanical fuse) are an engineered product designed to prevent failures of more costly components in the
mechanism. It is best practice to purchase the pin material from one manufacturer to ensure material properties remain constant. Prototype sample pins are manufactured and then broken in a test stand to determine actual shear properties. This test data is used to finalize the shear area diameter for the final pin shop drawing.

Routine maintenance of wicket gate servomotors is minimal and usually only requires changing of the actuating rod seals or packing when leakage become excessive. Major maintenance includes an overhaul of the servomotor, requiring disassembly, and replacement of bushings, seals, and piston rings.

Further studies by the USACE to improve turbine efficiency have found some relationship between surface roughness of the turbine components, and degradation of the unit performance [9]. It is commonly known that surface roughness on flow surfaces robs a moving fluid of energy; similar to what is found in piping systems. A higher relative roughness will increase the friction loss usually in the head pressure.

Since the power generated by a turbine is directly related to head, logically, any loss in head by frictional losses of the water flowing through the turbine will be a loss in performance. Improvements in surface finish include grinding and coating (painting) the surfaces. In some cases, the USACE tests found efficiency improvements of 0.1 to 0.8% comparing pre-coated versus post-coating performance [9]. However, the level of uncertainty of field testing measurement can range up to 1%, which makes it difficult to quantify results within testing error. Common maintenance best practice of providing adequate coating of the turbine components to prevent surface corrosion does have added benefits of improved performance, however unquantifiable.

![Figure 17: Draft Tube Modification](image)

At certain head and flow rate combinations, flow separation can occur in the elbow section of some draft tubes resulting in unstable operation (stall). This is manifested in scattered data
forming steep, peaky efficiency curves for individual blade tilts which make it difficult to determine and maintain an optimum gate-blade relationship. A reduction in the cross-sectional area of the elbow can reduce separation and be accomplished economically by strategically pouring concrete to raise the floor elevation (Figures 17 and 18).

Exact pour locations and depths should be determined using CFD and/or physical modeling. The result is more rounded efficiency curves so that if the gate-blade relationship changes, the operation will shift only slightly lower in efficiency instead of nose-diving. Additionally, model tests for one project showed efficiency and capacity gains of 0.11% and 535 hp.

![Draft Tube Mod Pour](image)

**Figure 18: Draft Tube Mod Pour**

In general, any potential modifications to hydraulic profiles should be studied and verified with CFD and/or physical modeling by a competent turbine manufacturer or independent hydraulic laboratory. In the event of model testing for a turbine upgrade, the opportunity should be taken to investigate any modifications that hold performance improvement potential.

Head cover and bottom ring routine maintenance is usually to ensure that the protective coating on the wetted surfaces is intact and any erosion or cavitation is repaired before it progressively worsens. Any galling damage at or near the ends of the wicket gate vanes must be removed by grinding to prevent further galling or damage to the wicket gate vane end seals.

It is imperative that the design of wicket gate up thrust device be robust to resist the axial movement of the gate and prevent the gate from contacting the headcover. Wicket gate up thrust is generated either by the hydraulic pressure of water under the bottom stem and/or grease application pressure. Major maintenance of the head cover and bottom ring includes blasting and NDE for cracking inspection, recoating, and replacing wear plates and runner stationary seal rings, and replacing wicket gate bushings.
Routine turbine shaft maintenance consists of minimizing the corrosion of the shaft surface with a light coat of oil in the non-water contact areas and periodic re-coating of areas that come in contact with water with a robust paint such as epoxy. Major maintenance includes refurbishment on bearing journals, or replacement of wearing sleeve, and re-truing coupling faces during a major unit overhaul.

Turbine guide bearings are usually either oil lubricated hydrodynamic bearings or water lubricated bearings. Maintenance of an oil lubricated bearing and its reliability is directly connected to the quality of the supplied oil used for lubrication and cooling. Any contamination of the oil either with debris or water will increase the likelihood of a bearing failure. A best practice is to install a kidney loop filtration system capable of continuously removing debris and water from the bearing oil supply.

Maintenance of a water lubricated bearing and its reliability is also directly connected to the quality of the supplied water used for lubrication and cooling. Although in this case, with the viscosity of the water being so low, the water functions more as a coolant than as a lubricant. A best practice is to install an automatic strainer with internal backwash for uninterrupted supply of clean water to the bearing without need of routine maintenance to change or clean the filters. An uninterrupted supply is essential since any loss of water flow during turbine operation will quickly overheat the anti-friction contact surface of the internal liner (plastic, wood, or composite) of the bearing resulting rapid failure.

Since water lubricated bearings inherently wear which results in an increase is shaft vibration (shaft throw), periodic maintenance is required to adjust the bearing to tighten the running clearance. Some poorly designed bearings are non-adjustable and require the internal lining to be replaced every time. Extreme shaft vibration can cause contact of the turbine runner’s seal rings, resulting in wear and the possible failure of the seal rings causing extended unit outage. Major maintenance of either bearing type requires the refurbishment of the bearings, such as re-babbitting of an oil bearing or re-lining the water lubricated bearing. In addition, for the water lubricated bearing, the shaft wearing sleeve may have to be machined true or replaced.

Sealing components in the turbine include the wicket gate stem seals and the seal for the turbine shaft. Routine maintenance will vary according to the type of seal and the operating conditions. Generally the hydraulic type seals, such as PolyPak seals, on wicket gate stems are maintenance free, however, like o-ring seals, once they leak there are no adjustments and must be replaced. Adjustable seal designs, such as with packing, can be tightened to reduce the leakage. Excessive leakage, even after adjustment, is an indication that the seals or packing must be replaced.

Seals for the turbine shaft vary from simple packing in a packing box around the shaft to higher speed applications with mechanical seals. It is important to note that a certain amount of leakage is required in a turbine shaft seal for cooling the seal (or packing), therefore zero leakage is not the objective. Routine maintenance includes replacement of the packing in the packing box or replacement of the composite (sacrificial) wearing component in the mechanical seal. Major maintenance of all the applications consists of the routine maintenance replacements and additional replacement of and opposing hard face wear.
elements such as wear sleeves for packing and hard face wear elements for the mechanical seals.

Kaplan blade trunnions seals usually require replacing every 15 to 20 years. However, after 40 to 50 years the Kaplan blade trunnions bushing or bearings may be worn to the extent that seal replacements will not retain oil or water. At this point the Kaplan turbine will require refurbishment or replacement.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental process for a hydro turbine is described by the efficiency equation, defined as the ratio of the power delivered by the turbine to the power of the water passing through the turbine.

Where:

- \( \eta \) is the hydraulic efficiency of the turbine
- \( P \) is the mechanical power produced at the turbine shaft (MW)
- \( \rho \) is the density of water (1000 kg/m\(^3\))
- \( g \) is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s\(^2\))
- \( Q \) is the flow rate passing through the turbine (m\(^3\)/s)
- \( H \) is the effective pressure head across the turbine (m)

The general expression for this efficiency \( \eta \):

\[
\eta = \frac{P}{\rho g Q H} \tag{11}
\]

Turbine performance parameters for Propeller/Kaplan units are defined in ASME PTC-18 [14] and IEC 60041 [15], and typically include the following: Generator Output, Turbine Discharge, Headwater and Tailwater Elevations, Inlet Head, Discharge Head, Gate Position, Blade position, and Water Temperature.

Typical vibration measurements may include: shaft displacement (x and y) at turbine and generator bearings, and headcover and thrust bridge displacements (z). Acoustic emission (on the draft tube man-door or liner) may be measured to track relative cavitation noise.

During unit outages: Blade tip clearances.

The condition of the Propeller/Kaplan turbine can be monitored by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual [12].

Unit reliability characteristics, as judged by its availability for generation, can be monitored by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s (NERC) performance indicators, such Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR). These are universally used by the power industry. Many utilities supply data to the Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the performance of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analysis and decision-making by GADS data users.
4.2 Data Analysis

Analysis of test data is defined in ASME PTC-18 [14] and IEC 60041[15]. Basically, the analysis is used to determine unit efficiency and available power output relative to turbine discharge, head, gate opening, and blade tilt position. Determine operating limits based on vibration and acoustic emission measurements (CPL). Compare results to previous or original unit test data (IPL), and determine efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue loss. Compare results to new unit design data (from turbine manufacturer), and determine potential efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue gain (PPL). For the latter, calculate the installation/rehab cost and internal rate of return to determine upgrade justification. Separately determine justification of any modifications (e.g., draft tube profile) using turbine manufacturer’s data.

Determine the optimum gate-blade relationship. Compare the current 2D cam adjustment practice to a seasonal or periodic adjustment, and calculate the associated energy and revenue difference. Compare the current 2D cam adjustment practice to the continuous adjustment of a 3D cam, and calculate the associated annual energy and revenue gain. For the latter, calculate the 3D cam installation cost and internal rate of return to determine upgrade justification.

Trend blade tip clearances relative to OEM design values. If rehab is required (resulting in complete unit disassembly), consider value of installing new design unit.

Analytically or using field test data, determine the efficiency, annual energy, and revenue gain associated with the use of draft tube gate slot fillers. Calculate the implementation cost and internal rate of return.

The condition assessment of a Propeller/Kaplan turbine is quantified through the Condition Indicator (CI) as derived according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual [12]. The overall CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the turbine. This methodology can be applied periodically to derive a CI snapshot of the current turbine condition such that it can be monitored over time and studied to determine condition trends.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations, January 2011 [15].

4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, these would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g. Automatic Generation Control, AGC), but if not, hardcopies of the curves and limits should be made available to all involved personnel, particularly unit operators, their importance to be emphasized, and their ability to be understood and confirmed.

If required, 2D cams should be replaced or re-profiled and 3D cam databases updated to reflect the test results. A table or set of curves showing the gate-blade relationship should be available to all involved personnel for periodic checking.
Justified projects (hydraulic profiling, slot fillers, unit upgrade), and a method to constantly monitor unit performance should be implemented.

As the condition of the turbine changes, the CI and reliability indexes are trended and analyzed. Using this data, projects can be ranked and justified in the maintenance and capital programs to bring the turbine back to an acceptable condition and performance level.

5.0 Information Sources

Baseline Knowledge:


USBR, FIST Volume 2-5, Turbine Repair, September 2000


State of the Art:


March, P.A. and P.J. Wolff, Component Indicators for an Optimization-Based Hydro Performance Indicator, HydroVision 2004, Montreal, Quebec, Canada, August 2004.


ORNL, HAP Condition Assessment Manual, October, 2011


Standards:

ASME PTC 18, Hydraulic Turbines and Pump-Turbines, Performance Test codes – 2002


ASTM A487, *Standard Specification for Steel Castings Suitable for Pressure Service*
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
This best practice for a Pelton turbine addresses its technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize its performance and reliability. The purpose of the turbine is to function as the prime mover providing direct horsepower to the generator. It is the most significant system in a hydro unit. How the turbine is designed, operated, and maintained provides the most significant impact on the efficiency and performance of a hydro unit.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position
Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Turbine → Pelton Turbine

1.1.1 Pelton Turbine Components
Pelton turbines are impulse turbines used for high head (usually 100 to 1000 m or above) and low flow hydro applications. The Pelton runner normally operates in air or near atmospheric pressure with one to six jets of water impinging tangentially on the runner.

The Pelton turbine units come in two shaft axis arrangements: horizontal (Figure 1) and vertical (Figure 2). This is dictated by the overall hydro plant design. The horizontal shaft turbine (maximum of 4 jets) is simpler to perform maintenance, but the powerhouse is larger in size, whereas the vertical shaft turbine (maximum of 6 jets) is more difficult to perform maintenance but allows a narrower shape of the power station footprint [1].

Figure 1: Twin runner horizontal Pelton turbine
Figure 2: Multi-nozzle vertical Pelton turbine

Performance and reliability related components of a Pelton turbine consist of a distributor/manifold, housing, needle jet/nozzle, impulse runner and discharge chamber.

**Distributor/Manifold:** The function of the distributor (or manifold) is to provoke an acceleration of the water flow towards each of the main injectors. The advantage of this design is to keep a uniform velocity profile of the flow.

**Housing:** The function of the housing is to form a rigid unit with passages for the needle servomotor piping, feedback mechanisms, and the deflector shafts. The shape of the wetted side of the housing is important for directing the exit water effectively away from the runner.

**Needle Valve/Nozzle:** The function of the needle jet (or nozzle) is to regulate the flow of water to the runner in an impulse turbine runner. The needle jet is regulated by the governor via mechanical-hydraulic or electro-hydraulic controls. The shape is designed for rapid acceleration at the exit end and for assuring a uniform water jet shape at all openings. The needle valve/nozzle assembly is placed close to the runner as possible to avoid jet dispersion due to air friction [2].

**Runner:** The runner consists of a set of specially shaped buckets mounted on the periphery of a circular disc. It is turned by forced jets of water which are discharged from one or more nozzles. The resulting impulse spins the turbine runner, imparting energy to the turbine shaft. The buckets are split into two halves so that the central area does not act as a dead spot (no axial thrust) incapable of deflecting water away from the oncoming jet [2].

**Discharge Chamber:** The function of the discharge chamber is to enable water existing the runner to fall freely toward the drainage. It also functions as a shield for the concrete work and avoids concrete deteriorations due to the action of the water.
jets. Correct water level regulation (surge chambers) inside this chamber is critical for maximum efficiency.

Non-performance but reliability related components of a Pelton turbine include the deflector, turbine shaft, and guide bearing.

**Deflectors:** The deflectors have the function to bend the jet away from the runner at load rejections to avoid too high of a speed increase. Moreover it protects the jet against exit water spray from the runner. The deflector arc is bolted to the deflector support structure frame with the control valve of the needle servomotors. A seal ring around the deflector shaft bearing housing prevents water and moisture from penetrating into the bearing.

**Turbine Shaft:** The function of the turbine shaft is to transfer the torque from the turbine runner to the generator shaft and rotor. The shaft typically has a bearing journal for oil lubricated hydrodynamic guide bearings on the turbine runner end. Shafts are usually manufactured from forged steel, but some of the larger shafts can be fabricated.

**Guide Bearing:** The function of the turbine guide bearing is to resist the mechanical imbalance and hydraulic side loads from the turbine runner, thereby maintaining the turbine runner in its centered position in the runner seals. It is typically mounted as close as practical to the turbine runner and supported by the head cover. Turbine guide bearings are usually oil lubricated hydrodynamic (babbitted) bearings.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

**1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency and Capability - Oriented Best Practices**

- Periodic testing to establish accurate current unit performance characteristics and limits.
- Dissemination of accurate unit performance characteristics to unit operators, local and remote control and decision support systems, and other personnel and offices that influence unit dispatch or generation performance.
- Real-time monitoring and periodic analysis of unit performance at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from expected efficiency for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or instrument malfunction.
- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.
- Maintain documentation of IPL and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g., hydraulic profiling, unit upgrade, etc.).
• Trend loss of turbine performance due to condition degradation for such causes as metal loss (cavitation, erosion, and corrosion), opening of runner seal, and increasing water passage surface roughness.

• Include industry acknowledged advances for updated turbine component materials and maintenance practices.

• Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations and Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

• Use ASTM A743 CA6NM stainless steel to manufacture Pelton turbine runners, and water lubricated bearing shaft sleeves to maximize resistance to erosion, abrasive wear, and cavitation. [15]

• Damage from erosion and cavitation on component wetted surfaces are repaired using 309L stainless steel welding electrodes to increase damage resistance. The electrodes increase damage resistance.

• Adequate coating of the turbine wetted components not only prevents corrosion but has added benefits of improved performance.

• Kidney loop filtration should be installed on turbine guide bearing oil systems.

• Automatic strainers with internal backwash should be installed to supply uninterrupted supply of clean water to water lubricated turbine guide bearings.

• Monitor trends for the condition of turbine for decreasing Condition Indicator (CI) and decrease in reliability, that is to say an increase in Equivalent Forced Outage Rate (EFOR) and a decrease in Effective Availability Factor (EAF). Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

• I&C - Automation Best Practice

• Mechanical - Lubrication System Best Practice

• Mechanical - Generator Best Practice

• Mechanical – Governor Best Practice

• Mechanical – Raw Water Best Practice
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Pelton turbine runners are typically manufactured as one piece, either as a casting or as a welded fabrication. Very old runners, from the early 1900’s or before, could have been cast from cast iron or bronze, later replaced with cast carbon steel. Today they are either cast or fabricated from carbon steel or stainless steel. Just as materials have improved for modern turbine runners, so has the design and manufacturing to provide enhanced performance for power, efficiency, and reduced cavitation damage.

Best practice for the turbine begins with a superior design to maximize and establish the baseline performance while minimizing damage due to various factors, including cavitation, pitting, and rough operation. The advent of computerized design and manufacturing occurred in the late 1970’s through 1980’s and made many of the advancements of today possible. Modern Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) flow analysis, Finite Element Analysis techniques (FEA) for engineering, and Computer Numerically Controlled (CNC) in manufacturing have significantly improved turbine efficiency and production accuracy.

Performance levels for turbine designs can be stated at three levels as follows:

- The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing conducted prior to and during unit commissioning.

- The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics determined by unit efficiency testing, which requires the simultaneous measurement of flow, head, and power under a range of operating conditions, as specified in the standards referenced in this document.

- Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to new turbine design information from manufacturers to establish the achievable unit performance characteristics of replacement turbine(s).

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Turbine efficiency is likely the most important factor in an assessment to determine rehabilitation or replacement of the turbine. Such testing may show CPL has degraded significantly from IPL. Figure 3 is an example of the relative efficiency gains of a Pelton unit. Regardless of whether performance has degraded or not, newer turbine designs are usually more efficient than those designed 30 to 40 years ago. Also, a new turbine can be designed using actual historical data rather than original design data providing a turbine more accurately suited for the site.

Newer “state of the art” turbine designs can not only achieve the PPL but also provide decreased cavitation damage based on better hydraulic design and materials.
3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

All Pelton turbine arrangements, vertical or horizontal, have four major components that are critical to performance losses.

- The Runner: There are losses due to friction and turbulence by surface deterioration and subsequent hydraulic bucket geometry changes.
- The Housing/Discharge chamber: There are losses due to case splashing, air ventilation and tail-water interference.
- The Needle Valves/Nozzles: There are losses due to unbalanced velocity profiles and turbulent fluctuation causing “bad jet quality” (in the form of jet deviation or jet dispersion).
- The Distributor/Manifold: There are losses due to friction, bends and bifurcations (the split of water into two streams) [5].

The typical losses in a Pelton turbine are approximately as follows:

- Inlet pipe (Distributor) and Injector (Nozzle) - 0.5 to 1.0%
- Runner - 6.5 to 9.0%
- Turbine housing/discharge chamber - 0.5 to 1.0%

A high head multi-jet turbine has relatively lower losses, whereas a low head horizontal unit has relatively higher losses [3].
3.1.1 Runner

The surface roughness of the runner bucket surfaces must be assessed. There are two drivers for this surface deterioration; cavitation (Figure 4), and sand/silt erosion (Figure 5). A careful visual inspection can be performed during an outage situation when the unit is in a dry state.

There is also a possibility of the combined effect of sand/silt erosion and cavitation in the hydraulic turbine components. It must be noted that properly hydraulic designed Pelton runners do not cavitate. Yet, even in cavitation-free geometry, surface roughness due to sand erosion at high velocity regions may initiate cavitation erosion. The synergic effect of sand erosion and cavitation can be more pronounced than their individual effects.

Bucket erosion has been found to vary with the jet velocity, as compared to water quality or intake elevation, the jet velocity is the strongest parameter in bucket erosion. As jet velocity is the function of head, the high head turbines are more vulnerable to silt erosion. Based on typical qualitative studies it was found that the sharp edge of the splitter became blunt and the depth of the bucket increased due to sand/silt erosion [14].

The jet loading is also the key to determining the bucket sizing. Most modern runner designs optimize the ratio of bucket width to jet diameter, which is approximately 3.6 to 4.1, depending on the number of jets and rotational speed. Older machines were often designed with a lower overall rotational speed and with larger bucket widths compared with more modern runner designs [7].

An appropriate indicator of efficiency loss due to erosion on a Pelton runner is the width of the splitter as a percentage of bucket width. A 1% increase in relative splitter width represents approximately a 1% decrease in efficiency [3].
3.1.2 Housing/Discharge Chamber

Appropriate venting prevents the runner discharge water from building up (in the housing) [7]. The housing ventilation points need to be assessed to ensure that they are clear, allowing full ventilation. The tail water levels below the runner must not interfere with the jet flow. These water levels must remain within the OEM designed range. Jet interference prevents the regular flow in the buckets and results in the sharp deterioration of turbine output power with cavitation and vibration [8]. Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the negative effects of jet interference splash on the turbine performance.

Figure 6: Modeling of jet interference within housing [8]
3.1.3 Nozzle

Deterioration assessment of the nozzle is paramount. Needle erosion, as seen in the examples of Figures 8 and 9, can cause both direct and indirect losses. Direct losses are the well known losses of friction and turbulence (inner friction), where indirect losses are caused by bad jet quality, shown in Figure 10 [5].

The purpose of needle and nozzle is to concentrate the jet in a cylindrical and uniform shape in order to maximize the energy transformation in the runner. Wear on the needle and nozzle causes a jet deformation which results in decay of efficiency and an appearance of cavitation.

![Figure 8: Eroded Needle](image1)

![Figure 9: Eroded Needle](image2)

Figure 7: Typical Deterioration Due to Jet Disturbance [8]
Jet visualization is an assessment tool to determine jet deformation. Jet dispersion and jet deviation can be quantitatively determined from visualization in most cases. Clear correlation between turbine efficiency and jet quality has been observed. The installation of equipment for prototype visualization is delicate since the best positioning of camera and lighting instrumentation cannot be found on the basis of trial and error but must be based on experience due to the inaccessibility of the equipment.

Furthermore, the mechanical forces of possible water impingement, on the camera and lighting instrumentation, require a rigid installation (Figure 11). Housings for camera and lights should be waterproof and measures must be taken to avoid condensation building up on the lenses. In order to achieve acceptable image quality under the adverse circumstances present in the housing of an operating Pelton turbine, special equipment is necessary. The camera housing and the stroboscopic lights were mounted within protecting housings in the shelter of the injector and cut-in deflector and could be adjusted at different distances from the nozzle exit with a stepping motor [6].
3.1.4 Distributor/Manifold

Depending on the age of the turbine unit and original hydraulic design, the distributor size may contribute to losses and turbulence. A good rule of thumb is to size the unit so that at full load, the spiral velocity head is 10 % or less of the total unit’s velocity head. Older spiral distributors were often constructed in large curved cast sections as compared with newer units that are usually constructed of shorter mitred ring sections [7].

The ring sections must be assessed routinely for friction increasing internal surface deterioration. This can take the form of a visual inspection carefully performed during an outage situation when the unit is in a dry state. For examples of distributor arrangements see Figures 12 and 13.

Figure 11: Internal view of bracket with camera supports for visualization
3.2 Operations

Turbine performance is often represented by a graph of turbine efficiency curves versus flow or output as shown in Figure 14. Also shown are typical turbine performance curves illustrating the relationship between modern performance, the original design, and a deteriorated turbine runner (noted as "present performance") [3].

Performance data must be accurately collected. The performance of the turbine can degrade over time due to cavitation and/or erosion damage and resulting weld repairs. Periodic performance checks, through absolute or relative (e.g. index) testing, are necessary for
maintaining accuracy, and must be made at a number of operating heads in order to be comprehensive [3].

Frequent index testing, especially before and after major maintenance activities on a turbine, should be made to detect changes in turbine performance at an early stage and establish controls. Plants should “as best practice” perform periodic performance testing (such as index testing according to PTC 18 [16]) to assure the most accurate operating curves are available to optimize plant output. Routinely, this should be done on a 10 year cycle, as a minimum.

3.3 Maintenance

3.3.1 Weld Repair

It is commonly accepted that turbines normally suffer from a progressive deterioration in performance over time (in default of restorative action) [4]. The usual causes include cavitation damage, abrasive erosion wear, galvanic corrosion and impact damage from debris passing through the unit.

Performance-related maintenance techniques involve mainly weld repairs of the turbine components such as the runner, housing, and distributor tubes. The best practice is to use a 309L stainless steel welding electrode to return original geometry to runner buckets.

3.3.2 Grinding Template

Errors in welding repairs to original bucket profile occur as the unit ages. Original bucket contour templates should be available at the plant. Trained maintenance personnel should use these templates to grind and polish the buckets thereby returning them back to OEM specifications.

3.3.3 Surface Coating

After assessment of the water supply quality and historical wear data, it can be evaluated whether a coating over the natural polished finish of the ASTM A743 [15] stainless steel (preferred modern erosion and corrosion resistant material) bucket is required. The results from North American technical papers are inconclusive regarding the benefits for any hard coating.

3.3.4 Turbine Shaft

Routine turbine shaft maintenance consists of minimizing the corrosion of the shaft surface with a light coat of oil in the non-water contact areas and periodic re-coating of areas that come in contact with water with a robust paint such as epoxy. Major maintenance includes refurbishment on bearing journals, or replace of wearing sleeve, and re-truing coupling faces during a major unit overhaul.
3.3.5 Guide Bearings

Turbine guide bearings are usually oil lubricated hydrodynamic bearings. Maintenance of an oil lubricated bearing and its reliability is directly connected to the quality of the supplied oil used for lubrication and cooling. Any contamination of the oil either with debris or water will increase the likelihood of a bearing failure. A best practice is to install a kidney loop filtration system capable of continuously removing debris and water from the bearing oil supply.

Extreme shaft vibration can cause contact of the turbine runner’s seal rings resulting in wear and the possible failure of the seal rings and subsequent major extended unit outage. Major maintenance requires the refurbishment of the bearings, such as rebabbiting of an oil bearing.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental process for a hydro turbine is described by the efficiency equation, which is defined as the ratio of the power delivered by the turbine to the power of the water passing through the turbine.

Where:

- $\eta$ is the hydraulic efficiency of the turbine
- $P$ is the mechanical power produced at the turbine shaft (MW)
- $\rho$ is the density of water (1000 kg/m$^3$)
- $g$ is the acceleration due to gravity (9.81 m/s$^2$)
- $Q$ is the flow rate passing through the turbine (m$^3$/s)
- $H$ is the effective pressure head across the turbine (m)

The general expression for this efficiency ($\eta$): $\eta = \frac{P}{\rho g Q H}$ [10]

Turbine performance parameters for Pelton units are defined in ASME PTC-18 [16] and IEC 60041 [17], and typically include the following: Generator Output, Turbine Discharge, Headwater and Tailwater Elevations, Inlet Head, Discharge Head, Gate Position, and Water Temperature.

Typical vibration measurements may include: shaft displacement (x and y) at turbine and generator bearings and thrust bridge displacements (z). Acoustic emission (on the draft tube access door or liner) may be measured to track relative cavitation noise.

The condition of the Pelton turbine can be monitored by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual [11].

Unit reliability characteristics, as judged by its availability for generation, can be monitored by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s (NERC) performance indicators, such Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR). These are universally used by the power industry. Many utilities supply data to the
Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the reliability of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analyses and decision-making by GADS data users.

### 4.2 Data Analysis

Analysis of test data is defined in ASME PTC-18 [16] and IEC 60041 [17]. Basically, the analysis to determine unit efficiency and available power output relative to turbine discharge, head, and determine operating limits based on vibration and acoustic emission measurements (CPL). The results will be compared to previous or original unit test data (IPL), and determine efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue loss. The results will also be compared to new unit design data (from turbine manufacturer), and determine potential efficiency, capacity, annual energy, and revenue gain (PPL). For the latter, calculate the installation/ rehabilitation cost and internal rate of return to determine upgrade justification. Separately, determine the justification of draft tube profile modification using turbine manufacturer’s data.

Analytically or using field test data, determine the efficiency, annual energy, and revenue gain associated with the use of draft tube gate slot fillers. Calculate the implementation cost and internal rate of return.

The condition assessment of a Pelton turbine is quantified through the CI as derived according to *HAP Condition Assessment Manual* [11]. The overall CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the turbine. This methodology can be applied periodically to derive a CI snapshot of the current turbine condition such that it can be monitored over time and studied to determine condition trends that can impact performance and reliability.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, *Performance Indexes and Equations* [11].

### 4.3 Integrated Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, these would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g., Automatic Generation Control), but if not, hard copies of the curves and limits should be made available to all involved personnel – particularly unit operators, their importance to be emphasized, and their ability to be understood and confirmed.

Justified projects (hydraulic profiling, unit upgrade), and a method to constantly monitor unit performance should be implemented.

As the condition of the turbine changes, the CI and reliability indexes are trended and analyzed. Using this data, projects can be ranked and justified in the maintenance and capital programs to bring the turbine back to an acceptable condition and performance level.
The improvement of any hydraulic machinery performance can basically come from three types of intervention:

- Replacement of obsolete runner (the profiled machinery parts) with new ones
- Replacement/Improvement of nozzles with new nozzle components
- Repair for surface restoration and for improvement of wear resistance.

It is clear that these interventions are not alternative but complementary, depending on the actual problems of hydraulic design obsolescence of turbine parts and corrosion, erosion, or cavitation of turbine parts.[10]

**Runner Replacement**

The modeling of the modern Pelton turbine runner geometry can be carried out with Computational Fluid Dynamics (CFD) analysis of the jet/bucket interaction. For Pelton runners, both the flow field itself and the influence of water on the structural properties are more difficult to determine than for Francis or Kaplan turbines because Pelton buckets are moving through the jets, filling and emptying continuously. The bucket unsteady loading analysis requires knowing the unsteady pressure loading in the rotating buckets [9].

![Figure 15: Typical results for new runner upgrade [13]](image)

**Needle Seat Enlargement**

A detailed study showed that the turbine jets could be easily enlarged up to 6% in diameter with minor negative effects on efficiency but with a substantial increase in output. This study details a six-jet Pelton unit with rated head of 675.7 m and an output of 75.2 MW at a rated jet of 152 mm diameter with a discharge of 12.6 m³/s. The new rated power capacity
is 87.6 MW with an enlarged jet of 160 mm diameter. Most manufacturers size the needle seat to accommodate some nozzle machining for maintenance. Normally this will not significantly affect the contact sealing or interface relationship at small needle opening [7]. Figure 16 shows the typical components that make up a nozzle assembly including the needle seat.

Figure 16: Typical modern nozzle assembly

5.0 Information Sources

Baseline Knowledge:


EPRI, Increased efficiency of Hydroelectric Power, EM 2407 – June 1992

State of the Art:


Staubli, T., Bissel, C., Leduc, J.: Jet Quality and Pelton Efficiency


**Standards:**


ASME PTC 18, *Hydraulic Turbines and Pump-Turbines, Performance Test codes* - 2011


## Contents

1.0 Scope and Purpose ........................................................................................................ 148

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position ................................................................................. 148

  1.1.1 Lubrication System Components ........................................................................... 148

1.2 Summary of Best Practices ......................................................................................... 150

  1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices ......................... 150

  1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices ....................... 150

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references .................................................................................. 151

2.0 Technology Design Summary ....................................................................................... 151

  2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution .............................................................. 151

  2.2 State of the Art Technology ...................................................................................... 151

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices ........................................................................... 154

  3.1 Condition Assessment ............................................................................................... 154

  3.2 Operations .................................................................................................................. 156

  3.3 Maintenance .............................................................................................................. 159

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis .............................................................................. 160

  4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability ............................................. 160

  4.2 Analysis of Data ......................................................................................................... 161

  4.3 Integrated Improvements ........................................................................................... 163

5.0 Information Sources: ................................................................................................... 164
1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for a lubrication system addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability of generating units.

The primary purpose of the oil lubrication system is to supply clean oil with appropriate temperature and pressure to the bearings of the turbine-generator during operation. It is also a key reliability system for the other machinery under the Power Train Equipment.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Balance of Plant/Auxiliary Components → Lubrication System

1.1.1 Lubrication System Components

Bearing lubrication systems are critical to unit operation. There are a number of different types of lubrication system (Pressure, Gravity, and Submersion). The reliability related components of lubrication systems include the lubricant/oil, filter sub-system, cooling sub-system, oil pumps, vessel and piping, console/skid and instrumentation/alarm. [1]

Figure 1 illustrates a typical lubrication system console.

![Lubrication System Components Diagram]

Figure 3: Typical Modular Oil Console Arrangement [3]
Lubricant/Oil: The functions of the lubricant/oil are:

1. Minimize friction and wear in Hydro machinery
2. Maintain internal cleanliness by suspending contaminants or keeping contaminants from adhering to components.
3. Cool moving elements, absorb heat from the contact surface area and transport it to a location in which it can be safely dissipated.
4. Dampen shock; cushion the blow of mechanical shock. A lubricant film can absorb and disperse these energy spikes over a broader contact area.
5. Prevent corrosion or minimize internal component corrosion. This can be accomplished either by chemically neutralizing the corrosive products or by setting up a barrier between the components and the corrosive material.
6. Transfer energy - A lubricant may be required to act as an energy transfer median as in the case of hydraulic equipment

Filter Sub-System: The function of the filter sub-system is to continuously provide clean auxiliary fluid (oil) to the critical equipment. A typical filtration specification for auxiliary system is 10 absolute particle size, that is, the greatest size of any solid particle in the oil film should be 10 micron. There are two types of filtration systems; “inline” and “offline” filtration. The inline filter sub-system consists of a transfer valve (allow transfer from one bank of components to the stand-by bank of components without significant pressure pulsations being introduced into the system), filters, differential pressure indication and alarm. Offline filtration, often call Kidney Loop filtration, functions independently of the designed lubrication system of the unit.

Cooling Sub-System: The function of this sub-system is to continuously provide cool auxiliary fluid (oil) at the required temperature to the critical equipment. Most coolers in use in hydropower plants are of a shell and tube heat exchange design as cooling water is readily available. As with filter sub-systems, they consist of a transfer valve (allow transfer from one bank of components to the stand-by bank of components without significant pressure pulsations being introduced into the system), as well as twin heat exchangers and a temperature transmitter and alarm.

Oil Pumps: The function of the oil pumps are to continuously supply the system fluid at the required flow rate. This means it must be capable of interrupted operation for the same period as the turbine it is servicing.

Vessel and Piping: The vessel functions as the oil reservoir for the system. The correct sizing is critical for the hydro equipment that the lubrication system is servicing. Size will be a function of system flow and subsequently the amount of flow the hydro equipment (main guide bearings, thrust bearings) will actually pass. The function of the piping is to
connect the console/skid auxiliary equipment (pumps, vessel, etc.) to the Hydro units it services. The typical oil velocities are in the order of 4 to 6 feet per second.

**Console/Skid:** The function of the console/skid is to house most of the Lubrication System components (pumps, vessel, etc.). Since auxiliary equipment must be maintained and calibrated during operation, it is important for the console/skid to be sized with ample space for maintenance personal.

**Instrumentation/Alarms:** The function of the instrumentation is to measure and regulate the process variables of the auxiliary fluid (oil) such as flow, temperature, level and pressure. Pressure indicators, temperature indicators, and differential pressure transmitters are examples of key instrumentation.

### 1.2 Summary of Best Practices

#### 1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

There are no best practices directly associated with the unit efficiency and capacity.

#### 1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Maintain clean, dry oil by periodic analysis of oil condition and regular sampling for visual and laboratory examination.
- Monitor oil filter change time interval (when the filter differential pressure alarm is activated) to clean oil tank and rundown tanks. This will involve maintaining operating and temperature records of hydro plant oil system.
- Stainless steel reservoir, vessels and piping can be used to ensure minimum oil flushing time, optimum machinery component life and unit reliability.
- High pressure lubrication system can be used on thrust bearings to reduce friction during start-up and shut down.
- System pumps having mechanical seals are recommended instead of shaft packing.
- Follow correct oil flushing procedures will produce an oil system that does not require frequent on-line filter changeover.
- The use of centrifugal pumps eliminates the need for relief and backpressure (bypass) control valves within the lubrication system.
- Monitor turbine vibration. Setting the shaft vibration alarm at 50% of the initial field value will allow early detection of rotor condition change, and initiate investigation and an action plan for corrective action before a rotor or component failure occurs.
- Ensure continuous venting of the non-operating cooler and filter in cold ambient applications.
- Kidney loop filtration should be installed on turbine guide bearing oil systems to remove debris and water continuously.
- Require a Factory Acceptance Test (FAT) for any new oil consoles to duplicate field conditions as closely as possible and record response times for transients.
- Install sight glasses in the drain lines of positive displacement pump relief valve to confirm that the relief valve is not passing.
- Label oil system piping with colored tape to help personnel to understand system operation and how to take corrective action quickly to prevent unit damage.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references
- I&C - Automation Best Practice
- Mechanical – Francis Turbine Best Practice
- Mechanical – Kaplan Turbine Best Practice
- Mechanical – Pelton Turbine Best Practice
- Mechanical – Generator Best Practice
- Mechanical – Governor Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution
Early designs for oil lubricating systems, for vertical hydro turbine-generator bearings, consisted of pumps driven by gears or belts from the main shaft or by simple viscosity pumps which move oil by hydrodynamic action. Horizontal hydro turbine-generator bearings were often lubricated by oil rings riding on top of the shaft. Modern designs have evolved into systems which move the oil by electric motor driven pumps. This has many advantages such as providing electrical controls, backup pumps (AC and DC), and flexible capacities such as flow rates and pressures.

2.2 State of the Art Technology
There are number of designs for Lubrication Sub-Systems that have become state of the art technology. Lube and seal oil overhead tanks that are not stainless steel will reduce bearing, oil seal and/or driver control and protection Mean Time before Failure (MTBF), since there cannot be a filter between these tanks and these components. This is due to iron sulfide building up in the small clearances of the unit components, which has resulted in premature
failure. Stainless steel reservoir, vessels and piping can be used to ensure minimum oil flushing time, optimum unit component life and unit reliability. If oil flushing times can be reduced, or delayed all together, plant outage times can be significantly reduced. Replacement of existing non-stainless steel oil system piping, components or the entire system can usually be justified in un-spared critical equipment.

The most common cause of oil system induced unit trips is the malfunction of relief valves and/or backpressure control. This can cause an unscheduled shutdown of unit. The use of centrifugal pumps (Figure 2) eliminates the need for relief and backpressure (bypass) control valves. Single stage centrifugal pumps can be used whenever the ambient temperature along with the use of thermostatically controlled reservoir heaters maintain an oil viscosity that allows the use of a centrifugal pump (oil viscosity is low enough to minimize the effect of viscosity on centrifugal pump power – low viscosity correction factors).

![Centrifugal pump operation](image)

Centrifugal pumps cannot be used when high oil viscosities (>400 centiStokes) are required. In those applications a positive displacement pump must be used. The function of all pumps in auxiliary system service is to continuously supply the system fluid at the required pressure and flow rate. In order to ensure reliable, trouble free operation, pump mechanical seals are recommended instead of shaft packing. A properly selected and installed pump mechanical seal in auxiliary system service can operate continuously for a three year period. Function definition will be met: ‘to supply the system fluid at the required pressure and flow rate’.

The thrust bearing high pressure lubrication system provides high pressure oil between the thrust shoes and the runner to provide lubrication on start-up and shut-down of a unit. The oil is pumped from the bearing oil pot by a high pressure pump, through a manifold to a port machined in each of the shoes. Figure 3 shows a typical oil ring on a thrust shoe for a high pressure lubrication system. The primary use for the high pressure lubrication system is to
reduce friction during start-up and shut down, but it is also a very useful system during alignment. With the system on, it is possible for a couple of people to rotate a unit by hand or move the rotating components laterally on the thrust bearing [2].

![Figure 5: Lubrication Ports on Thrust Bearing [2]](image)

Supplementary oil cleaning can be achieved by a separate system (Kidney Loop Oil Filtration System) in series with the existing lubrication system. (Figure 4)

![Figure 6: Kidney Loop Oil Filtration System [L&S Electric, Inc.]](image)
This system can reduces failures caused by dirty oil thus increased life and performance of pumps, valves, servos, oil heads and other various hydraulic mechanisms. It can promote extended oil life and help eliminate moisture in oil.

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

Samples of oil or any deposits need to be taken at regular intervals for visual examination and laboratory analysis. Best practice includes daily visual examinations, monthly laboratory examinations for general system and oil conditions, and six-month laboratory examinations for a more in-depth determination of future oil life. By doing this, detection can be made at the start of deterioration, contamination or other troubles early and corrective action can be taken [4]. Figure 5 illustrates the oil film and the testing involved.

Lubricant/oil condition assessment testing [5]:

- Viscosity
- RPVOT (Rotary Pressure Vessel Oxidation Test)
- Water Content
- Acid Number
- ISO Cleanliness
- Rust
- Water Separability (Demulsibility)
- Foam
- ICP Metals

viscosity ASTM D445
RPVOT (Rotary Pressure Vessel Oxidation Test) ASTM D2272
Water Content ASTM D1744
Acid Number ASTM D664, ASTM D974
ISO Cleanliness ISO 4406
Rust ASTM D665
Water Separability (Demulsibility) ASTM D1401
Foam ASTM D892
ICP Metals ASTM D6130
Another condition assessment activity may involve the replacement an old lubrication system within a hydro modernization project where assessing newly purchased lubrication consoles is important for the long term success of the plant. It is a best practice to require a Factory Acceptance Test (FAT) for the oil console to duplicate field conditions as closely as possible and record response times for transients (main pump trip and two pump operation) to ensure optimum oil system field reliability.

As a minimum, the following items should be included in the FAT:

- Auto start of the auxiliary pump
- Two pump operation
- Relief valve checks
- Bypass (backpressure) valve proper valve position and stability
- Transfer valve operation
- Cooler tube leak check
- Filter pressure drop and particle check for bypassing
- Accumulator pre-charge and bladder condition (if applicable)
- Supply valve(s) – proper valve position and stability
- Proper supply flow, pressure and temperature

Failure to completely check all oil system component functions during the FAT will result in delayed start-up and possible lower than anticipated unit reliability for the life of the process.
unit. Chart recorder data for all transient checks (pump trip and two pump operation) and transfer valve check, are required to be supplied for confirmation that oil supply pressure during the transient event does not fall to the trip setting.

### 3.2 Operations

All gravity drain oil systems accumulate debris (oil sludge, etc.) in the oil reservoir over time. Increasing frequency of oil filter change (significant increase – say from once per year to once every six months) indicates a need to clean the oil reservoir and rundown tanks at the next turnaround. It is a best practice to monitor oil filter change time (when the filter differential pressure alarm is activated) to clean oil tank and rundown tanks. This is a predictive approach that will minimize oil reservoir and overhead tank cleaning cycles while still ensuring unit reliability. Every time the unit is shut down, any oil contained in the tank and all associated debris enters the seal without the benefit of filtration. Therefore, attention should be given to any overhead seal oil tanks that have never been cleaned, but are exposed to the process gas and associated process debris.

In cold climates (ambient temperatures below 15° C at any time of the year), cool, static oil in the non-operating cooler and filter will cause a transient pressure drop when it is comes on-line. Low oil pressure alarms will occur for critical equipment (e.g., when auxiliary pump does not start or does not start in time). It is a best practice to continuously vent the non-operating cooler and filter in cold ambient applications. An enable reliable operational transfer (cooler or filter) always maintains this non-operating equipment with open ventilation and at the same temperature as the operating equipment. Where an alarms/trip has been caused by the issue noted above, operating procedure should be revised and orificed vents installed if required.

Since only the oil film keeps gear and screw components from contacting each other, a plugged main pump suction strainer will rapidly increase pump clearance and cause the auxiliary pump to start. It is a best practice to install differential pressure transmitters to alarm on high differential pressure, for control room monitoring, around pump suction strainers – especially screw and gear pumps. The source of the main pump strainer blockage will eventually plug the auxiliary strainer and result in auxiliary pump damage.

It is very difficult to confirm that a positive displacement pump relief valve is not passing. A friction-bound relief valve can cause an unexpected shutdown of an oil system by passing an additional amount of oil that can force the start-up of an auxiliary pump, thus exposing the unit to a shutdown if the auxiliary pump does not start in time. It is a best practice to install sight glasses in the drain lines of positive displacement pump relief valve to confirm that the relief valve is not passing.
Most oil system relief valves are the modulating type with a small bypass hole to prevent sticking of the valve. This allows a small continuous flow to pass through the valve. Feeling the discharge line of the relief valve gives a false impression of valve condition. Using a sight glasses is a correct way to confirm the proper operation of relief valves.

Regarding the control aspects of the system, it is best practice that existing lubrication systems be modified to be Triple Modular Redundant (TMR) if any trip system un-scheduled shutdowns have occurred. Oil systems without TMR shutdown logic experience lower reliability than TMR systems and corresponding lower serviced unit reliability. TMR shutdown functions for two-out-of-three voting will positively eliminate shutdown instrumentation related failures and prevent spurious shutdowns. Require TMR transmitters for all shutdown functions in new project work or field modifications, to maximize serviced unit reliability.

Similarly, oil systems installed as late as the 1980s use single switches for pressure and temperature protection of machine components. These old devices expose the plant to unscheduled shutdowns. It is a best practice to replace mature plant switches with TMR transmitters in all trip circuits for optimum oil console and serviced unit reliability.

TMR smart transmitters (two-out-of-three voting for a trip) ensure accurate and reliable operation, and prevent spurious trips. Many plants have registered low machine reliability and corresponding revenue losses because of the malfunctioning of old instrumentation. Considering forced outages, it is easy to justify the installation of TMR smart transmitters for all trip circuits in critical equipment installations.

Failure to mark and monitor control valve stem position in oil systems has led to many surprises and replacements soon after a turnaround. It is a best practice to monitor control
valve stem position to identified worn components and allowed replacement during an outage. By monitoring the control valve position (see Figure 6), a determination of components wear (rotary pumps, bearings and seals) will ensure corrective action is taken during an outage. Marking the position of control valves (marking the stem and valve yoke with a straight edge) at the beginning of a run will give an instant indication of component wear for the following items:

- Rotary pumps (screw or gear) – if the bypass valve is closing over time.
- Bearing wear – if the lube oil supply valve is opening over time.
- Control component wear – if the control oil supply valve is opening over time.
- Seal wear – if the seal oil supply valve is opening over time.

![Figure 9: Typical collection of data from the control valve assembly](image)

Check the position of all marked control valves prior to the turnaround meeting to determine if the affected components need replacement during the turnaround. Remember that turnaround action does not affect product revenue, but unplanned action does! Replacement of an oil pump can take two days considering alignment. Replacement of a bearing or seal can take three to five days.

Using colored tape or paint to define each individual line of the system (supply lines, return lines, bypass lines) promotes ownership and personnel awareness on site thus increasing system safety and reliability. It is a best practice to label oil system piping with colored tape to help personnel to understand system operation and how to take corrective action quickly to prevent unit trips. Figure 7 shows examples of piping labels. Many critical machine unit
shutdowns are the result of not monitoring the local instrument and components in the system. Failure to properly label piping, instruments and components leads to neglect and corresponding low oil system reliability.

![Typical Piping Labels](image)

**Figure 10: Typical Piping Labels**

### 3.3 Maintenance

Maintenance of an oil lubricated bearing and its reliability is directly connected to the quality of the supplied oil used for lubrication and cooling. Any contamination of the oil either with debris or water will increase the likelihood of a bearing failure.

Oil filters are usually positioned downstream of the oil coolers to prevent carbon steel (iron sulfide) particles from entering the machinery components and causing pre-mature wear/failure. Shell and tube oil coolers typically have the water in the tubes and oil in the shell and are made of carbon steel for cost reasons. It is a best practice to use of stainless steel coolers and filters. This can easily be justified and will ensure maximum life of machine components.

Lubrication system flushing may be either a displacement flush after a drain and fill, or a high velocity flush for initial turbine oil fills. A displacement flush is performed concurrently during turbine oil replacement, and a high velocity flush is designed to remove contaminants entering from transport and commissioning of a new turbine. Displacement flushes, using separate flush oil, are to remove residual oil oxidation product that cannot be removed by draining or vacuum. A displacement flush is conducted by utilizing lubrication system circulation pumps without any modification to normal oil circulation flow paths, except for potential kidney loop filtration. This flush is typically done based on a time interval vs.
cleanliness (particle levels) to facilitate the removal of soluble and insoluble contaminants that would not typically be removed by system filters.

Best practices in high-velocity flushing are as follows:

- Supply and storage tanks should be clean, dry and odor-free. Diesel flushing is not acceptable.
- Two to three times’ normal fluid velocity achieved with external high-volume pumps, or by sequential segmentation flushing through bearing jumpers.
- Removal of oil after flush is completed to inspect and manually clean (lint-free rags) turbine lube oil system internal surfaces.
- High-efficiency bypass system hydraulics to eliminate the risk of fine particle damage [5].

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

In standard ASTM D4378-08 [7], an equation was developed for turbine severity, \( B \), is as follows:

\[
B = M \left(1 - \frac{X}{100}\right) \left(1 - e^{-\frac{Mt}{100}}\right)
\]

Where:
- \( B \) is the turbine severity
- \( M \) is the fresh oil makeup expressed as the percent of total charge per year
- \( t \) is the years of oil use
- \( X \) is the used oil oxidation resistance in the Test Method D 2722 rotary pressure vessel text expressed as % of fresh oil

In standard ISO 4406, oil cleanliness levels are defined by three numbers divided by slashes (/). The example below illustrates the use of ISO 4406 code chart.
These numbers correspond to 4, 6 and 14 micron. Each number refers to an ISO Range Code, which determines by the number of particles for that size (4, 6 and 14mm) and larger present in 1ml of oil.

4.2 Analysis of Data
Analysis of test data is defined in standard ASTM D4378-08.
The analysis of data using the oil cleanliness levels from the ISO 4406, are illustrated below:
Figure 12: Oil Cleanliness Levels [8]
4.3 Integrated Improvements

Interpretation of test data and recommended actions are defined in ASTM D4378-08.

The integration of the ISO 4406 oil cleanliness levels can be used for the selection and specification of system characteristics and the equipment that it services.

Figure 13: ISO 4406 Target Level Chart [8]
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
This best practice for a hydraulic turbine governor addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability of generating units. The primary purpose of the governor is to control the turbine servomotors which adjust the flow of water through the turbine regulating unit speed and power. How the governor is designed, operated, and maintained will directly impact the reliability of a hydro unit.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position
Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Governor

1.1.1 Governor Components
A governor is a combination of devices that monitor speed deviations in a hydraulic turbine and converts that speed variation into a change of wicket gate servomotor position which changes the wicket gate opening. This assembly of devices would be known as a “governing system”. In a hydro plant this system is simply called the “governor” or “governor equipment”. For a single regulating turbine (Francis and Propeller), a governor is used to start a hydro unit, synchronize the unit to the grid, load, and shut down the unit. For a double regulating turbine (Kaplan), a governor would also add control to the runner blade servomotor which changes the pitch of the runner blades to maintain optimal efficiency of the turbine for a given wicket gate opening. This is usually done through a mechanical cam or digitally through an electronic controller. Double regulating is also used for dual control of a Pelton’s nozzle opening and deflector position. This double regulation establishes an exact relationship between the position of the needle valve and the deflector to allow the deflector to intercept the jet of water flow before closure of the needle valve thereby reducing the water hammer effect in the penstock.

A governor is usually not considered as an efficiency component of a hydro unit, except for a Kaplan unit’s double regulation of blade angle versus wicket gate position which is an important driver for performance and efficiency. For a Kaplan turbine governor, a 2D or 3D cam (or electronic equal) for blade positioning and the Kaplan feedback/restoring mechanism, together supply the double regulating function. The details are described as follows:

Double Regulating Device: The function of the double regulating device for a Kaplan turbine is to provide a predetermined relationship between the blade tilt angle and the wicket gate opening. This is done by a 2 dimensional (2D) or a 3 dimensional (3D) cam. A 2D mechanical cam provides a relationship between blade tilt angle and wicket gate opening. A 3D cam adds the third dimension of head usually by means of an electronic or digital controller. A 2D cam has to be manually adjusted for different head ranges whereas a 3D cam automatically adjusts for head changes.

Kaplan Blade Position Feedback: The restoring mechanism is a “feedback” device that feeds back the current blade tilt angle and the post movement command position to the
control system. In a mechanical governor this is typically a pulley cable system, and with digital governors it may be a linear potentiometer or linear magnetostrictive (non-contact) electrical positioning system.

The non-performance but reliability related components of a governor include the oil pressure system, flow distributing valves, control system, Permanent Magnet Generator (PMG) or speed sensor, control system, wicket gate restoring mechanism, and creep detector. As a note, many references consider the wicket gate servomotors as part of the governor system. However for HAP, the servomotors are considered part of the turbines and are addressed in the turbine best practices.

**Oil Pressure System:** The oil pressure system consists of oil pump/s, oil accumulator tank/s, oil sump, and the necessary valves, piping, and filtering required (pressure tanks/accumulators are not addressed in this best practice document).

**Flow Distributing Valves:** The distributing valve system varies in design depending on the type of governor. For a common mechanical governor, the system consists of a regulating valve (that moves the servomotors) that is controlled by the valve actuator, which is in turn controlled by the pilot valve. These valves coupled with the oil pressure system provides power amplification in which small low force movements are amplified into large high forces movements of the servomotors.

**Control System:** The control system can be mechanical, analog, or digital depending on the type of governor. In the truest sense, the control system is the “governor”. The purpose of all other components in a governor system is to carry out the instructions of the control system (governor). For mechanical governors, the control system consists of the fly-ball/motor assembly (ball head or governing head) driven by the PMG, linkages, compensating dashpot, and speed droop device.

**Speed Sensor:** Mechanical governors use a permanent magnet generator (PMG) as rotating speed sensor which is driven directly by the hydro unit. It is basically a multi-phase PMG that is electrically connected to a matching multi-phase motor (ball head motor) inside the governor cabinet that drives the fly-ball assembly (or governing head) which is part of the control system. Analog and Digital governors use a Speed Signal Generator (SSG) driven directly by the unit which provides a frequency signal proportional to the unit speed usually through a zero velocity magnetic pickup monitoring rotating gear teeth or through generator bus frequency measured directly by a Potential Transformer (PT).

**Double Regulating Device for Pelton Turbine:** Double regulation for a Pelton turbine provides for an exact relationship between the position of the needle valve and the deflector to allow the deflector to intercept the jet of water before closure of the needle valve thereby reducing any water hammer in the penstock. This is done by a mechanical connection between the needle valve and deflector.

**Wicket Gate Position Feedback:** The restoring mechanism is a “feedback” device that feeds back the current wicket gate position and the post movement command position to the control system. In a mechanical governor this is typically a pulley cable system, and
with digital governors it may be a linear potentiometer or linear magnetostrictive (non-contact) electrical positioning system.

Creep Detector: The creep detector is a device, usually mounted on the PMG or part of speed sensor that is capable of measuring very slow shaft revolutions. Its purpose is to detect the beginning of shaft rotation that might occur from leakage of the wicket gates while the unit is shut down. The system detects movement and turns on auxiliary equipment, such as bearing oil pumps, to prevent damage.

In addition to the above devices, some auxiliary equipment associated closely with the governing system and often found in, on, or near the governor cabinet which is not addressed in this Best Practice, such as: synchronizer, shutdown solenoid, tachometer, over speed switch, generator brake applicator, governor air compressor, and various gages and instruments. These can vary greatly in design depending on the type of governor or turbine.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- The governor performance refers to the ability of off-line and on-line responses, sensitivity to hunting, accuracy of frequency, synchronization time, and the ability to start remotely. These performances can affect the unit generation performance directly or indirectly. One best practice is periodic testing to establish accurate current governor performance characteristics and limits.

- Periodic analysis of governor performance at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations of expected performance from the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or wear.

- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

- Maintain documentation of the IPL and update when modifications to equipment are made.

- Index testing of Kaplan turbines following ASME PTC 18-2011 [19], must be done periodically (10 year cycle minimum) or after major maintenance activities on the turbine, to establish the best blade angle to the gate opening relationship and update the 2D or 3D cam.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Digital governors are the state of the art technology for hydro turbine governing system, use digital type governor for new installation. They can be either proprietary controllers or controllers based on industrial PLCs.
Rather than to replace the entire governing system it may be more cost effective to retain many of the mechanical components (i.e. pumps, accumulator tank, sump, etc.) and perform a digital upgrade or retrofit.

As a best practice, use a non-contact linear displacement feedback sensor such as a Magnetostrictive Linear Displacement Transducer (MLDT) rather than a contact sensor such as a linear potentiometer which will wear over time.

For new governors or retrofits, choose a well known reputable manufacturer that will be around to support the equipment for long term. Use industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for governor components materials and maintenance practices.

Monitor the governor pump cycle time, during regulating and shutdown to establish a baseline and trend any increases that may be indicative of internal leakage of the valves or problems with the turbine servomotors. Monitor pump noise and vibration which can be an indication of bearing failures, excessive oil foaming, loose pipe connections, and possible blockage of oil flow. Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

Oil tests should show oil cleanliness meeting an ISO particle count of 16/13, viscosity should be within +/-10% of manufacturer’s recommended viscosity, metals should be under 100 parts per million (ppm), acid number less than 0.3, and the moisture content should be less than 0.1%. Oil should be tested as a minimum every 6 months. Compare and contrast the results to establish trends for increases in contamination or decrease in lubricant properties.

Only lint-free rags should be used to wipe down the vital parts inside a governor since the lint can be a source of oil contamination leading to binding of certain critical control valves.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C - Automation Best Practice
- Mechanical – Lubrication System Best Practice
- Mechanical – Francis Turbine Best Practice
- Mechanical – Kaplan Turbine Best Practice
- Mechanical – Pelton Turbine Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

The four types of governors that have been used for hydraulic turbines throughout history are: mechanical, mechanical-hydraulic, analog, and digital. The purely mechanical governor
is for very small applications requiring little motive force in the actuator and was developed in the late 1800’s. Amos Woodward received his first governor patent for controlling water wheels in 1870. A significant improvement occurred in 1911 when Elmer Woodward perfected the mechanical-hydraulic actuator governor adding power amplification through hydraulics [3]. One of the first being a gate shaft type governor as shown in Figure 1. These actuator governors could be applied to very large hydraulic turbines which required large forces to control the wicket gates. They ultimately evolved into the cabinet actuator governor as shown in Figure 2. Analog governors, with electronic Proportional-Integral-Derivative (PID) control functions, which replace the ball-head, dashpot, and linkages, were developed in the early 1960’s. Digital governors (PID through software) were developed in the late 1980’s and have advanced with improvements of micro-processor capabilities. [1]

Figure 3 shows a block diagram for a single regulating mechanical-hydraulic governor and turbine control system as compared to Figure 4 showing a digital governor. The solid line blocks are part of the governor controls and the dashed line blocks are part of the turbine controls.

Figure 1: Gate Shaft Governor

Figure 2: Mechanical Cabinet Actuator Governor
Figure 3: Mechanical-Hydraulic Governor (Solid line) and Turbine Control System (Dashed line) [7]

Figure 4: Digital Governor (Solid line) and Turbine Control System (Dashed line)
As a best practice, governors being purchased should be specified according to IEEE 125 [15] and/or IEC 61362 [17].

Performance levels for governors can be stated at three levels as follows:

- The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the governor performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. These may be determined from manufacturer shop reports and records from field commissioning tests.
- The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of governor performance characteristics determined by field testing.
- Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to governor design information from the manufacturer.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

Mechanical cabinet actuator governors (Figures 2 and 5) are the dominate type of governors in service today for hydro turbines but are no longer manufactured due to their high cost. Analog governors have more functionality over mechanical governors but still have more hardware components than a modern digital governor [1]. As a result, digital governors with their lower cost, and versatility through software programmability, are the governors of default today for new installations or replacements, as the state of the art technology for hydro turbine governors. Custom proprietary controllers such as that shown in Figure 8 are available, as well as systems based on industrial Programmable Logic Controllers (PLCs).

Figure 5: Mechanical-Hydraulic Governor

Figure 6: Analog Governor
As a best practice, rather than replace the entire mechanical or analog governing system, often a cost effective solution is to retain many of the mechanical components (i.e. pumps, accumulator tank, sump, etc) and perform a digital upgrade or retrofit. This allows the hydro plant to retain the reliability of some of the existing equipment and also retain the familiarity with that equipment while reducing the installed cost versus a new governor. The upgrades usually include installing a digital controller (PLC) and electronic speed sensor to replace the mechanical components (PMG, ball-head, linkages, dashpot, etc.) and an analog controller.

In addition, a proportional valve usually replaces the pilot valve and an electronic feedback position sensor replaces mechanical restoring cable. It is possible to add remote communication features, fast on-line ramp rates, out-of-calibration alarms, a touch screen human machine interface (HMI), and many other features not possible with legacy governors [11]. Figure 6 shows an original analog governor and Figures 7 and 8 show the same governor upgraded to digital controls. Figure 9 shows a PMG and associated mechanical speed switches with a speed indicator probe and creep detector on top. Figure 10 shows an electronic speed sensor assembly with zero velocity sensors monitoring a gear.
Figures 11 and 12 show the contrast between a typical wicket gate servomotor mechanical restoring cable for a mechanical governor feedback versus an electronic MLDT for feedback to a digital governor. As a best practice, use a non-contact linear displacement feedback sensor such as a MLDT rather than a contact sensor such as a linear potentiometer which will wear over time.

As a general cautionary note, one should be aware that the product life cycle of digital governors is relatively short, as with most computerized technology of today. Therefore, over time, spare parts can become difficult to procure. The software and the hardware running it can be obsolete in as little as 10 years [11]. A best practice would be to choose a well known reputable manufacturer that will be around to support the equipment for long term. Use industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for governor components materials and maintenance practices.
3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

After the commercial operation begins, how the governor is operated and maintained will have a major impact on loss prevention of the IPL and CPL and maintaining the unit reliability. An unforeseen failure of the governor can have a substantial impact on revenues due to the extended forced outage. Therefore, it is important to maintain a current assessment of the condition of the governor and plan accordingly. A condition assessment of a governor system would include the evaluation of the age of the equipment, operating and maintenance history, availability of spare parts, and performance [10].

Using the age of any equipment to assess the condition is very subjective, since how the equipment is operated and maintained over its life will directly affect the wear of its components. However, age is still an important measure of wear of mechanical parts. Just as with electronic parts, as the components age, they may deteriorate from exposure to heat, vibration, and contamination of dirt and oil [10].

Mechanical-hydraulic governors (Figures 1, 2, and 5) are usually very reliable, with the most common problems being oil leakage (external and internal), sticking valves, looseness in pins and linkages due to wear, and misadjustments. Some leakage is acceptable and provisions are usually made by the manufacturer for normal leakage. A condition assessment would include observation of the leakage and discussion with the hydro plant maintenance technicians as to the amount of daily or weekly maintenance required and of any major past repairs. A sign of excessive external oil leakage is usually evident from the observation of extreme use of oil absorbent materials, rags, and catch containers in the governor cabinet. This external oil leakage drains back to the sump bringing with it any dust and dirt that enters the cabinet resulting in contamination of the oil.

A sign of excessive internal oil leakage is a frequent cycle time of the governor oil pump. IEEE 125 [15] and Goncharov [9] recommend that the oil pressure system (pump/s and accumulator/s) should be designed such that the minimum pump cycle is 10 minutes while the governor is controlling steady state. This value factors in internal leakage and the regulating use of the oil. However, even with minimal internal leakage, the pump cycle time will vary greatly depending on whether the unit is shutdown, starting up, regulating (isolated mode will require more than when connected to a stable grid), or shutting down since the amounts of oil use are different at all these different circumstances. For example, the pump may not cycle for 30 minutes, an hour, or longer while the unit is shut down, but may operate continuously while the unit is starting up or shutting down. In any case, the pump/s should be rated for the service that they actually see in service. Some very large governors use a small “jockey pump” which is designed to operate continuously while the unit is operating steady state. So this pump would be rated for continuous service. As a best practice, one should monitor the pump cycle time of the plant governors, during regulating and shutdown to establish a baseline and trend increases that may be indicative of internal leakage of the valves or problems with the turbine servomotors. This also allows such trending of pump cycles to be used to compare the governor condition of similar units. Also, one should
monitor pump noise and vibration which can be an indication of bearing failures, excessive oil foaming, loose pipe connections, and possible blockage of oil flow [12].

The importance of clean oil cannot be understated, so any condition assessment would analyze oil test reports to ensure the oil suspended particulate is low and moisture content is low. Excessive metal particulate is a sign of major wear of valve internals (pilot, valve actuator, proportional, or distributor) and should be addressed as soon as possible. As a best practice, results from oil tests should show oil cleanliness meeting an ISO 4406 particle count of 16/13, viscosity should be within +/-10% of manufacturer’s recommended viscosity, metals should be under 100 parts per million (ppm), acid number less than 0.3, and the moisture content should be less than 0.1%. Oil should be tested as a minimum every 6 months.

Analog and digital governors (Figures 6, 7, and 8) have mechanical components so they share many of the same maintenance requirements as a mechanical-hydraulic governor. A condition assessment would include the same approach, as stated above, with the mechanical inspection generally limited to the hydraulic governor head assembly, which consists of the proportional valve and associated control components [10]. Electronic components should be inspected for any signs of looseness in connections, overheating, and any contamination of dirt or oil on the components. Overheating of the oil in the sump, from an extended unit operation or excessive internal leakage in the system, can cause the release of oil vapors into the governor cabinet which will condense on the cooler surfaces. Also, oil leakage will increase with oil temperature. This oil vapor condensation can cause major problems with electronic components if they happen to be located within the cabinet.

Any condition assessment should also include an inventory of spare parts. All necessary mechanical and electronic parts required to keep the governor operational should be available in plant inventory, or on short notice depending on the criticality of the unit to the system.

The measured performance of a governor is a major indicator for the condition assessment. Performance measures should include off-line and on-line response, sensitivity to hunting, accuracy of frequency, synchronization time, and the ability to start remotely. ASME Performance Test Code, PTC 29 [14] provides the rules and procedures for executing governor performance tests.

3.2 Operations

Mechanical-hydraulic governor for a hydraulic turbine is a simple and reliable device for controlling speed and power output. Stabilization of the unit is provided by a compensating dashpot while the same function is provided electronically or digitally in an analog or digital governor. Governor dead time is defined as the elapsed time from the initial speed change to the first movement of the wicket gates for a rapid change of more than 10 percent of load. The dead time for a mechanical-hydraulic governor is 0.25 seconds whereas the dead time for an analog or digital governor is less than 0.2 seconds which enables to governor to provide accurate stable speed control [2]. Through the operation of a governor a unit is started up, synchronized to the grid, loaded, and then shut down. Also, its function is coordinated with the operation of various other types of auxiliary equipment in the unit such
as lubrication pumps, cooling water pumps, excitation control, brakes, protective relays, and the main generator breaker.

Kaplan turbines are double regulated such that as the wicket gates move the blades tilt to follow a pre-established relationship with wicket gate position and head. This is usually done in a mechanical governor via a 2D cam as shown in Figure 13. More advanced governors with 3D cams (electronic equal), as shown in Figures 14, 15, and 16, monitor head and continually update that relationship via software. As the turbine condition degrades, the efficiency reduces and subsequently the mechanical 2D cam surface may wear [8]. Therefore, as a best practice, index testing following ASME PTC 18-2011 [19], must be done periodically (10 year cycle minimum) or after major maintenance activities on the turbine, to establish the best blade angle to the gate opening relationship and update the 2D or 3D cam. An example of the changing of that relationship and setting of a new curve is shown in Figure 1 of the Propeller / Kaplan Best Practice document.
3.3 Maintenance

This Best Practice document does not replace the manufacturer’s maintenance manual for servicing the governor. Governor maintenance and adjustments should be performed following the manufacturer’s guidelines. A good thirty-party reference for mechanical-hydraulic governor maintenance is the USBR’s Mechanical Governors for Hydroelectric Units [5].

Many hydro plants still prefer a mechanical-hydraulic governor over a modern digital governor. Even though mechanical-hydraulic governors are no longer manufactured, parts can be reversed engineered or procured from third-party manufacturers. The part technology is static, reliability is proven, and maintenance cost is generally low and established. Also,
the maintenance personnel are familiar with the equipment and are trained to maintain and repair the equipment [1]. However, time and associated wear takes a toll on almost all devices including governing equipment. Electrical and mechanical parts will wear to a point that they have to be replaced. At times, repair parts may be too expensive, obsolete, or not available so the governor has to be replaced or upgraded with new one, which is usually digital [6].

Clean oil is the lifeblood of a hydraulic actuated governor. Sticking valves, whether they are pilot valves or distributor valves of a mechanical governor or proportioning valves in a digital governor is a common symptom of dirty oil. Reconditioning of the oil by routine centrifuging and filtering during routine outages is recommended. As a best practice, many plants connect a kidney loop filtration system to the governor sump to continuously filter the oil, as shown in Figure 17. Such filtration systems are capable of removing particulate and also can remove moisture if designed accordingly.

![Figure 17: Kidney Loop Filtration on Sump](image)

Mechanical-hydraulic governors contain sets of delicate and intricate linkages and valves in which if any single component fails it may cause the entire system to malfunction. As a best practice, it is very important to keep the components free from accumulation of dirt and dust and keep the linkages and bearing adequately lubricated with oil [7]. Binding in the linkages and bearings due to lack of lubrication or dirt buildup is a frequent cause of governor trouble. As a best practice, only lint-free rags should be used to wipe down the vital parts since the lint can be a source of oil contamination leading to binding of certain critical control valves [4].

Analog and digital governor systems have mechanical components that have to be maintained just like mechanical-hydraulic governors. In addition, they have common maintenance problems such as loose wire and card connections that may vibrate free
over time. Any maintenance program, as best practice, must include checking and tightening these components periodically to avoid the otherwise resulting unit trips and forced outages. Electronic components do fail from time to time, so it is imperative to have adequate spare parts on site and the maintenance personnel properly trained to troubleshoot and repair the governor.

If the decision is to retain a satisfactorily operating mechanical-hydraulic governor which is in good condition, there are other maintenance related upgrades and retrofits that can be made to the equipment to provide even higher reliability, such as: electronic 3D cams (for Kaplan blade actuation, see section 3.2), pump un-loader pilot valve kit and oil strainer (Figure 18), electronic speed switch kits, and improved pilot valve strainers (Figure 19).

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental performance for a governor is described by the quality of its speed regulation of a hydraulic turbine. This quality can be determined by its performance measures.

The measured performance of a governor is a major indicator for the condition assessment. ASME PTC-29 [14] specifies procedures for conducting tests to determine the following performance characteristics of hydraulic turbine speed governors:

- **Droop** - permanent and temporary
- **Deadband and Deadtime** – speed, position, and power
- **Stability index** - governing speedband and governing powerband
- **Step response**
- **Gain (PID)** - proportional gain, integral gain, and derivative gain
- **Setpoint adjustment** - range of adjustment and ramp rate

A similar international code is IEC 60300 [16].

Index testing of Kaplan turbines following ASME PTC 18-2011 [19], must be done periodically (10 year cycle minimum) or after major maintenance activities on the turbine, to establish the best blade angle to the gate opening relationship.

The condition of the governor can be monitored by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual [13].

Unit reliability characteristics, as judged by its availability for generation, can be monitored by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s (NERC) performance indicators, such Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF), Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR), and event reports. Many utilities supply data to the Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the performance of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analysis and decision-making by GADS data users.

### 4.2 Data Analysis

Analysis of test data is defined in ASME PTC-29 [14] and/or IEC 60300 [16]. Basically, determine current performance measurements (CPL). Compare results to previous or original governor test data (IPL), and determine any reduction in performance. Compare results to new governor design data (from governor manufacturer), and determine potential performance (PPL). For the latter, calculate the installation/rehabilitation cost and internal rate of return to determine upgrade justification.

Analyze index test results performed on Kaplan unit to determine if a new 2D or 3D cam (or electronic equal) must be updated.

Monitor the governor pump cycle timeduring regulating and off line to establish a baseline, and trend any increases that may be indicative of internal leakage of the valves or problems with the turbine servomotors.

Monitor the condition of the oil through periodic testing, compare the results to establish trends for any increase in contamination or decrease in lubrication properties.

The condition assessment of a governor is quantified through the CI as derived according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual. The overall governor CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the governor. This methodology can be applied periodically.
to derive a CI snapshot of the current governor condition such that it can be monitored over

time and studied to determine condition trends that can impact performance and reliability.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through

reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F,

Performance Indexes and Equations [18]. Event reports can be analyzed for outages or
deratings by equipment cause codes to ascertain the impact of governor related events

(governor cause codes 7050 and 7053).

4.3 Integrated Improvements

Periodic index test results should be used to update the Kaplan 2D or 3D cams to maximize
efficiency of the turbine.

Projects such as digital governor conversions, retrofits, mechanical upgrades that are justified

by a poor CI or poor reliability indices should be implemented.

As the condition of the governor changes, the CI and reliability indices are trended and

analyzed. Using this data, projects can be ranked and justified in the maintenance and capital

programs to bring the governor back to an acceptable condition and performance level.
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

Major Valve Applications in Hydropower facilities [1]

There are various applications of valves in hydropower facilities. It is necessary to first describe different types of major valves to clarify the scope of this best practice document. Based on the functions and services that valves provide, the major valves in a hydropower facility can be categorized as shut-off valves, energy dissipating valves, flow control valves, pressure control valves, air/vacuum valves, and bypass valves. Their functions and major features are addressed as follows:

1) Shut-off valves (also known as closure valves) – They are often installed at the downstream end of a conduit or penstock, e.g., the inlet of the turbine scroll case. The turbine inlet valve is used to shut off water supply to the turbine, allowing turbine dewatering for inspection and maintenance without dewatering the penstock. This feature is desirable for long penstock and high-head cases, particularly when two or more units share a common penstock. This turbine inlet valve is also used to cut off the water flow and stop the unit when the wicket-gates fail to close; particularly at the emergency situation of load rejection and wicket-gate malfunction. Butterfly valves, spherical valves, and cone valves are the most commonly used turbine closure valves in medium-large scale hydro plants. Butterfly valves are used for the heads up to 122 meters (400 feet). Spherical valves are used for heads up to 1200 meters (4000 feet). Cone valves can be used for heads up to 1750 meters (5700 feet).

2) Energy dissipating valve – Water may be released from a reservoir through low level outlet(s) for reservoir level control, downstream water demands, or minimum stream flow requirements. Efficient energy dissipating valves were developed to improve the operating characteristics and lessen stringent stilling basin requirements. Fixed-cone dispersion valves are often used for controlling free discharge for heads up to 300 meters (1000 feet). Sleeve valves used to dissipate the head in a closed system without cavitation damage (for heads up to 30 meters).

3) Flow control valves – For large water conduits, energy-dissipating valves control the flow of water while simultaneously breaking the head in the releases. Fixed-cone dispersion and hollow-jet valves are used to control releases from low-level outlets, while sleeve valves are used for flow control in “in-line” piping systems. The flow control valves are also used to regulate the flow of water to the runner in impulse-type hydroelectric turbines (needle valves, as one part of Pelton turbine, are not discussed in this BP). Although shut-off valves may be used to throttle flow, they are normally not designed for continuous flow rate control.

4) Pressure control valves – Pressure control valves can be further categorized as pressure-relief, pressure-regulating and pressure-regulator valves. The pressure-relief valve opens when the pressure acting on the valve reaches a preset value; it is often used as safety device on air pressure tanks and on governor pressure set accumulators. Pressure-regulating valves are often used to provide a regulated (constant) pressure source of air, oil, or water in hydro facilities, by reducing their openings as upstream pressure rises.
For example, when the penstock or unit inlet is the source of the powerhouse cooling water, a pressure-regulating valve could be used to reduce the inlet pressure to the required cooling water system pressure. The pressure-regulator valve is applied for transient control, which opens to discharge the penstock flow simultaneously with rapid wicket gate closure. This permits the penstock flow to remain relatively constant during the load rejection. Flow control valves are commonly used for pressure-regulator service.

(5) Air/Vacuum valves – They are provided in piping systems to exhaust air from a penstock system or spiral case, or to fill a vacuum to prevent conduit collapse.

(6) Bypass valves – They are applied where water is conveyed around a turbine, powerhouse, or dam. Energy-dissipating and pressure-regulator valves are often used in bypass piping lines. Needle valves and other valve types are also used in bypass lines to balance the pressure across large butterfly or spherical valves before they are opened or closed.

**Scope and Purpose of This Document**

As the smaller valves on common mechanical piping systems have no difference to other applications, this document focuses on the major valves typically applied in power water conveyance systems at conventional hydropower plants. Therefore, this best practice will only look at the shut-off valves installed at penstocks or power water conduits, including butterfly valves, spherical valves, cone valves, and knife gate valves. The document addresses their technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability.

**1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position**

Hydropower Facility → Water Conveyance → Control/Shut-off Valves

**1.1.1 Butterfly Valve Components**

Butterfly valves use a disc that rotates ninety degrees to open and close the valve.

Performance and reliability related components of a butterfly valve consist of the valve body, valve seal, and the disc.

![Butterfly Valve Example](image)
Valve Body: The valve body’s purpose is to house the disc and attach the valve to the piping system. Typically, the body has flanged connections to facilitate dismantling.

Valve Seat: The valve seat is on the contact portion of the valve body and is usually made of flexible materials such as rubber or nylon, or metals like bronze or stainless steel. The purpose of the seat is to seal the valve to prevent leakage through the valve when closed. In high performance butterfly valves, the seat is offset from the shaft, therefore not penetrated by the shaft. In triple-offset high performance butterfly valves, metal seats may be used. In the triple-offset design, the seal contacts the seat only at the fully closed position, without rubbing.

Disc: The function of the disc is to control the amount of water running through the pipe. Because the disc is always present in the flow, there will always be a head loss across the valve, even when the valve is fully open.

1.1.2 Spherical Valve Components

Spherical valves are valves that use a rotor, shaped like a ball, to stop or start the flow of fluid. When the valve is opened, the ball rotates so the hole through the ball is in line with the valve body inlet and outlet. When the valve is shut the ball is rotated so the hole is perpendicular to the flow openings of the valve body, and flow stops.

Performance and reliability related components of a spherical valve consist of the body, rotor, and the seals.

![Figure 2: Spherical Valve Example](image)

**Body:** The function of the body is to house the rotor and connect the valve to the rest of the piping. The body is typically made of two or more flanged sections.

**Rotor:** The rotor has a cylindrical hole through it which controls the flow through the valve. When open, the rotor is parallel to the flow direction, leaving the flow completely
unrestricted. To cut off the flow through the valve, the rotor is turned 90° perpendicular to the flow.

Seals: The seals reduce leakage through the valve. Spherical valves are recommended to have both upstream and downstream seals, where the downstream seal is the service seal. The upstream seal is used for maintenance, such as replacing the service seal. Typically, the seals are actuated with penstock water pressure.

1.1.3 Cone Valve Components

Cone valves are similar to spherical valves in that they have a plug which contains a full-bore passage when open. The plug is cone shaped and is lifted from the seats and turned ninety degrees to actuate. Metal-to-metal seats are standard.

Figure 3: Cone Valve Example

Performance and reliability related components of a cone valve consist of the body, plug, and the seals.

Body: The function of the body is to house the plug and connect the valve to the rest of the piping. The body is typically cast of iron or steel. The body contains two seat rings.

Plug: The plug is cast in the shape of a frustum of a cone and has a full bore passage with seats which mate to the body in either the open or closed position.

Operator: (not shown in Figure 3) The operator may be manual, electric powered, hydraulic powered, or pneumatic powered.

1.1.4 Knife Gate Valve Components

Knife gate valves use a plate which moves linearly into and out of the flow path to close and open the valve.
Performance and reliability related components of a knife gate valve consist of the body, gate, seats, packing, and operator.

**Body:** Valve bodies are typically cast stainless steel up to 24”, and fabricated in larger sizes. Wafer and lugged (shown in Figure 4) bodies are available. End to end dimension is small compared to spherical and cone valves.

**Gate:** Fabricated from plate, with edges and surface finished for sealing at the packing and seats.

**Seats:** Where the gate meets the body when closed. Can be metal, which can leak a small amount, or resilient which are designed to be drip-tight.

**Packing:** Seals around the gate where the gate exits the body. Packing and packing gland are relatively large on a non-bonneted valve as shown in Figure 4. Bonneted valves are available which fully enclose the gate, including when the valve is open, and only the operating stem must be sealed. Rising stem and non-rising stem designs are available.

**Operator:** Manual, electric, hydraulic, and pneumatic actuation is typical.

### 1.2 Summary of Best Practices

#### 1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability-Oriented Best Practices

- As an integral part of the penstock, routine monitoring of head loss through penstocks includes valves.

- Routine monitoring to ensure that valves are in the correct position, e.g., fully open when intended and fully closed when intended.

- Routine monitoring to ensure that valve actuators function, and time to open and close is as specified.

- Maintain documentation of Installed Performance Level (IPL) and update when modification to equipment is made.
- Include industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for valve components’ materials and maintenance practices.

**1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance-Oriented Best Practices**

- Develop a routine inspection and maintenance plan.
- Regularly inspect joints for leakage.
- Valves should be used within the specified pressure-temperature range. Spherical valves are capable of entrapping fluid in the internal cavity, which if heated can cause a rise in pressure. It must be ensured that in this condition, the pressure in the valve does not exceed the rated pressure for the attained temperature.

**1.3 Best Practice Cross-references**

- I&C - Automation Best Practice
- Civil – Penstock/Tunnel/Surge Tank best Practice
- Mechanical - Lubrication Best Practice
- Mechanical - Generator Best Practice
- Mechanical – Governor Best Practice
- Mechanical – Raw Water System Best Practice

**2.0 Technology Design Summary**

**2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution**

Butterfly valves are from a family of valves called quarter-turn valves and it derives its name from the way that a “butterfly-shape image” appears to form as it turns. The "butterfly" is a metal disc mounted on a shaft. When the valve is closed, the disc is turned so that it is tightly pressed against the seats, sealing off the passageway. When the valve is fully open, the disc is rotated a quarter turn so that it allows an almost unrestricted passage of the process fluid. The valve may also be opened incrementally to regulate flow. Unlike a ball valve, the disc is always present within the flow; therefore a pressure drop is always induced in the flow regardless of valve position [3].

Resilient seated butterfly valves were developed first. High performance butterfly valves, in which the shaft and seat are offset, were the next. Triple-offset high performance butterfly valves are the most advanced design. Triple-offset butterfly valves are utilized for high pressure and temperature conditions, and can have resilient or metal seats.
Spherical valves are specialty items, typically designed for the individual application. They are made for high pressure, high velocity, and large diameter applications found in hydroelectric facilities.

Spherical valves, on the other hand, have not been around nearly so long. A spherical ball-type, all-brass valve patented in 1871 led to the invention of the modern ball valve. Unfortunately, the valve was not successful and was not even mentioned in valve catalogs of the late 1800s. Nearly 75 years later, the first resilient seated ball valve patent was issued in April 1945. However, ball valves were not commercially available until the late 60s [6].

2.2 State of the Art Technology

In order to enhance the performance of valves, computer aided design (CAD) software is now used throughout the design process. Companies utilize top-of-the-line solid modeling software and finite element analysis programs to calculate stress and deflection of the valve components. With this information, developers can include proper relief and stress factors to assure a long valve life.

Another advantage to CAD software is that it can then be loaded onto a computer numerical controlled (CNC) machine. These machines can fabricate valves with tremendous precision and consistency.

3.0 Operation and Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

After the commercial operation begins, how the valves are operated and maintained will have a huge impact on maintaining reliability. Condition assessment of the valves must address any past damage, location of damage, and repeat damage.

Typical valve distresses include the following:

- Shaft assembly wear, indicated by displacement between the shaft and bushing
- Seal condition
- Corrosion, usually caused by environmental factors, is suggested by loss of steel
- Cracking, found during dry inspection
- Abnormal noise/jumping/vibration, discovered during valve operation [7]

For spherical valves, close attention should be given to the condition of the seals.
3.2 Operations
Butterfly valves cause a head loss in the flow through the valve. Head loss increases as design pressure or head increases because the disc and shaft size increase with pressure. Although head can be significantly reduced across partially open butterfly valves, prolonged throttling operation is not recommended as it can result in cavitation damage to the disc, seal, or body [1].

On spherical valves, moveable seals reduce leakage when the valve is closed. Valve opening and closing sequencing controls should preclude seal damage by valve rotation when seals are extended. It is recommended that spherical valves have both upstream and downstream seals. The upstream seal should be used as the maintenance/emergency seal and the downstream seal should be used as the service/working seal.

Rapid valve closure can result in damaging pressure transients. Opening/closing times and operating pressures should be recorded for future testing comparison.

During plant operations, it is important to routinely inspect the exterior surfaces of valves for signs of leakage while the valves are under hydrostatic pressure. If any leaks are discovered, the source should be promptly identified and repair performed.

3.3 Maintenance
In order to avoid valve failure during operation, all valves should be periodically inspected to determine wear of the components and replace parts accordingly. The working conditions and location of the valves should determine the frequency of the inspection and maintenance. The valve manufacturer should have information on how to best maintain their valves.

For spherical valves equipped with both upstream and downstream seals, the upstream maintenance seal allows replacement or maintenance of the working seal when the valve is closed under full pressure. However, the upstream seal should have a positive mechanical locking system on the seals to prevent accidental opening while working on the downstream seal [1].

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability
For shut-off valves, the measure of performance is a direct result of their functionality. The purpose of the valves is to stop the flow of water and keep water away from the portions of the system being isolated. Each valve and its associated actuator must be able to fully open and close within the intended time.

Plant efficiency is not greatly affected by shut-off valves because the valves are normally a small fraction of the total water delivery system. It is important that these valves function
properly not necessarily for efficiency, but for safety. Equipment and workers performing
tasks in dewatered portions of the plant must be protected. Valve leakage can be tolerated as
long as safety and equipment protection are not compromised.

Leakage rates should be measured and recorded. Large valves, even those designed to be
drip tight when they are new, may leak after years of service.

4.2 Data Analysis
Leakage through shut-off valves can be tolerated as long as equipment protection and safety
are not compromised. Relatively small amounts of leakage can be tolerated and handled by
pumping water out of areas where maintenance will be performed. However, if pumping
becomes excessive, the cost of new seals or other corrective actions may be justified.

4.3 Integrated Improvements
The field test results for leakage and actuator stroke time should be included when updating
the plant’s unit performance records. These records shall be made available to all involved
personnel and distributed accordingly for upcoming inspections.
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices for raw water systems, focusing on cooling raw water, with the objective to maximize performance and reliability. The raw water cooling system is a once-through (open loop) system, in which water flows are discharged back to the tailwater. The primary purpose of the raw water system is to supply water sources to any or all of the following cooling and other water systems:

- Turbine and generator bearing coolers
- Turbine shaft seal
- Generator air coolers
- Generator fire deluge
- Transformer and/or exciter coolers
- Heating, ventilation and air conditioning
- Service Water
- Source for potable water treatment equipment
- Fire protection [1]

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Balance of Plant/Auxiliary Components → Raw Water System

1.1.1 Raw Water System Components

The raw water system is critical to unit operation in its global plant cooling function. The reliability related components of raw water systems include the supply intake, strainers, pumps, valves, generator air coolers, piping and instrumentation/monitoring. The raw water system is fed either from the units’ forebay, penstock, or scroll case or pumped from the tailrace/tailwater. Tailrace/tailwater is normally the source for lower head plants. Forebays, penstocks or scroll cases is normally the source for higher head plants. The water source is therefore defined as either gravity or pumped type cooling system. In all plants, an intake for unit cooling, sealing and lubrication water is provided for each unit with the supply lines between units manifolded or cross connected for flexibility.

Figure 1 is a typical schematic of the raw water system and it shows the comprehensive nature as it services a wide variety of other hydropower systems.
Figure 15: Typical Raw Water System Piping Schematic [2]
Supply Intake: The function of the supply intake is to feed the raw water system with river, dam or untreated water. Unit cooling, lubricating and sealing water pressure is usually supplied at a maximum pressure of 40 pounds per square inch (around 28 m of water) to prevent damage to the generator air coolers and other equipment.

Strainers: The function of the strainer is to remove suspended solid material (wood, rocks, sand, biological matter, etc) from the raw water to minimize fouling of the generator air coolers and oil cooler heat exchangers. The strainer must be back flushed when the differential pressure across the strainer reached a set point value to ensure the raw water flow rate is not reduced due to blockage of the strainer.

Pumps: The function of the raw (cooling) water pumps, if so equipped, is to develop sufficient flow and head to meet the requirements of the equipment it services. This ensures the water in the piping, strainer, valves and air coolers will be supplied at required flow and pressure. The design must allow for the operation of the raw water component in a fouled condition. Higher head plants/units normally do not require pumps.

Valves: The function of the valves within a raw water cooling system is to route, regulate, or isolate as required, the flow of water. There are multiple types of valves and designs based on their specific application. Chief among these are gate, butterfly, globe, control, ball and check valves. In the high head plants pressure must be reduced by pressure regulating valves for most raw water services. A relief valve on the low-pressure side of each pressure regulating valve protects against piping or equipment damage which might result from over pressurization resulting from faulty operation of the valve. A proportioning valve is used to control the flow of cooling water to the generator air coolers.

Generator air coolers: Generator air coolers, which are considered as part of the Generator (see Best Practice Catalog - Generator), are heat exchangers located in the generator air housings which employ raw water to cool circulating air which in turn cools the generator. Cooling water is delivered to a header serving all air coolers. This header is sized by the generator manufacturer to distribute approximately equal flow to each cooler. From the air cooler water returns via another header to a discharge chamber designed to keep the air coolers full of water at all times. The cooling water headers are normally circular.

Piping: The function of the piping is to connect supply water from the forebay/penstock/scroll case/tailwater to rest of the system at the design water flow rate and pressure to achieve optimum cooling of system components.
**Instrumentation/Monitoring:** The function of the instrumentation is to measure, monitor and regulate the process variables of the raw water, such as flow, temperature and pressure. Pressure indicators, flow meters, temperature indicators, differential pressure transmitters, and/or sightglasses are examples of key instruments.

1.2 **Summary of Best Practices**

1.2.1 **Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices**

- Please cross reference to the associated best practices that have been identified for the generators, turbines and transformer that served by the raw water system.

1.2.2 **Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices**

- Follow the best practices in the Best Practice Catalog - *Trash Racks and Intakes* for the raw water supply.
- Use Remote Operated Vehicle for internal condition inspection on large size pipe (\(> \phi 4\)”).
- Install isolation valves at selected locations for raw water pipeline so key equipment can be isolated and removed for internal inspection as necessary.
- Develop, implement and maintain a raw water instrumentation calibration and verification program for instrumentation such as generator air cooler thermocouples, flow meters, and proportioning valve controllers and differential pressure gages.
- When replacing raw water piping, select materials of construction for generator cooling water (such as carbon steel or stainless steel) and base decisions on specific generating units requirement (such as water quality and plant economics).
- Observe the strainer unit; it will give the operator a good indication of the quality of the raw water supply.
- Operate centrifugal pumps within the Equipment Reliability Operating Envelope (EROE) to achieve maximum Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF).
- Change impeller diameter, if required, to ensure that every raw water centrifugal pump operates inside its EROE.
- Keep EROE range between + 10% to –50% in flow from the pump best efficiency point.
• Keep raw water centrifugal pump curves in the control room. Operators should be trained and instructed on their use for optimizing centrifugal pump safety and MTBF.

• Monitor the raw water pump flow range by inputting the pump shop test curve and collecting transmitter signals (inlet pressure, discharge pressure and flow) into spreadsheets to calculate the pump head and flow.

• Adjust head of the raw water supply as required to facilitate the pumps operation within the EROE.

• Label raw water system piping with colored tape to help personnel to understand system operation and how to take corrective action quickly to prevent unit performance or availability issues.

• Installation of raw water strainers with automatic backwash capabilities will reduce labor intensity associated with maintaining acceptable strainer pressure differentials especially at locations that are not continuously staffed.

• Place a higher priority on removal of generator air cooler bio-fouling than the bio-fouling of the raw water pipe unless it has reduced the flow to a level below the design flow.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

• I&C - Automation Best Practice
• Mechanical – Francis Turbine Best Practice
• Mechanical – Kaplan Turbine Best Practice
• Mechanical – Pelton Turbine Best Practice
• Mechanical – Generator Best Practice
• Civil – Trash racks and Intakes Best Practice
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Early designs for raw water systems consisted mainly of carbon steel and cast iron pipe fittings, pumps, valves and other fixture components. Controls and instrumentation were rudimental, analog and predominately manually operated. Piping embedded in concrete was cast iron with bell and spigot joints requiring leaded joints at connection points with external piping. Piping insulation (where used) contained asbestos fibers.

Valves used for isolation and routing were predominately manually operated gate or globe type valves. An air operated thermostatically controlled proportioning valve was used to regulate flow through the generator air coolers to control generator temperature. A single strainer that was manually operated served the entire raw water system. For lower head plants, centrifugal pumps were used to provide forced circulation to generator air and oil coolers.

Generally few provisions were made for back-flushing air or oil coolers. Water for fighting fires was provided by elevated storage tanks. Fire protection systems were manually actuated.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

The basic design concepts for raw water systems at hydro plants have not changed substantially. However, there are a number of component design improvements for raw water systems that have become state of the art. Most of these changes have been driven by technical improvements in materials of construction and the cost of materials such as stainless steel and copper/copper alloys.

Materials of construction selection for raw water piping systems and components is based on the specific characteristics of the system including water quality of the raw water supply (suspended solids, tendencies to scale, potential bio-fouling, potential for corrosion, etc.) . Exposed larger bore piping (> Ø4”) can be flanged or butt welded carbon steel or stainless steel. (Flanged piping allows disassembly of piping systems for internal build-up cleaning out.) Small bore piping is non-corrosive material such as stainless steel. Embedded piping is stainless steel or cement lined ductile iron (for larger bore piping) with flanged joints for external piping connections.

Valves larger than Ø6” are normally gate valves. Isolation valves Ø2½” to Ø6” are normally butterfly valves. Stainless steel ball valves are normally used for Ø2” and smaller valves. Valves are manually operated or automated based on the process requirements, staffing levels, and etc. Closed cell foam piping insulation systems for eliminating external piping condensation have replaced asbestos containing systems. Raw cooling water pump design
has been changed very little over time. However, mechanical seals have replaced packing glands. Advances in pump materials of construction, impeller design and manufacturing, and more efficient motor design provide improvements in pump reliability and operating costs. Modern raw water pump set-up Figure 2.

![Typical Dual Raw Water Pump Set-Up](image)

Figure 16: Typical Dual Raw Water Pump Set-Up

Current raw water system designs include stainless steel duplex automatic backwash strainers (see Figure 3). Subsystems such as turbine seal water and fire protection can be equipped with finer mesh automatic backwash strainers for additional reliability of these systems. These automated features are used as labor saving methods, especially suitable for facilities that are not continually staffed.
The automated backwash strainers include instrumentation and controls to initiate strainer cleaning based on a time cycle or a pre-established strainer pressure differential.

Fire protection systems are equipped with diesel driven booster pumps which replace the traditional elevated fire water storage tanks. Depending on the individual hydro plant economics, booster pumps may be able to provide increased volume and pressure in fire fighting situations than elevated water storage tanks. Fire protection systems are automated with designs mandated by fire codes that were nonexistent in the early twenty century.
3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

The supply intake for the raw water system can be assessed at two locations depending on the plant layout. If the penstock is tapped for the raw water supply then the trash rack condition assessment becomes critical for the same reasons that the turbines must be supplied debris free water. If the raw water is drawn from the trail water then the intake structures of raw water supply become important. In both cases see the condition assessment best practices in the Best Practice Catalog Trash Racks and Intakes. Unusual biological fouling by plants, fauna, fish and flood debris is a real issue, varies widely, and must be evaluated for specific sites.

Raw water pipe is difficult to evaluate for wall thickness or pinhole leaks. “D” meter readings of wall thickness are considered unreliable due to fouling on the inside of the pipe that may be ½ to ¾ of an inch on Ø6-8” pipe. Pinhole leaks may ultimately develop along the length of the piping system so replacement is typically justified.

The best practice for assessing the internal condition of the larger sized raw water pipe line is a camera mounted Remote Operated Vehicle, Figure 4.

Manual valves can be operated to determine proper operation. Condition of disc, seats and other internal components would require removal from the pipe connections. A system that uses strategically located isolation valves enables this removal. Therefore, it is best practice to install isolation valves at selected location throughout the raw water system so that key equipment can be isolated and removed for internal inspection and/or repaired as required. The additional valve has little or no impact on the efficiency of the raw water system except for the head losses across the valve.

Figure 18: Remote Operated Vehicle (ROV) for pipeline inspection of raw water system [Substructure, Inc.]
The raw water system requires instrumentation to monitor and provide information to help control system equipment such as pumps and strainers. Instruments should be checked and re-checked for accuracy, especially air cooler thermocouples, stator core Resistance Temperature Detectors (RTDs), flow meters, shunt voltage readings, proportioning valves controller, and isolation valve operators. Operability of the proportioning valves can be readily determined as to whether the valves are adjusting water flow for variations in air temperature. The correct function must be determined by the supplier’s Original Equipment Manufacturers (OEMs) engineering documents. Some temperatures can be checked with hand held thermocouples, heat guns, and thermal imaging equipment, depending on accessibility. Differential pressure gauges should be checked to ensure operability and accuracy. A common problem with differential pressure gauges is fouled or blocked pressure tubing.

Materials for Generator Cooling System piping may be cast iron, carbon steel, or stainless steel. The hydraulic performance for each type is detailed in numerous piping industry handbooks; Cameron Hydraulic Data is highly regarded [5]. As a best practice, the most common material would be ASME B36.10 Welded and Seamless Wrought Steel Pipe [6], constructed to ASME B31.3 Process Piping [7] standard.

The strainer unit will give the operator a good indication of the quality of the raw water supply. The best practice for evaluating the raw water strainer condition is based on two indicators, pressure differential trend data across the unit and the strainers performance after a back flush to operate at rated pressure drop or lower.

### 3.2 Operations

When it comes to the operation of a raw water system, how the pumps are efficiently used is critical to the cooling process. It is best practice to operate centrifugal pumps within the Equipment Reliability Operating Envelope (EROE) to achieve maximum Mean Time Between Failures (MTBF). The EROE, also called the heart of the curve (Figure 5), assures maximum centrifugal pump MTBF by avoiding all operating areas of hydraulic disturbances. An established best practice for the EROE range should be + 10% to – 50% in flow from the pump best efficiency point.
Many new raw water pumps are selected with impeller diameters that are incorrectly sized for field operation parameters. The hydraulic calculations used to determine the pump head required for centrifugal pumps will only approximate the field conditions, and can be conservative, which will result in different field head required than noted on the pump data sheet. This can result in driver overload/underload and possible cavitation. Failure to establish EROE limits will lead to low MTBF of centrifugal pumps. Approximately 80% of centrifugal pump reliability reductions (causes of low MTBF) are due to process changes, which cause the pump to operate in either a high flow or low flow range. This exposes the pump to hydraulic disturbances resulting in low MTBF. Establishing operator EROE targets for all critical site pumps and all “bad actor” pumps (pumps with one or more components failures per year) will ensure optimum centrifugal pump safety and MTBFs.

It is best practice to ensure that every raw water centrifugal pump operates inside the EROE and change impeller diameter if required. Lower pump head required can force centrifugal pumps to operate at greater flow than the design point. Most centrifugal pump drivers are sized for +10% power and can be overloaded if the pump flow is greater than the design flow. The most cost effective solution to prevent driver overload is to reduce (cut or trim) the pump impeller diameter, to arrive at the desired pump flow under the required conditions of actual field process head.
The safety and reliability of all centrifugal pumps is optimized if pumps are operated within the equipment reliability operating envelope. It is best practice to have raw water centrifugal pump curve available in the control room and operators need to be trained for using pump test curves to optimize centrifugal pump safety and MTBF. Centrifugal pumps produce flow inversely proportional to the required process head. This flow range is obtained by having operations aware of the centrifugal pump characteristic, providing process targets and having the pump test curves available for each pump for operator use and understanding. Unnecessary centrifugal pump maintenance and pump failures result from operators not checking the pump test curves, or not confirming that the pump operates within its EROE and not understanding their use.

It is an instrumentation best practice for monitoring, in the control room, the raw water pump flow range by inputting the pump shop test curve and collecting transmitter signals (inlet pressure, discharge pressure and flow) into spreadsheets to calculate the pump head and flow. Even if flow meters are not installed for each pump, EROE targets should be established by other methods (control valve position, motor amps, pump inlet and discharge piping differential temperature). Critical centrifugal and ‘bad actor pumps’ require constant surveillance by operators to ensure optimum safety and reliability.

It is a best practice to adjust head as required. Head required in raw water pumping system can be changed by adjusting the discharge system resistance using pressure control, flow control or level control. Each of these methods results in closing a throttle valve in the discharge piping which increases the head (energy) required and reduces the flow rate. This action requires more energy (head) to overcome the increased system resistance.

Using colored labels or paint to define each individual line of the system (supply lines, return lines, bypass lines) involves personnel and promotes ownership thus increasing system safety and reliability. It is a best practice to label raw water system piping to ASME A13.1 [8] with correct colored labels. This will help personnel understand the system operation.

Color coded and identified piping greatly increases site personnel awareness of raw water system operation. See in Figure 6 as an example of piping labels. Many critical machine unit shutdowns are the result of not monitoring the local instrument and components in the system. Failure to properly label piping, instruments and components leads to neglect and corresponding low the system reliability.
Proportioning valves control the raw water flow to the generator air coolers for maintaining proper generator air temperature. The benefit of the proportioning valve is in a situation where the generator is operating in load following mode with significant changes in MVA output. The valve controller would be set to the desired air temperature. Generator air cooler flow balancing is a common operational procedure and should be readily accomplished by plant staff. Air cooler discharge temperature should be checked from each cooler to ensure uniform cooling.

3.3 Maintenance
The raw cooling water to the strainer performance condition is typically judged by the differential pressure across the strainer (Figure 7).
However, high differential is due to fouling of the strainer which can be corrected by installing a back flush feature. Most strainers are designed with a 1/16” to 1/32” screen size to allow small particles to pass for scouring action in the pipes and heat exchanger tubes. If the strainer elements are failed, the strainer is essentially a piece of pipe which does not remove the larger and detrimental debris. Unusual biological fouling, including small fish (shad) and flood debris, can present a problem, but should normally be corrected with a well designed back flush system. The strainer should require minimal maintenance except to replace the internal elements that may degrade with time.

The generator raw water pipe and generator air cooler tubes foul in any system. The cleaning of the raw water pipe is probably of minimum value unless the fouling actually reduces raw water flow to below design value. The generator air cooler tubes are much more of an issue and require periodic cleaning to maintain acceptable performance.

With modern air cooler design, the efficiency of the air cooler will be very similar to a counter flow heat exchanger. The old generator air coolers were similar to a cross flow heat exchanger with a much reduced thermal efficiency. The best measure is the difference between the raw water cold inlet temperature, and the cold air discharge temperature. The raw water temperature is the theoretical temperature as to how much the cold air temperature can be lowered.
Typical efficient coolers will have a cold air discharge temperature of approximately 5°C above the raw water inlet temperature. In the case of badly fouled tubes and degraded fins, the air temperature approach to the raw water temperature may be 15°C to 20°C. In the case of 30°C water inlet temperature, the maximum design air temperature of 40°C would be exceeded and the cold air temperature would be 45°C to 50°C.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The Raw Water System includes cooling water pumps (for plants/units that are so equipped), piping, valves, strainers, instrumentation and controls. As an auxiliary system, the condition of the Raw Cooling Water system components can affect the performance and reliability of the generating plant/unit(s).

Plant/unit performance measures include Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR), Maintenance Outage Rate (MOR) and Planned Outage rate (POR). These indicators are used universally by the power industry. Many utilities supply data to the Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the performance of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analysis and decision-making by GADS data users.

Periodic fielding testing/evaluation of Raw Cooling Water system components that are noted as contributors to decreases in plant/unit availability should be conducted. Periodic testing includes cooling water pump flow tests, pipe/cooler fouling investigations, internal valve and/or strainer inspections or other tests identified.

4.2 Analysis of Data

The reliability of a generating unit, including its auxiliary support systems, can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations [9].

4.3 Integrated Improvements

As raw water system components are identified as contributors to decreases in plant performance and availability or increases in maintenance costs, field testing of the specifically identified raw water system component(s) is (are) performed. The field test results are trended and analyzed. Using the data collected and analyzed, projects to eliminate or mitigate any identified degradation or high maintenance component issues are developed, ranked and justified in the Capital and Maintenance funding programs. Capital and Maintenance projects that are approved are implemented to return the component to an acceptable condition and performance level. Post implementation testing of components that
are replaced/modified or otherwise repaired is conducted to verify that issues that resulted in decreased unit/plant performance and/or reliability have been addressed.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:


State of the Art:

Forsthoffer, W., E., Best Practice Handbook for Rotating Machinery – 2011


Standards:

ASME A36.10, Welded and Seamless Wrought Steel Pipe - 2004


ASME A13.1, Scheme for Identification of Piping Systems -2007

NERC, Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations - January, 2011
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

The best practice for the electrical generator addresses its technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize the unit performance and reliability. The primary purpose of the generator is to covert the mechanical torque supplied by the turbine to electrical power.

The manner in which the generator is designed, operated, and maintained provides significant impact to the efficiency, performance, and reliability of a hydropower unit.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powertrain Equipment → Generator

1.1.1 Generator Components

The entire generator assembly is typically referred to as a “machine” and its performance is typically defined by the rated MVA, KV and Power Factor (PF). The major components of a generator, shown in Figure 1, are addressed in this section. Among the main generator components listed below, the stator, the cooling system and the rotor have significant impact on the unit efficiency.

**Stator:** A stator consists of stator winding and stator core. The stator winding, also known as the armature, includes its physical supports and electrical connections. The stator windings are where mechanical energy is converted to electrical energy by interaction with the rotating air gap flux provided by the rotor. The stator windings (sometimes referred to as “bars” or “coils”) are comprised of electrically insulated copper conductors connected such that the design voltage and power requirements are achieved.
The stator winding insulation functions to withstand voltage without failure and is one of the most critical subcomponents affecting reliability. The copper conductor cross section and material and the electrical span of the coils have a direct influence on the stator copper losses. These stator windings are recessed in and supported by the slots formed by assembly of the laminated core. The stator core provides primary support of the straight portion of the stator winding. The core also provides the magnetic circuit’s path essential for the generation of a voltage with the resultant power flow through the winding. The core is comprised of a stack of thin laminations of highly permeable steel in order to reduce core losses. Each lamination has a thin coating of insulating varnish that electrically insulates it from the adjacent lamination to reduce eddy current losses in the core.

Figure 2 shows a stator section viewed in a radial direction with the rotor removed from the machine. Predominate features in this figure are the winding and the core. A section of core laminations can be seen in an axial view in Figure 3. This figure shows only a portion of the core in the process of being stacked. The “slot” (area between the fingers) on the air gap side provides support for the winding and core attachments to the stator frame.

Neutral Grounding: The grounding method of a wye connected generator can serve several purposes. The grounding components are not performance related and their purpose is to protect the generator and associated equipment against damage caused by abnormal electrical conditions and as such they are classified as reliability components for purposes of this BP. This is accomplished by the following.

- Minimizing damage to the stator core caused by internal ground faults
- Providing a sensitive means of ground fault detection
- Limiting transient overvoltage stress on generator stator insulation and
- Limiting mechanical stress on the generator for external ground faults
The grounding method and components chosen will determine to what degree each of the above objectives is satisfied. This may include no components for an ungrounded system or a resistor, a reactor (inductor) or a distribution transformer and secondary resistor on grounded systems. Figure 4 depicts a typical single-line sketch showing schematically a unit grounded with a high resistance distribution type transformer. This method typically limits ground fault current to a value of 5 to 15 amps for a full phase to ground fault if the secondary resistance is chosen properly.

![Figure 4: Typical Unit Single-Line Showing High Resistance Grounding](image_url)

Some neutral grounding schemes employ a breaker and/or a disconnect switch to isolate the unit in the event of a ground fault, or to accommodate maintenance activities.

**Generator Cooling System:** There are two basic types of cooling methods used for the rotor and the stator. For indirect cooling, the heat generated in the electrical conductor must flow through the ground medium before reaching the coolant (usually air). For most units over 10 MVA built since 1930, the generator housings are enclosed; prior to this, the housing was open. In direct cooling, the coolant (usually water) is in direct contact with the conductor.

Performance related components of the generator cooling system consists of fan blades mounted on the rotor, raw cooling water (RCW) system, and generator air coolers. The primary purposes of a generator cooling system is to provide adequate cooling for the stator/field winding insulation material and limit thermal stresses to acceptable levels. The excitation system may be cooled by the generator air coolers or with ambient air depending on the design. This will reasonably ensure an acceptable life of the field and stator insulation including the rotating excitations system (if used).
A typical generator cooling system for enclosed housings will be composed of two fluid flow paths to cool the generator. The air flow path is a closed system established by the air housing which allows the air to be discharged from the fan blades and circulate through the generator. The normal flow path is from the blades, by the field poles, through slots in the generator iron core, into a large area in the frame, through the generator air coolers into the air housing, then back to the fan blades by passing over (under) the stator frame. Figure 5 shows a typical air flow schematic.

As a subsystem of the cooling system the Raw Cooling Water (RCW) system functions as a heat sink for generator losses. The raw cooling water system is an open system in which water flows are discharged back to the headwater or tailwater. A RCW strainer removes suspended solid material (wood, rocks, sand, biological matter, etc) from the RCW to minimize fouling of the generator air cooler heat exchanger. The strainer must be back flushed when the differential pressure across the strainer reaches a set point value to ensure the RCW flow rate is not reduced due to blockage of the strainer. RCW head pressure or pumps and motors must develop sufficient flow and head to circulate water through the piping, strainer, valves and air coolers. The valves in the system function to open, close or moderate RCW to the various components. A Motor Operated Isolation Valve (MOIV) may be provided that opens with a unit start signal and closes when the unit shuts down. The proportioning valve is used to moderate water flow to typically keep the generator cold air temperature at a certain value. Motive force for air flow through the unit is provided by the fan blades. The fan blades are mounted on the generator rotor therefore operating at synchronous speed. Typical rotor mounted fan blades are seen in Figure 6. Some uprated generator cooling systems have baffles that have the function of increasing fan pressure and air flow.
Non-performance but reliability related components of a Generator Cooling System include the piping, air housing stator frame and core openings. The function of the piping is to supply water from the penstock or RCW pumps to generator air coolers at the design water flow rate to achieve optimum cooling of the generator components. The generator air housing provides a boundary for the circulating air including the generator excitation cooling system. The stator core vents provide a flow path for the cooling air to be directed through the frame and core to the generator air coolers. Additionally some ventilation systems are provided with a core bypass flow path which allows the air to go into the annulus section of the stator frame and then the air coolers.

**Thrust Bearing and Cooling System:** Units are classified mechanically by the location of the thrust bearing relative to the rotor as follows.

For a *suspended* unit, the thrust bearing is above the rotor and there may be one or two guide bearings one of which is always above the rotor.

In an *umbrella* arrangement, the thrust bearing is on the bottom side of the rotor usually with an integral guide bearing.

The *modified umbrella* type generator locates the thrust bearing on the bottom side of the rotor with a guide bearing both top and bottom.

The purpose of the thrust bearing is to provide axial static and dynamic support of the unit. Performance and reliability related components of a generator thrust bearing consist of the thrust pot configuration, oil baffles, oil with specification, bearing adjustment hardware, and coolers. While there are numerous bearing designs, the basic performance of the thrust bearing is the same. Figures 7 and 8 illustrate common designs. Figure 9 provides a comparison of variant designs. Basic theory is well developed and the
Kingsbury type bearing is typically a preferred design so it will be used for the following discussion.

Figure 7: Typical Thrust Bearing Assembly

Figure 8: Typical Thrust Bearing
A rotating collar (runner) and stationary pivoting shoes in a bath of lubricating oil are the vital elements of the Kingsbury bearing. The development of an oil film with sufficient thickness and pressure is necessary to prevent contact of the bearing surfaces. The oil is
drawn between the shoes and the runner in operation, possible because the shoes are pivoted and free to tilt, forming an oil wedge with the required load carrying capacity. The thrust bearing shoes are babbitt surfaced segmental elements (usually 6 to 8 each) with hardened pivotal shoe supports on the backside that transmit the load to the housing. This load is distributed between the shoes either by manual adjustment or automatically by equalizers. In the Kingsbury design an adjusting screw contacts the support disk and allows for adjustment to load the bearing and compensate for misalignment. The shoes are usually instrumented for temperature monitoring.

The thrust bearing bracket connects the thrust pot to the powerhouse. The addition of a static oil pressurization system, commonly called lift oil, is one of the ways babbitt to runner contact is eliminated on a unit start before relative motion can establish the hydrodynamic film. This eliminates a contact of these surfaces during start of the unit.

The structural component of the thrust pot is necessary to circulate the oil in a pattern through the thrust and guide bearing. The cold oil from the thrust pot cooler must be supplied to the bearing and then the warm oil returned to the cooler. The thrust and guide bearing oil system is designed for specific ISO oil with associated properties. The Bearing OEM oil specification should be considered a requirement for the system. A filtration system will assist in the removal of debris and water.

The coolers are typically helically coiled, configured around the thrust pot and submerged in oil to a design level. The coolers must remove the heat load from the thrust and guide bearing and maintain the design circulating path. Coolers external to the thrust pot have also been employed.

Except for very minor rotational friction losses the thrust bearing is basically a non-performance but reliability related component. Additional thrust and guide bearing details can be found in the turbine BPs.

**Guide Bearing and Cooling System:** The guide bearing provides support for radial load. Both pivoted and sleeve type bearings, as shown in Figure 10, are common and in more modern designs sleeves are adjustable similar to the thrust bearing shoes. The guide shoe or sleeve is manufactured from forged steel with a babbitted contact surface. The sleeve designs have structural castings typically in halves with babbitted sleeve surfaces. Guide bearings are usually instrumented for temperature measurement.
A guide bearing may be located at elevations above or below the thrust pot to provide additional radial support for the shaft.

Guide bearing hardware is a combination of elements that make the connection to the thrust pot structure for guide bearings integral with the thrust pot. In the adjustable design the adjusting screw contacts the back of the shoe and allows for adjusting the gap between the shoe and journal. In the sleeve design the cast sleeve is typically shimmed into bracket housing.

Except for very minor rotational friction losses the guide bearing is a non-performance but reliability related component. Additional guide bearing detail can be found in the turbine BPs.

**Generator Shaft**: The primary function of the shaft is to transmit the torque delivered by the turbine to rotate the generator rotor so that this power may be converted to electrical...
energy. The Generator Shaft must effectively make all the mechanical connections for the various attached components and carry all loads without unacceptable vibration. The operational torque (power input) to the shaft, rotating components dead weight, turbine unbalanced hydraulic thrust and the unbalanced magnetic pull of the generator must be structurally carried by the generator shaft.

Shafts may be a single piece manufactured from forged steel but some of large shafts can be fabricated.

The connection of the turbine shaft to the generator shaft is made with a bolted connection. Alignment/fitting up of the generator and turbine shafts, attachments and assemblies is necessary to create and maintain air gap, turbine clearances and bearing loading.

Generator shaft hardware is typically a combination of studs/bolts/keys and dowels that make the assembly and their connection to the generator shaft.

Also it should be noted the generator shaft may have a rotating exciter mounted on top of the generator rotor which provides excitation current and voltage to the field poles. Typically a Permanent Magnet Generator (PMG) is attached to the top of the rotating exciter. The details refer to Exciter Best Practice.

The generator shaft is a non-performance but a reliability related component of the generator.

**Generator Rotor**: The primary function of generator rotor is to carry the field poles necessary for excitation of the stator winding. The generator rotor must effectively make all the mechanical connections for the various attached components and carry all loads without creating unacceptable vibration. The operational torque (power input) to the rotor, centrifugal loads created by the mass of the rotor components and other rotating components (dead weight, rim shrink), and the unbalanced magnetic pull of the generator must be structurally carried by the generator rotor.

The structural part of the rotor assembly is typically a cast structure, sometimes called a spider, machined to allow bolting/keying to the generator shaft at the center and the rotor rim to be installed on the arms with keys.

The rotor rim assembly is a laminated cylindrical structure that stacks on a horizontal machined surface at the end of the spider arm. The rim is typically shrunk on shrink keys that may also transmit the operational torque to the generator. The poles consist of copper windings that are electrically insulated between turns and establish the electrical circuit which provides the rotor flux for the air gap. Excitation current is provided to the field poles by the field leads mounted on the rotor arm which are electrically and mechanically connect to the collector (slip) rings. The field poles are electrically connected in series. Figure 11 shows typical field poles mounted on the rotor.
The field poles and electrical connections are the primary performance related components of the rotor with the balance being non-performance structural components.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability – Oriented Best Practices

- The most significant improvement in efficiency and output of the generator may be realized by a stator rewind to an epoxy based system rated class F. Lower loss windings with increased copper cross-sectional area and improved insulating materials will increase the life of the unit. This is due to a better heat transfer and higher temperature tolerance. This will provide higher output if input power is available from the turbine and temperature limits are not exceeded. Any evaluation to uprate the unit by rewinding must also consider the generator structural components, including the core, to ensure that these components can withstand the additional torques and stresses associated with the increase in power.

- Provide clear temperature limits to operating personnel and/or for automatic control system programs for setting alarm (i.e., trip temperatures) for the generator.
Trending of stator, field and hot/cold air temperatures will establish accurate performance of current generator cooling system. Limited IEEE 115 test can provide high quality data and establish the CPL parameters.

Stator winding temperature limits should be continually monitored. Any trends indicating increased operating temperatures for the same load and ambient conditions should be investigated for issues with the cooling system.

Periodic comparison of the CPL to the IPL to detect and mitigate degradation that may impact efficiency or capacity.

Periodic comparison of the CPL to the PPL to trigger feasibility studies for major upgrades.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance Oriented Best Practices

Monitor generator temperatures under operating conditions of load and cooling. Increasing temperatures under these conditions may be indicative of dirt and dust contamination. Dust and dirt will impede heat transfer characteristics, block cooling flow passages, and degrade electrical insulation. Cleaning of generator windings and air slots to remove oil, dirt, and debris will improve the heat transfer coefficient of those components. Cleaning of the core slots in machines with an unusually large amount of blockage may result in improvements of 5°C to 10°C. The preferred cleaning method is to vacuum rather than to blow debris unless it is reasonably assured that the dislodged debris will not simply be relocated in the unit. Dry compressed air may be used in areas not accessible to vacuum cleaning. Oil and other solvent based contaminants will attract and capture dirt and debris and should be removed by approved solvent cleaning, and the source of the contamination, i.e. oil leak, should be repaired.

The generator air cooler tubes require periodic cleaning to maintain acceptable heat transfer performance. A major problem in generator air cooler manufacture was the baffles that created an effective heat transfer flow path for the RCW becoming totally degraded or lost, resulting in a heat exchanger with poor performance. Repairs to the coolers may correct some of the problems with degraded coolers.

A reduction in the air temperature of the generator air cooler by 5°C is common by cleaning fouled coolers. Efficient coolers will have a cold air discharge temperature of approximately 5°C above the RCW inlet temperature. In the case of badly fouled tubes and degraded fins, the air discharge temperature may be 15°C to 20°C higher than the RCW.

RCW strainer performance is typically judged by the differential pressure across the strainer which is improved by a well-designed back flush system that maintains design RCW flow rates.
• The use of proportioning valves may limit thermal cycling of the generator based on cold air temperature.

• RCW piping leaks due to wall corrosion will degrade cooling system performance. Leaks of this nature are generally corrected by replacing the section(s) of pipe affected. Leaks inside the unit air housing should be corrected promptly to prevent water contamination of electrical or structural components in the housing.

• While overall age is a factor, units cycled frequently are subject to increased thermal stresses that ultimately affect total generation. Likewise, units operated outside their capability curves by exceeding recommended temperatures, will have increased losses, reduced time to failure, and consequently reduced total generation. Cyclic operations and operations outside the recommended limits should be minimized.

• Shaft vibration should be monitored. Levels of shaft vibration that reach alarm or trip levels will obviously impact operations, and maintenance will be required in this case. IEEE 492 Section 7.9 addresses “Vibration Detection and Correction”. Acceptable vibration and Shaft Run out are indicated in Section 8.3.7.1 and it is noted “No standards for acceptable maximum vibration have been developed “. This is partly due to the fact that there are numerous machine designs with different generator thrust and guide bearings and likewise for the turbine guide bearings. Develop root cause of vibration problems and schedule maintenance repairs or modification.

• Monitor bearing temperatures to alarm and trip when recommended temperature limits are exceeded. Multiple shoes of each bearing should be monitored to preclude the possibility of a single failed temperature detector allowing an undetected bearing over temperature event.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

• I&C - Automation
• Mechanical - Francis Turbine
• Mechanical – Kaplan Turbine
• Mechanical – Pelton Turbine
• Electrical - Exciter

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

The underlying technology of generators has not changed appreciably since the 1900’s. The basic principal of a rotating flux produced by a DC current circulating in the rotor and
generating an AC voltage is unchanged. Improved materials as well as enhanced monitoring, assessment and design tools have facilitated improved reliability and efficiency.

Generator shafts were typically manufactured from a forging with a material similar to ASME 668 as a single piece shaft. Early casting technology limited the economic diameter of the shafts to around 36 inches. As technology developed, larger diameter and better quality of shafts were possible allowing integral thrust runners. Thrust runners from the early 1900’s were often cast iron which was difficult to modify due to porosity slightly below the runner surface.

Generator rotors from the 1930s to 1970s were designed with significant margin for operational torque (input turbine horsepower) by the generator OEM. Thus the rotor may readily be rehabilitated and be adequate for increased capacity without replacement. The design fatigue life of the generator rotor will be established by material condition and loads.

The first part of the 1900’s, generators were open air cooled machines that utilized ambient air for the cooling system from the powerhouse area. This cooling system resulted in high operating temperatures due to some amount of recirculated cooling air and possible high ambient air temperature. By the 1930’s most designs utilized enclosed air housings with air coolers that utilized RCW heat exchangers as the heat sink.

Electrical insulation technology has seen improvements that allow for longer life and operation at higher temperatures, with higher reliability, and equivalent insulation levels with less material (i.e. thinner ground wall). Early units were likely to use an asphalt or bitumen varnish with mica tape insulating system for the stator winding. Current technology still utilizes a mica tape but with a synthetic epoxy or polyester resin as a binder. Insulation classes as defined by National Electrical Manufacturers Association (NEMA) establish the operating temperature limits for each “class” of insulation.

Performance levels for the generator can be stated at three levels as follows:

- The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is defined by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. For the generator this is primarily related to guaranteed losses, as provided by the manufacturer and measured to the extent possible during performance testing.

- The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics as determined by unit efficiency testing.

- The Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference and comparison of the IPL (and CPL) to design data for a new unit.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

A typical generator will have an efficiency of about 96.5%. Approximately 2.5% of the losses must be removed from the machine by the cooling system to provide adequate cooling. Table 1 shows losses associated with a rotating exciter that are not necessarily influenced by the cooling system due to the location of the excitation components. Improvements in the
losses of the ventilation system normally have little impact on total losses or machine efficiency (less than 0.01 %). In this document, “I” represents the magnitude of the current which is load dependent; and “R” represents the value of the resistance which is a function of material properties and temperature.

Table 1: Typical Generator Losses for Various Manufacturers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generator Rating, kVA</th>
<th>30,000</th>
<th>31,250</th>
<th>35,000</th>
<th>33,080</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Vintage</td>
<td>1940</td>
<td>1951</td>
<td>1941</td>
<td>2009</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Basis</td>
<td>rated load and .9 p.f.</td>
<td>rated load and .8 p.f.</td>
<td>rated load and .9 p.f.</td>
<td>rated load and .9 p.f.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Voltage, kV</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>13.80</td>
<td>6.90</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Losses in kW</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Field I²R</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>128</td>
<td>162</td>
<td>262</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Collector Brush Contact</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Exciter and Exciter Rheostat</td>
<td>41</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>28.5</td>
<td>25</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Friction and Windage</td>
<td>120</td>
<td>135</td>
<td>170</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Core</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>185</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>81</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Armature I²R</td>
<td>156</td>
<td>140</td>
<td>184</td>
<td>197</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stray Load</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>131</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total</td>
<td>917</td>
<td>707</td>
<td>872.2</td>
<td>655</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The most significant improvement in efficiency and output of the generator (PPL) may be realized by a stator and rotor rewind to an epoxy based system rated class F. Lower loss windings with increased copper cross-sectional area and improved insulating materials with better heat transfer and higher temperature tolerance will increase the life of the unit and provide higher output. Low loss steel core laminations will reduce core losses. Any evaluation to uprate the unit by rewinding must also consider the generator structural components, including the core, frame and rotor to ensure that these components can withstand the additional torques and stresses associated with the increase in power.

Increasing air flow can improve life expectancy or MVA rating. Figure 12 illustrates the effect of increased air flow, the attendant drop in temperature and the projected increase in life. An approximate rule is that electrical insulation life is decreased by one-half for each 10 degree C rise above the rated value for that insulation class. Improvement of generator cooling system performance may be achieved by increasing air flow and use of generator air coolers with improved heat transfer characteristics. A new fan and baffle design may also increase air flow. Also rerouting the air flow to utilize the rotor spider to develop increased air static pressure at discharge from the fan blades may also be possible. Material selection for tubing in generator air coolers has tended to be 90/10 Copper Nickel. However, any material selection should include site water chemistry analyses to identify the presence of chemical or biological attack on the tubes, heads, and baffles (wetted parts).
Stainless steel piping such as ASTM A312 has been successfully used, but the use of ASTM 105 or similar carbon steel piping has been proven to enhance durability and lifespan.

![Figure 12: Typical Life Improvement Based on Airflow](image)

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

The generator system condition is largely a function of its age, the way it has been maintained, the way it has been operated, and the adequacy of its design. Generator losses can often be attributed to the machine design and the materials used in its construction. The impact of the ventilation system on losses is most often seen in the change in resistance of the copper at different temperatures. While this change is typically small and the resistances are very small, it does have a calculated effect on losses. A thorough condition assessment of all the generator components will be difficult without an outage and some level of disassembly. Various test and maintenance inspections and on-line monitoring can provide a reasonable condition assessment of the generator. While overall age is a factor, units that are cycled frequently are subject to increased thermal stresses that contribute significantly to a deteriorated condition that ultimately affects total generation. Likewise, units operated outside their capability curves by exceeding recommended temperatures, will have increased losses, reduced time to failure, and consequently reduced total generation.
The design and capacity of the system should match the operational requirements, i.e. turbine input power. The generator rating should be adequate for the available turbine power.

The RCW Motor Operated Isolation Valve opens when a unit starts and closes when a unit stops. The motor and valve condition can largely established by operation history and age. The RCW pump and motor is normally a centrifugal pump with an induction motor. Developed pressure across the pump with rated flow (from a RCW flow meter) is usually sufficient to determine if the pump is operating acceptably. The RCW strainer condition can be evaluated based on pressure differential across the strainer and its performance after a back flush to operate at rated pressure drop or lower.

RCW pipe is difficult to evaluate for wall thickness due to fouling on the inside of the pipe that may be ½ to ¾ of an inch on 6-8‖ pipe. Pinhole leaks may ultimately develop along the length of the piping system so replacement is typically justified.

The manual valves can be operated to determine if it is properly operated. Condition of disc, seats and other internal components would require removal from the pipe connections. Age is the major factor in the manual valve’s life.

RCW cooling systems instrumentation should be routinely checked for accuracy, especially air cooler thermocouples/resistance temperature detectors (rtd’s), pressure gages and flow meters. Some temperatures can be checked with hand held pyrometers or thermal imaging equipment, depending on the accessibility. Instrumentation accuracy is subject to deterioration due to corrosion, loose connections, electrical deterioration, obstructed or blocked flow passages or mechanical damage.

Generator air cooler condition can be evaluated by checking cold air temperature variations in the vertical and horizontal directions across the face of the cooler and the overall temperature drop/rise of the coolants. Significant variations across the horizontal and vertical dimensions (> 8° F) may be due to air gap problems or localized hot spots in the armature. Fins should be inspected for contact with the tubes. It is possible to check air pressure drop across the coolers depending on the accessibility. Degradation/loss of generator air cooler head baffles will result in poor cooling efficiency and cold air temperatures that are 20°C above the RCW temperature.

Condition of the proportioning valves can be readily determined as to whether the valves are adjusting water flow for the variations in air temperature.

The generator fan blades are fabricated assemblies that are typically attached to the top and bottom of the rotor rim. Inspections can identify fatigue cracks, defective welds, loose hardware or mechanical damage that may impact cooling.

Although the system stator’s electrical insulation integrity has no correlation with losses, it is important to note that insulation failure will result in lost generation. Insulation integrity is reduced with age increasing. Increased age exposes insulation to the cumulative effects of thermal stress and cycling, vibration and mechanical damage, and deleterious contaminates. A variety of electrical tests may be performed to aid in assessing insulation condition, and the majority of accepted industrial techniques for generator condition assessment are associated
with testing and monitoring of the insulation system. A number of these tests and techniques are identified in Information Sources [10 and 17].

The generator rotor can be inspected on a periodic basis for loose hardware, pole overheating, pole electrical connection integrity, air gap, rotor roundness, loose fans, loose shrink keys, brake ring heating or deformation. Structural components can be non destructively examined (NDE) for cracks or failures. During operation, vibration should be trended, and apparent causes for excessive levels of vibration include eccentricity between the rotor and stator, bearing issues, air gap anomalies, or alignment. While no standards identify acceptable levels of vibration, IEEE 492 addresses “Vibration Detection and Correction”. Trip and alarm setpoints for a specific unit should be established by the Original Equipment Manufacturer (OEM) or by operating experience.

The condition assessment of the oil lubricated thrust and guide bearing includes vibration measurements and temperature of the bearing in operation. Abnormal indications could be a sign of failure of the babbitted surface (wipe), un-bonding of the babbitt from the bearing shoe, or contamination of the oil which can be established by oil sample analyses.

3.2 Operations

It is recognized that the rating of the generator may not be matched to the load capability of the turbine. However, loading of the generator should be maintained within the manufacturer’s capability curve.

Stator and rotor winding temperature limits are based on NEMA insulation class, and should be continually monitored. Any trends indicating increased operating temperatures under the same load and ambient conditions should be investigated for issues with the cooling system.

Output of the unit is limited in the “overexcited” region by the operating temperature of the field (excitation system) and may be limited by core end heating in the “under excited” region. Generally, measurements of the field and core temperature are collected using embedded resistance temperature detectors or indirect methods. The limits must be maintained for rated output of the unit.

It is not unusual for a hydro generator to be operated with failed coils cut out of the winding path. This is generally done to minimize repair cost and to expedite the return to service following a coil failure. The manufacturer should be consulted in these cases to determine deratings and remediation measures required. Any losses associated with this setup can be restored by replacing the failed coils.

Marginal operational control of a typical generator cooling system is possible due to the design. One exception is proportioning valves to control RCW flow to the generator air coolers to maintain a constant hot or cold air temperature. The benefit of the proportioning valve is in a situation where the generator is operating in load following mode with significant changes in MVA output. The valve controller would be set to the desired air temperature.
The generator rotor should have a significant margin for fatigue failure under design loadings including design basis transients. Operation at higher MW output may accelerate fatigue damage of components and should be evaluated by analyses. Also the operation of the generator at higher MVA and PF conditions may result in high field temperatures that tend to loosen the shrink of the rim to the rotor arm keys. Operational limits should be imposed for the generator as a machine with all structures, components and assemblies evaluated.

3.3 Maintenance

A well designed and supported Maintenance Program is essential to the reliability, operation and maintenance planning for the generator. Maintenance procedures are needed to ensure that consistent and effective maintenance is performed. These procedures should be based on manufacturers’ recommendations and operating experience.

Deterioration of the cooling system effectiveness may be caused by misoperation of heat exchangers, rotor fans, automatic cooler controls, fouling of stator vents, or ambient conditions. Any decrease in cooling effectiveness is subject to increased $I^2R$ (resistance $R$ by the current $I$ squared) losses. The generator RCW pipe and generator air cooler tubes foul in any system. The cleaning of the RCW pipe is probably of minimum value unless the fouling reduces RCW flow below design value. If design flow rates are not achievable with adequate pump or head pressure, fouled or obstructed piping may be the cause. Water jet or hydrolaze cleaning of RCW piping may improve flow rates. The generator air cooler tubes are more vital and require periodic cleaning to maintain the acceptable performance when indicated by excessive cold air temperatures. Another potential problem in the older generator air coolers was the baffles that were designed to create an effective heat transfer path for the RCW. After years of service, these baffles are totally degraded or lost, resulting in a heat exchanger with poor performance. Also, the fins may become separated from the tubes which effectively eliminate the fins surface from heat transfer. Degraded baffles should be repaired and new gaskets installed on the heads. Degradation of generator air cooler tubes may result in leaks and water being transported to the stator and field coils. Air cooler cleaning is typically accomplished by removing the coolers from the generator. The coolers should be cleaned annually, or even more frequently, if severe fouling occurs. The heads are removed and the tubes can be cleaned with a tool. In severe cases of unusual biological fouling, it may be necessary to increase the cleaning frequency. Frequencies may require seasonal adjustments.

The rotor assembly requires minimum maintenance except to inspect the bolted connections/keys and correct any loose assemblies, shaft/rotor mating fretting, field leads on the rotor arm, rim studs, fans blades, and poles. Shrunk on collars should be examined for fretting of surfaces if access is possible. The tightness of the shrink keys should also be checked in machines with 30-40 years of service. A re-shrink of the rim may be desirable to reestablish the compressive load on the rotor arms and ensure acceptable contact between the rim/pole assembly and the arm key. NDE examinations of structural welds and attachments should be conducted on a periodic basis. The maintenance procedure should include the periodic measurement of rotor air gap data. The reduced air gap may be due to frame/core movement, rotor rim issues or pole mounting issues. The interpole electrical connections
(including amortisseur windings) should also be checked for indication of overheating or mechanical failure or damage.

The stator bolted connections in the phase and neutral lead assembly should be checked and tightened either during outage or checked indirectly by temperature measurement during operation. Minor $I^2R$ losses may be seen here if connections have deteriorated or been made improperly. Generator inspections and testing should be performed periodically by individuals’ knowledgeable in generator design, operations and maintenance. Generator reliability is highly dependent on the ability to detect and address incipient issues affecting the integrity of the stator winding.

As seen in Table 1, the collector ring and brush assemblies often account for small losses (excitation system). To minimize these losses, operators should follow the manufacturers’ recommendations relative to collector rings and brush rigging. The brush dust generated by the collector ring and commutator brushes (if present) makes this a high maintenance area. Lack of attention in this area can result in a flashover due to the low resistance tracking paths caused by the brush dust.

Perform NDE on stator structural frame welds during major outages or as indicated by operating experience.

Generator Neutral Grounding Systems traditionally are constructed using distribution transformers, resistors, and/or inductors. Contamination may cause tracking during fault conditions resulting in higher fault current for a line to ground fault, which could in turn result in more damage to the generator iron. Other components associated with the neutral grounding system include breakers and disconnect, which should be visually inspected. Oil filled breakers or grounding inductors/transformers should be checked for leakage. Cleaning and testing is recommended on a scheduled basis as determined by the manufacturers’ recommendations and operating experience.

**4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis**

**4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability**

Reductions in stator operating temperature will reduce the value of $R$ and consequently the $I^2R$ losses. However, the $R$ factor in this equation is minor in comparison to the $I^2$ factor. Personnel should also take caution that they must follow the manufacturer’s operating temperature guidelines to prevent damaging differential expansions between generator structural and winding components.

Determination of other losses (e.g., windage and friction, core, stray load, and excitation system) requires various measurements made during different modes of performance testing as described in IEEE 115 [10]. These losses are originally calculated and provided by the manufacturer, but the cost of retesting to determine any deterioration or improvement should be compared to the potential expected benefit.

The largest losses in the generator are the $I^2R$ losses in the stator and rotor. An approximation of these losses can be calculated and compared to design values in an effort to
determine the gap between the IPL and CPL. Accurate resistance measurements of components subject to $I^2R$ losses at a reference temperature are required. Methods of temperature determination include thermometer methods, embedded detector methods, coolant temperature measurements, and indirect measurement with scanning devices. Voltage and current measurements are also required to determine resistance at operating temperature. Loss in watts is calculated by multiplying the resistance $R$ by the current $I$ squared, or $I^2R$.

Resistance at a given operating temperature may be calculated by comparing the measured resistance of the winding (or rheostat) at a known temperature as follows: [10]

$$Rs = Rt \frac{(ts+k)}{(tt+k)}$$

Where:

$Rs$ is the winding resistance, corrected to a specified temperature, $ts$, in ohms;

$ts$, is the specified temperature in degrees Celsius;

$Rt$ is the test value of the winding resistance, in ohms;

$tt$ is the temperature of the winding when resistance was measured, in degrees Celsius;

$K$ is 234.5 for copper, 225 for aluminum, in degrees Celsius.

It should be noted that the values for the “Limiting observable temperature rises of indirectly cooled salient-pole synchronous generators and generator/motors for hydraulic turbine applications “are given in Table 6 of ANSI C50.12. Note the allowable observable temperature rise for Class B insulation is 85 degrees C, and for Class F insulation is 105 degrees C based on an ambient temperature of 40 degrees C.

Generator shaft vibration is a measure of performance and reliability. Vibration measurements may include shaft displacement ($x$ and $y$) at selected elevations along the axis of the shaft. A vibration monitoring system should be installed with unit alarm and trip values set based on operating experience and manufacturers’ recommendations.

### 4.2 Data Analysis

Generator IEEE 115 test data is typically evaluated against the IPL test data and manufacturers calculated data. It is typically very difficult to obtain test data at the rated MVA, KV and PF conditions. Therefore, the test losses at lower ratings are extrapolated to the machine rated values.

Trend analysis of bearing temperatures, generator vibrations and oil sample data will be necessary to reasonably establish the bearing CPL. These analyses should compare results to previous or test data from commissioning of the unit (IPL). This data can be compared to OEM data if available for bearing losses, operating temperatures and potential failures.
4.3 Integrated Improvements

The use of periodic IEEE 115 test may be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. This also provides data to evaluate the stator/rotor condition. Optimally the heat run data obtained would be integrated into an automatic system (e.g., Automatic Generation Control), but if not, hard copies of the curves and limits should be made available to all involved personnel.

5.0 Information Sources

Baseline Knowledge:


TVA, *Design of Projects Technical Report No. 24 Electrical Design of Hydro Plants*


State of the Art:

Sumereder, C., Muhr, M., Korbler, B., *Life Time Management of Power Transformers* - Graz University of Technology – Austria TUG Sumereder A1 Session 1, Paper No. 35-1

Fenwick, G.T., *Generator Air Cooler Design and Selection for Optimum Performance, Upgrading and Refurbishing Hydro Plants* - Unifin Corporation October 29, 1991


Standards:


ANSI C50.10 *American National Standard: Rotating Electrical Machinery - Synchronous Machines*

ANSI, C50.12 – *IEEE Standard for Salient-Pole 50Hz and 60 Hz Synchronous Generators and Generator/Motors for Hydraulic Turbine Applications Rated 5 MVA and Above*
IEEE, 1 - *Recommended Practice — General Principles for Temperature Limits in the Rating of Electrical Equipment and for the Evaluation of Electrical Insulation*

IEEE, STD 492 *Guide for Operation and Maintenance of Hydro-Generators*


*ISO 7919 Mechanical Vibrations on Non Reciprocating Machines Measurements on Rotating Shafts and Evaluation Part 5 Guidelines for Hydraulic Machine Sets*
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1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for the Main Power Transformer (MPT) discusses design components, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize overall plant performance and reliability.

The primary purpose of the main power transformer is to step up the generator output to a higher voltage for efficient transmission of energy. The MPT is a critical component of any generation station. As the MPT connects the generator to the transmission grid, the output of the generator is directly dependent on the availability and operational status of the transformer. Thermal and electrical limits of the transformer must be considered in the plant operation. Proper design, operation, and maintenance are required to provide the utmost efficiency, performance and reliability of the hydro unit.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Transformer

1.1.1 Main Power Transformer Components

The components of the MPT related to performance and reliability consist of a core, windings, dielectric insulation system, bushings, and external cooling system.

Core: The core functions to provide an optimal path for the magnetic flux and efficiently magnetically couple the windings. The core of a transformer is comprised of thin magnetic laminations stacked together and tightly clamped into place by a steel clamping structure. Cores can be designed as either single phase or three phase configurations depending on capacity and user requirements.

Windings: The windings function as the conducting circuit for the transformer and consist of turns of insulated wire or cable which are placed around the magnetic core. A primary and a secondary winding are used in a typical two-winding MPT. The alternating current that flows through the primary winding establishes a time-varying magnetic flux, some of which links to the secondary winding and induces a voltage across it. The magnitude of this voltage is proportional to the ratio of the number of turns on the primary winding to the number of turns on the secondary winding. This is known as the “turns ratio.” By operating at higher voltages electric power can be transmitted more efficiently.

Dielectric Insulation System: The electric insulation system consists of both solid and liquid dielectric materials. The purpose of this system is to insulate that the windings, conductors, and core remain electrically insulated from one another and from ground potential. The solid dielectric insulation system consists of various materials including electrical grade cellulose, Nomex®, pressboard, wood, and insulating varnishes and films. The liquid insulation consists of an insulating fluid, normally a highly processed mineral oil, which provides the dielectric properties required as well as serving as the cooling medium for the transformer.
**Bushings:** The function of the bushings is to provide a path for current flow from the windings inside the transformer to external connections while maintaining the dielectric integrity of the voltage-to-ground clearance required. A central conductor passes through an insulator which can consist of porcelain, resin, or polymer material. The inside of the bushing may contain paper and foil layers, film, or ink to create a low value capacitance to grade the voltage between the conductor and ground. These bushings are usually filled with insulating oil and are known as capacitor type bushings. Lower voltage bushings may consist of only a central conductor and an insulator.

**External Cooling System:** The purpose of the external cooling system is to remove the heat generated by power losses within the transformers and maintain operation within design temperature parameters. The removal of heat protects the windings, core, and dielectric system from thermal degradation. The external cooling system can be comprised of radiators, coolers, fans, motor driven pumps, and/or water cooled heat exchangers based on the design and capacity requirements of the transformer.

Non-performance, but reliability related components of a MPT include the tank, oil preservation system, and controls/protective devices.

**Tank:** The purpose of the tank is to provide a sealed container to house the core, winding assembly and the insulating fluid. The tank is usually made of welded steel construction and is provided with removable inspection covers. The bushings are mounted to the tank for electrical connection to the transformer windings. Auxiliary equipment such as controls, protective devices, and cooling systems are usually attached to the tank.

**Oil Preservation System:** The purpose of the oil preservation system is to prevent moisture, atmospheric air, and other contaminants from entering into the tank and contaminating the insulating system. This minimizes oxidation and deterioration of the dielectric insulation system both chemically and electrically. There are various types of oil preservation systems including gas sealed, pressurized inert gas sealed, free breathing, and sealed conservator type systems.

**Controls/Protective Devices:** The purpose of the controls is to provide for operation and protection of the auxiliary equipment required for the transformer. Instrumentation is also part of the control system. They provide for manual and automatic control of the equipment, monitoring of temperatures, trip and alarm functions, and power supply transfers. The controls are usually housed in a cabinet mounted to the transformer. The protective devices can vary based on the user’s specifications and include such items as pressure relief devices, rapid rise fault pressure relays, temperature indicators, and lock out systems for tap changers.
1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance / Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Routine testing to verify performance within the original design criteria and factory test baseline data.
- Real-time monitoring and analysis of transformer performance at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from design parameters for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to system degradation, thermal issues, or malfunction of instrumentation.
- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades or replacement opportunities.
- Maintain documentation of IPL and update if major modifications are performed (e.g., winding replacement, cooling system upgrades, oil reclamation).
- Periodic comparison of the CPL to the IPL to monitor deterioration and trigger maintenance or repair. This is especially important regarding routine field electrical test results.
- Trend transformer performance and test data for early detection of deterioration, contamination, thermal degradation, and incipient faults.
- Include industry acknowledged choices and experience for transformer design, replacement components, and maintenance practices to plant engineering standards.

1.2.2 Reliability / Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Establish a comprehensive dissolved gas-in-oil analysis (DGA) testing program to monitor the internal health of the transformer. Accurate analysis and trending of analytical data can provide early detection of thermal and electrical incipient faults and allow for intervention and mitigation measures.
- Maintain an insulating oil quality testing program to monitor the chemical and electrical condition of the insulating fluid. Degradation of the insulating fluid leads to degradation of the solid insulation system which can lead to failure. The life of the insulation system is the life of the transformer.
- Implement a routine electrical testing program and track and trend critical data. Establish action limits to correct defects found prior to placing the transformer back in-service.
- Insure operation of the MPT within its design voltage limits, typically 105% maximum to avoid damaging over-excitation issues. Adequate voltage taps should be provided to adjust to any feasible system condition to prevent this situation.
- Operate the transformer within its thermal design limits to prevent accelerated thermal aging and damage to the insulation system and bushings.
• Investigate all oil or nitrogen leaks and determine the need and priority for repair.

• Maintain the cooling and oil preservation system with a preventative maintenance program as these systems protect the transformer from damaging heat, moisture, and atmospheric air.

• Trend bushing condition and replace when significant deterioration is indicated by comparing all test values to individual bushing nameplate data.

• Recondition or reclaim insulating oil when trend analysis indicates need.

• Test and calibrate controls and indicating devices and upgrade when required.

• Insure availability of on-site or system wide spare transformer(s) and spare parts to reduce the forced outage time incurred with a failure.

• Monitor for trends of deteriorating condition of the transformer (decrease in Condition Indicator (CI)) and decrease in reliability (an increase in Equivalent Forced Outage Rate (EFOR), a decrease in Effective Availability Factor (EAF). Adjust maintenance and capitalization programs to correct deficiencies.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

• I&C - Automation Best Practice

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Transformers have changed very little since their inception with regard to their functionality. The principal change is the efficiency and performance of modern core designs and improved windings and insulation materials. Modern transformers are smaller, have higher thermal limits and fewer losses than the older transformer fleet. Advancements in core materials, winding design and maintenance innovations have improved efficiency and reliability significantly.

Performance levels for MPT designs can be stated at three levels as follows:

• The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the transformers performance characteristics at the time of installation. These may be determined from factory reports and baseline field test comparisons performed prior to initially placing the transformer in-service.

• The Current Performance Level (CPL) is determined by an accurate analysis of the transformers operating characteristics. These would include thermal performance at full load as well as component condition or test deviations discovered.
• Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires interface with vendors for new transformer design, loss information, and cost in order to evaluate the achievable performance potential of replacement transformer(s).

Transformer efficiency is primarily determined by the original design criteria. Incremental efficiency improvements may be accomplished by system upgrades, but winding and core replacement are often not cost effective for very old transformers. The transformers insulation condition and age are among the top factors in an assessment to determine whether the MPT is a candidate for replacement or rehabilitation.

Analysis of operational history and test data may indicate that the CPL has significantly deviated from the IPL. Increased maintenance and operational constraints are also used to determine the CPL.

Many older transformers were more liberally designed and losses were not evaluated as critically as today. These losses can be significantly higher than those of a modern transformer. Losses associated with the MPT can be grouped into three major categories.

- Load losses
- No-load losses
- Auxiliary losses

The load losses are the largest of the three followed by the no-load losses. The auxiliary losses are comparatively quite small. For example, typical losses for a 36 year old MPT rated 161-13.2-kV, 58,500/78,000/87,300 kva, three phase, 55°C/65°C rise, ONAN/ONAF are:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Losses</th>
<th>Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Load losses</td>
<td>212.57 kW at rated current</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>No-load losses</td>
<td>56.07 kW at 100% rated voltage</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Auxiliary losses</td>
<td>3 kW with all fans in operation</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The load losses are associated with the windings and primarily consist of:

- \( I^2R \) loss associated with current
- Eddy current loss in the winding conductors

Advanced technology in winding conductor arrangements, transposition, and materials are used today in modern designs to reduce these losses. As the name implies, these losses are governed by the load current carried by the transformer and the resistance of the windings.

The no-load losses are associated with the core but independent of the load for the most part, and they are incurred whenever the transformer is energized. These losses are primarily the result of:

- Excitation current
- Hysteresis loss
Eddy currents

The auxiliary losses are associated primarily with the cooling system and are incurred by the pump motors and fan motors and are usually negligible in comparison to load and no-load losses.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

More efficient material and manufacturing techniques have been developed over the years to reduce the no-load losses. Modern transformer designers can utilize various grades of steel for the core laminations. Fabrication techniques such as laser etching were not available years ago. Improved core assembly and configuration processes are also utilized in modern transformers. Figure 1 shows an example of a modern core in a manufacturing facility. Figure 2 illustrates a 3-phase winding assembly before installation in the tank.

Advancements in instrumentation and controls can now provide for more efficient and reliable monitoring of the transformer and associated systems. These include fiber optics for actual winding conductor temperature, bearing wear monitors for motor driven oil pumps, partial discharge probes, and on-line bushing monitors.

Replacement of aged MPT’s with modern state of the art designs may result in significant reduction of losses as compared to those of 40-50 year old transformers. The cost savings should be considered for the life cycle of a new transformer and decisions should not be based solely on initial costs. Additionally, establishing partnering agreements with manufacturers and developing standardized designs can result in substantial cost savings in the purchase cost of replacement transformers and reduce inventory of spare parts.

Figure 22: Modern Core during Manufacturing
3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

Once the MPT is properly assembled, oil filled, and energized, its life cycle begins. A reliable life cycle is determined by how well the MPT is operated, maintained, and protected from faults. Reliability and loss prevention of the IPL and CPL are directly related to proper operation and maintenance of the MPT.

In order to provide for a representative condition assessment of the MPT, the first step is information gathering. Initial data includes: DGA, oil quality, factory tests, routine electrical tests, thermographic tests, operational history, maintenance history, and fault history. Component failure and replacement as well as any major upgrades or repairs is important information to review. Interviews with maintenance personnel can provide excellent information on current and past issues. Depending of the frequency of test cycles, it may be useful to review the last 15-20 years of test results and history. The quality of the data directly relates to the quality of the condition assessment. Trending and analysis of all data sources are performed to determine past experience and current health of the MTP.

DGA data is one of the most valuable diagnostics for determination of the internal health of the transformer. Overheating of the oil and cellulose, partial discharge, sparking/arcing, and decomposition of cellulose materials can be monitored, detected, and trended to reflect internal reactions occurring within the transformer.

The quality of the oil and its maintenance plays an important part in the life of the insulation system. Insulating oil degrades in time and the degradation by-products can have a considerable negative effect on the paper insulation. Accelerated aging and loss of insulation strength can occur if the oil is not properly maintained. Periodic analysis of the oil quality tests data detects adverse conditions and allows for planned oil maintenance when required.

Various electrical tests can validate the integrity of the MPT. Insulation power factor tests can assist in determination of the winding insulation as well as that of the bushings. Winding resistance tests can detect problems in tap changer contacts, poor connections (bolted or brazed) and broken conductor strands within the windings. Analysis of electrical test data is
an important tool to assist in determination of the transformers electrical integrity. Trending of the test results is invaluable in determining the degree and rate of degradation.

Thermographic inspections and analysis can provide a wealth of information ranging from low oil levels and overheating in bushings and connections to component malfunction such as poor heat transfer in radiators and coolers. A thorough review of thermal data provides yet another tool for condition assessment. Figure 3 is an example of a thermographic image.

The age of the transformer must be considered as it relates to the condition of the insulation system. In the presence of heat, moisture, and oxygen, all cellulose insulation systems will deteriorate. The insulation strength will weaken until the system cannot adequately perform its intended electrical function. Even with excellent maintenance these three entities can be minimized, but not entirely eliminated. Replacement of the entire insulation system for a 35-40 year old transformer is not economically feasible. Age plays an important factor in the condition assessment of MPT’s.

After or during the data process, a physical inspection of the MPT is necessary in order to form a current impression of the equipment and discover any existing anomalies. Nameplate information can be obtained during the inspection. A systematic inspection process for the condition assessment should be performed to include the main tank, cooling system, bushings, oil preservation system, tap changer, controls, and protective/indicating devices.

Upon completion of the data assessment and physical inspection, a systematic and consistent approach should be used for each MPT. This allows some prioritization to be assigned to
each MPT for ranking purposes which assists in developing a plan for rehabilitation or replacement options assisting in both short term and long term strategic planning.

3.2 Operations

The MPTs operational parameters are governed by the original design criteria. Operation within these parameters provides the most efficient performance of the equipment and provides for optimum service life.

All transformers have thermal limits that must be strictly observed in order to maximize the life of the transformer. These temperature rise ratings are typically 55°C for standard cellulose insulation or 65°C for thermally upgraded cellulose. These temperature rise ratings are based on a 30°C ambient. These ratings also determine the set points for the first and second stage cooling equipment if provided. Elevated operating temperatures above design ratings will cause excessive deterioration of the insulation system. For every 10°C increase in windings hot spot temperature above the design, the solid insulations reliable service life is cut in half. Thermal decomposition is cumulative and the life of the transformer is the life of the insulation system.

The MPTs capacity must equal or exceed that of the generator output. This is determined during design and any anticipated future uprates to the generator need to be considered when initially sizing the transformer. Sustained overloading can have significantly adverse consequences and cause damage to the windings, core, and insulation system. Overloading can also cause excessive temperature rise to occur in sealed bushings and lead to failure. The MPT should be operated within its design capacity in order to maximize the service life.

The maximum continuous operating voltage as governed by ANSI C84.1-1995 and IEEE C57.1200 is 105% continuous secondary voltage at rated MVA and at a power factor not less than 0.8. The system conditions may require tap changer adjustments higher than the system voltage for regulation purposes. The primary voltage must be carefully maintained by the generator so as not to over excite the primary winding. Over excitation will allow the excitation current to increase exponentially and core saturation can occur leading to damage to the transformer.

Modern surge arresters should be used to protect the transformer from close in faults. Metal oxide surge arresters provide better protection than the older thyrite type. A best practice is to have the arresters mounted as close to the bushing terminals as practical. Most modern designs now mount the arrester assembly to the transformer tank.

Plants should, as a good practice, carefully monitor the transformers operational data and insure that strict controls are in place to prevent operation of the MPT beyond its intended design.

Provisions for spare transformers greatly enhance unit availability by providing “insurance” when a failure occurs. Major repairs or replacement of an MPT can be a costly and lengthy process and on-site spare transformers can significantly improve the availability factor for the unit when a major event occurs.
Utilization of fixed fire protection and oil containment systems can also reduce collateral damage and minimize environmental issues during a major failure event and should be considered as a good practice.

3.3 Maintenance

Preventative and corrective maintenance are essential components of any MPT. The demand for timely maintenance becomes more critical as the transformer ages. Routine maintenance of the various transformer components is vital to the life of any power transformer regardless of its age. An example of a typical maintenance issue would involve the inspection of the main tank. It should be inspected for oil leaks, rust, and effectiveness of the paint system. All gasketed flanges, mounting plates, bushing turrets, manhole covers, fittings, and valves should be inspected and oil leaks documented. Some oil leaks discovered may have severe consequences if not corrected. For instance, oil leakage on the intake side of a motor driven oil pump or flange can draw atmospheric air bubbles into the transformer. Bubble formation can be extremely detrimental to the electrical integrity of the transformer. Oil leaks should be corrected to address potential reliability and environmental concerns. Any unusual or excessive noise or vibration should be thoroughly investigated to determine source. Figure 4 illustrates remedial measures to mitigate an oil pump leak. Such measures are not recommended as a long-term repair.

![Excessive Oil Leak on Motor Driven Oil Pump](image)

Figure 4: Excessive Oil Leak on Motor Driven Oil Pump

Cooling system effectiveness requires all components to be fully functional. This includes cleanliness of air space between radiators and coolers as well as surface area. Shut off valves should be verified to be in the proper position and secured in place. All fans should be in place and be fully operational. Repair or replace fans and fan blades as required. Motor driven oil pumps should be checked for vibration, excessive noise, and balanced phase currents. As the motor of the oil pumps is immersed in oil, excessive overheating of the motor can generate combustible gas which will enter the transformer. Defective bearings can allow the pump impeller to come in contact with the casing ring and discharge small particles.
of metal inside the transformer. The cooling system must be maintained in good working order to preserve the thermal limitations of the MPT.

The bushings are a vital part of the MPT and have a direct impact on reliability and availability. They are internally connected to the windings by various schemes such as bolted connections, draw leads, and draw rods. Many high voltage bushings consist of an oil impregnated, multi-layer condenser wound on a central tube or rod. The condenser acts as a voltage divider and grades the line voltage to ground. Lower voltage bushings may be a condenser type or simply a fixed conductor through an insulator. Many older low voltage bushings used a compound or plastic filler within the insulator which may contain excessive levels of Poly Chlorinated Biphenols (PCBs) presenting environmental issues if a failure occurs. Routine tests, such as power factor, capacitance, hot collar, and thermographic inspections should be performed and all data referred back to the original nameplate data to identify potential risks. Trend results and replace bushings when out of tolerance limits are indicated. Inspections of bushings for poor connections, hot spots, proper oil levels, oil leaks, or insulator contamination/defects should be performed and documented. Bushings older than 30 years should be carefully monitored as they are at a higher risk for failure based on thermal aging. Low voltage bushings enclosed in housings are exposed to greater thermal stress. A single bushing failure can lead to a catastrophic transformer failure. Figure 5 illustrates an example of an oil filled bushing, in this case contaminated with PCBs.

![PCB Contaminated Bushings](image)

**Figure 5: PCB Contaminated Bushings**

The oil preservation system keeps external contaminants such as atmospheric air and moisture from entering the transformer. This protects both the liquid and solid insulation system. Oxidation of the oil is minimized and ingress of moisture is prevented. Preserving the oil quality is paramount to maximizing the life of the insulation system. A number of different type systems are used including sealed inert gas, inert gas constant positive pressure, free breathing, and sealed conservator. The function and operation of each type system used should be thoroughly understood in order to perform proper maintenance.
The two most common types of sealed tanks used on modern transformers in the U.S. are pressurized inert gas sealed and sealed conservator. The inert gas constant positive pressure sealed system (often referred to as nitrogen blanketed) maintains positive pressure of dry inert gas, usually nitrogen, above the oil. A nitrogen bottle and regulator system maintains a positive pressure of 0.5 to 5.0 PSI above the oil. The nitrogen used should meet ASTM D-1993 Type III with a -59°C dew point as specified in IEEE C-57.12.00. Regular inspection should be performed of the high pressure gauge, high/low pressure regulators, valves, pressure vacuum bleeder, and oil sump. Never allow the tank pressure be zero or negative pressure. The sealed conservator system uses an expansion tank (conservator) which is mounted above the main tank and maintains the oil at atmospheric pressure. An air cell or diaphragm is placed inside the conservator which is vented through a silica gel breather. As the oil in the main tank expands and contracts within the conservator, the transformer “breathes” to atmosphere via the breather. The air cell or diaphragm serves as a barrier and prevents any external air or other contaminants from coming into contact with the oil. The silica gel breather dries the air entering the conservator and the indicating silica gel should be inspected regularly and the desiccant replaced when approximately one-half of the material changes color. The inspection port on top of the conservator should be removed every 5-6 years and the inside of the air cell or diaphragm inspected. If any oil is observed, a leak has developed, and the cell or diaphragm must be replaced. The quality of the insulating oil is highly dependent on proper maintenance of this system.

The quality of the insulating oil affects the health and life of the MPT. This highly processed mineral oil must be maintained or reduction in the dielectric strength and accelerated aging will be experienced by the insulation system. It is imperative that an aggressive oil testing program be in place for testing the chemical and electric characteristics of the oil. Standard tests and criteria are recommended and listed in IEEE C57.106. By performing trend analysis of the data, planned corrective action can be implemented before significant deterioration occurs. Many additional tests can be performed such as particle count, dissolved metals, oxidation inhibitor, and corrosive sulfur to further refine the assessment of the oil and determine the maintenance techniques required. All oil will degrade over time. However, early detection of degradation allows for treatment of the oil in the field. Reconditioning of the oil will remove moisture, gases, and most particulates from the oil. Reclamation of the oil removes moisture, aging by-products, gases and particulates from the oil. Oil reclamation can return service aged oil to a pristine condition and may be both technically and economically a best practice for large MPTs. If additives for inhibited oil and passivators for corrosive sulphur mitigation are used, they are sacrificially consumed over time and must be replenished.

The controls, indicators, and protective devices are usually mounted on the main tank. The control cabinet contains power supply transfer components, breakers, relays, switches, controls and terminal blocks for the auxiliary equipment for the transformer. The cabinet should be provided with weather tight seals and a strip heater to prevent condensation. Routine inspections should be performed to check for corrosion, water leakage, and component function. Thermographic inspections should be performed to check for poor connections and overheating of wiring and components. Oil flow and oil level indicators should be checked for proper operation including alarm contacts. Pressure relief devices
(PRD) are mounted on the transformer tank and are a last defense to attempt to mitigate a tank rupture under major fault conditions and should be routinely inspected. When replacing these devices, verify the correct pressure setting of the PRD required since various pressure settings are available. Top oil temperature indicators provide remote monitoring and alarms functions and should be regularly tested and calibrated. Winding (hot spot) temperature indicators simulate the calculated hottest spot within the windings. These indicators provide for monitoring, alarm/trip, and cooling system control functions. Older type indicators are basically a dial type remote thermometer with set points. Modern electronic control monitors are available that can provide all functions of the dial types plus additional features for trending the transformer temperatures. Fiber optics is also available for measuring actual winding conductor temperatures in lieu of simulated values. Rapid pressure or sudden pressure relays are normally used on MPTs to provide for rapid tripping of the transformer in the event of an internal fault. Many utilities have installed redundant relays with two out of three logic controls to eliminate single point tripping which greatly improves reliability and availability. All controls, indicators, and protective devices should be regularly inspected, tested, and calibrated as recommended by manufacturer’s specifications.

An aggressive routine electrical test program should be implemented allowing maintenance decisions to be data driven. As a minimum, the test program should include the following tests: winding power factor, bushing power factor and capacitance, bushing hot collar, winding resistance, excitation, core ground insulation resistance (if external), and insulation resistance. Thermographic inspections should be included within the test program. All data analysis should be referred back to base line commission and/or factory and nameplate data. Additional advanced testing may be performed such as sweep frequency response analysis, acoustical and partial discharge tests when indicated.

The spare transformer(s) should be maintained in fully operational condition and should always be immediately available. Components should not be removed and used as spare parts for other MPTs. When the spare is needed, it is usually installed under tight time constraints. Routine testing and inspections should be performed in the same manner as an operating transformer. Adequate critical spare parts such as bushings should be immediately available.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental efficiency of a main power transformer and associated losses is described below.

Where:  
- $T_L$ is the total loss for the transformer (Watts)  
- $N_L$ is the no-load loss at rated voltage (Watts)  
- $L_L$ is the load loss at rated current (Watts)  
- $A_L$ is the sum of the auxiliary losses (Watts)  
- $O_P$ is the output of the transformer (Watts)  
- $V_A$ is the rated capacity of the transformer (Volt-ampere)  
- $P_F$ is the power factor of the secondary load
Total transformer losses are: \( T_L = N_L + L_L + A_L \)

Transformer output is then expressed as: \( O_P = VA \times \%PF \)

Transformer efficiency is given by: \( \%\text{Efficiency} = \left( \frac{O_P}{O_P + T_L} \right) \times 100 \)

The condition of the MPT can be assessed by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual, ORNL, October 2011.

Industry reliability and availability statistics can be monitored and compared to unit performance by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation’s (NERC) performance indicators, such as equivalent availability factor (EAF) and equivalent forced outage factor (EFOR). These are universally used by the power industry. Many utilities supply data to the Generating Availability Data System (GADS) maintained by NERC. This database of operating information is used for improving the performance of electric generating equipment. It can be used to support equipment reliability and availability analyses and decision-making by GADS data users.

Data Analysis of test data can be performed with the assistance and guidelines provided by various standards and guidelines related to specific analysis required. IEEE C57.104 and C57.106 standards provide information for testing and analysis of insulating oil. Various ASTM standards provide testing procedures and methodology. Several companies offer valuable electrical testing, oil analysis and investigation resources and provides assistance on interpretation and analysis techniques. Many vendor and reference materials are also available on all aspects of power transformers.

Determine the MPTs existing capabilities (CPL) and compare results to previous or original test data (IPL). Assess the efficiency, reliability, capacity needs, transformer energy losses, and revenue loss. Compare results to new MPT design data (from transformer manufacturer), and determine potential efficiency, capacity, annual energy loss savings, and revenue gain (PPL). For the latter, calculate the installation/rehabilitation cost and internal rate of return to determine major upgrade or replacement justification.

The condition assessment of the MPT is quantified through the CI as derived according to HAP Condition Assessment Manual, ORNL, October 2011. The overall CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the transformer. This methodology can be applied periodically to monitor existing transformer and can be monitored and analyzed over time to determine condition trends that can impact performance and reliability.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, Performance Indexes and Equations.

### 4.2 Integrate Improvements

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit performance characteristics (CPL). These can be integrated into computer programs to provide on-line analysis results.
and anomalies to all involved personnel. Parameters can be established to trigger various maintenance or immediate action activities as required. Data trends allow predictive maintenance to be performed in lieu of reactive maintenance.

As the condition of the MPT changes over time, the CI and reliability indexes are trended and analyzed. Using this data, projects can be ranked and justified in the maintenance and capital programs to return the transformer to an acceptable condition and performance level or indicate the need for replacement for long term reliability and unit performance.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:
USBR, FIST Volume 3-30, *Transformer Maintenance*, October 2000
*Transformer Maintenance Guide*, Transformer Maintenance Institute, 2001


State of the Art
CIGRE WG12, 18, *Report on Transformer Life Assessment*, 2003
Doble Client Committee on Circuit-Breakers and Bushings, *Bushing Field Test Guide, Document BG661*

Standards:
IEEE C57.12.10, *Standard Requirements for Liquid-Immersed Power Transformers*
IEEE C57.91, *Guide for Loading Mineral-Oil Immersed Transformers*
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1.0 **Scope and Purpose**

This best practice for the excitation system addresses its technology, condition assessment, operations and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize performance and reliability. The primary purpose of the excitation system is to provide a regulated DC current to the generator rotor to induce and maintain a voltage in the stator at a set value under normal operating conditions while varying the generation or absorption of reactive power and supporting generator terminal voltage under fault conditions. The excitation system must respond to voltage and frequency excursions and this response must be coordinated with generator capabilities and protective relay functions to ensure continuous unit reliable generation. Due to its critical nature the reliability of the excitation system has come under the auspices of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC).

1.1 **Hydropower Taxonomy Position**

Hydro Power Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Exciter

1.1.1 **Exciter Components**

Exciters and excitation systems have evolved from DC generators driven by the shaft of the generator or by an AC motor to the present solid state systems utilizing diodes or rectifiers. Many of the original systems are still in service today as a testament to their simplicity and reliability. The solid state systems may be brushless systems where the rectification takes place on the rotating shaft and field current is supplied to the rotor without going through brushes and collector rings. A very basic system is seen in figure 1. Performance and reliability related components of the excitation system include the low voltage controls, the source of the field current (dependent on the type of excitation system, i.e. rotating or static), the power source (for a static system), current interruption or isolation devices (AC or DC field breakers), and the brushes and collector rings / commutator. For purposes of this BP the excitation system is considered to “end” at the collector rings or at the point of connection to the rotating field circuit.
Low Voltage Controls: The low voltage controls portion, or regulators, of the excitation system provides the control and protective functions to regulate the DC field voltage and current supplied to the generator rotor. Field voltage to the generator is controlled by feedback from the generator instrument transformers. The information provided by these transformers is used by the “automatic voltage regulator” or AVR to control either the field of a DC exciter or alternator or the input to silicon controlled rectifiers (SCR’s) which in turn determines the magnitude of the main DC field current. These instrument transformers are normally not provided with the excitation equipment however the voltage transformer is critical to operation of the AVR. Generally a “manual” regulator is also provided which functions as a field current regulator to maintain field current at a fixed value. In some critical facilities redundant regulators may be provided. On some older units a manual control rheostat is used that allows the AVR to be removed from service while the unit remains on-line under manual control.

Field Current Source: The predominate field current sources will be either a rotating exciter feeding the main field of the generator or a static exciter using thyristor bridge rectifiers (SCR’s). Another common excitation system is a brushless exciter with a rotating ac generator and rotating rectifiers

Power Source: For static exciters an AC power source must be provided for the bridge rectifier. This power source is generally a shunt supply transformer from the generator terminals but may also be any adequately sized AC supply from the line side of the generator breaker. For an exciter transformer, sometimes called a power potential transformer (PPT) or exciter power transformer (EPT), or any alternate supply the rating must be sufficient to supply the field under all operating conditions, including faults on
the generator terminals or the transmission system when connected, plus any losses in the conductors, convertor and transformer itself.

For rotating exciters the power source is usually a permanent magnet generator (PMG)

An alternative source of excitation power is a low voltage plant bus. This is not preferred due to the possibility of harmonic content from the exciter bridge having a deleterious effect on other equipment powered from the bus. This power source is not considered in this BP.

Current Interruption Devices: As the field current cannot change instantaneously for a close-in or internal generator fault fast suppression of the generator field is necessary to limit damage. As long as the unit is spinning and there is current in the rotor, energy will be fed to the fault. Depending on the type and vintage of the system a number of methods are utilized to dissipate and remove this energy. A field discharge resistor in parallel with the field winding provides a decay path for the field current when the resistor is placed in the circuit as the result of a unit electrical trip.

For a fully static system, the field voltage may be forced negative to result in rapid de-excitation.

For almost all systems field energy is dissipated in a field discharge resistor once the field breaker contacts open or a protective thyristor(s) is gated.

Collector Rings, Commutators, and Brushes: The brushes function to transfer field current from a stationary component, the brushes and rigging, to a rotating component. For a rotating exciter, the output of the exciter armature is delivered by the exciter commutator and brushes to the main generator field collector (or “slip”) rings and brushes

Non-performance but reliability related components of the excitation system include the instrument transformers used to measure generator voltage and current.

Instrument Transformers, Voltage and Current: As the generator terminal voltage and currents cannot be directly measured a means for reducing these values to useful levels for the regulator is required. These transformers are normally not part of the excitation system regulator “package”. Voltage transformers (VT’s) reduce the generator stator voltage and current transformers (CT’s) reduce the generator stator currents to useful quantities based on their transformation ratios. These transformers are normally housed in the generator switchgear and the secondary voltages and currents off any VT or CT may be used by multiple instruments, meters or relays. Inputs from the VT and CT are critical to both the controlling and protective functions of the regulator.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Performance/Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Periodic performance testing to establish accurate current unit performance characteristics and limits.
Dissemination of accurate unit performance characteristics to unit operators, local and remote control and decision support systems and other personnel and offices that influence unit operation and performance.

Real-time monitoring and periodic analysis of unit performance at the Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from expected performance for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or component failure.

Periodic comparison of the CPL to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

Maintain documentation of IPL and update when modification to equipment is made (e.g., re-insulation of field, exciter replacement).

Trend loss of performance due to degradation of excitation system components. Such degradation may be indicated by increased excitation current required for a given load point or increased operating temperatures.

Include industry acknowledged “up to date” choices for excitation system components and maintenance practices.

1.2.2 Reliability/Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

For any given load point the power into the exciter should be periodically measured and trended for degradation. For a static exciter the power into the exciter is from the PPT (or EPT). In a rotating system exciter field current and PMG output serve as indicators of power into the system. Shorts (shorted turns) or open or high resistance circuits in the components providing power to the exciter will result in increased losses and degraded performance.

The power out of the exciter, i.e. the delivered field current, is determined by the AVR (or manual regulator). The amount of field current required for any operating point should be compared to the original manufacturer’s curves.

The brush rigging and collector commutator assemblies are most critical reliability components for both static and rotating exciters. The high temperature environment, brush dust generated and wear of components due to relative rotating motion dictates increased focus on these areas. Brushes assemblies, collector rings and commutators should be inspected frequently. Establish a temperature profile for collector ring/commutator air temperatures. Trend for degradation and indication of brush/collector/commutator deficiencies. Periodic infrared inspection of brushes under load can provide an indication of brush selectivity issues. On higher speed units brush vibration (and attendant wear, chipping) may be caused by excessive collector ring runout.

Monitor field insulation resistance to ground.
Establish normal operating temperatures for other system components and trend for degradation (e.g., PPT’s, rectifier bridge).

Electronic and electromechanical (low voltage control) components should be maintained in a clean and preferably temperature controlled environment.

Manual and motor operated rheostats should be periodically “wiped” (run through their limits), checked for smooth operation and visually inspected for arcing or overheating. Drive mechanisms should be inspected and lubricated as required.

AC and DC breakers should be checked per vendor’s recommendations.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references
- I&C Automation Best Practice
- Electrical – Generator

2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Material and Design Technology Evolution

Early exciters were usually a DC generator driven off of the main generator shaft, by an AC motor or even an auxiliary water wheel. With the development of solid state devices, rectifier sourced exciters were developed evolving into the fully inverting silicon controlled rectifier (SCR) bridge(s) used in today’s solid state exciters. Voltage regulators have changed from manual control of a rheostat in the field circuit of a DC generator to the present solid state digital regulators. The regulator will control either the field of a DC exciter or alternator or it may control the gating of the SCR’s in a solid state exciter.

Performance levels for excitation systems can be stated at three levels as follows:

The installed performance level (IPL) is described by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning. For excitation systems these performance levels are defined by the manufacturers provided guaranteed loss data and by the unit saturation (figure 2) and “V” curves (figure 3) which define the expected field current requirements for a given load condition. An explanation for the interpretation of these curves is found in IEEE 492.
The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of excitation system performance parameters. While current performance can be compared to the IPL curves and loss data, additional data points (not provided for by the IPL) for temperature measurement of system components should at some point be collected for baseline and trended over time.

Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) for excitation system will entail system improvements that provide minimal reduction of losses and non “performance” related improvements such as improved response times offered by solid state systems. For a given generator rating improvements in excitation performance can only be expected to restore the original IPL relative to field current required at a particular load point.

### 2.2 State of the Art Technology

Excitation system efficiencies, as a measure of losses, are the sum of the electrical and mechanical losses in the equipment supplying excitation. This will include losses in exciter field circuits, manual and motor operated rheostats, voltage regulators, PPT’s, collector and commutator assemblies, motors used in the system, switchgear and any electrical connections in the power circuit. These losses are directly correlated and vary based on the amount of field current which is directly correlated to the operating power factor and load.

For a state of the art excitation system with a fully static exciter and digital voltage regulator the total excitation losses may approach 4% of the total generator losses at rated load condition. For a 33 MVA unit this total may be 15 to 30 kW. In older systems which include manual and /or motor operated rheostats, main exciter field windings, a pilot exciter
and commutators not found in a state of the art system these losses may approach 10%. Here the value may be 40 to 60 kW. In both cases these IPL values are generally provided as calculated data by the manufacturer and very difficult to determine empirically as independent test for the exciter.

The more significant gains as defined by the PPL are in the area of reliability, improved exciter response time (transmission system stability, minimized fault damage), reduced maintenance requirements and improved flexibility and integration with modern control and protection systems. Reduction of losses is not a prime consideration in the decision to replace an existing system.

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

USACE Hydro Plant Risk Assessment Guide (ref. 1) provides a methodology for assessing the condition of a system based on its age, operation and maintenance history, availability of spare parts and service support, and test performed on both the power and control circuitry. Some of the factors considered in this assessment follow.

The NEMA insulation class (B, F, H, etc.) will determine the operating temperature limits. The electrical insulation integrity of this insulation and the system is reduced with increasing age. All electrical insulation deteriorates over time due to increased exposures to the cumulative effects of thermal stress and cycling, vibration and mechanical damage, and deleterious contaminateS. Age also determines obsolescence status and availability of vendor technical support and spare parts. A variety of electrical tests may be performed to aid in assessing insulation condition.

Obviously, these condition assessment factors are closely related. The evaluation should also consider the failure and forced outage history. It is likely, however, particularly for older units, that there may be a lack of history, maintenance records, and design documentation to supplement the assessment.

Infrared thermography can be used to monitor deterioration of bolted electrical power connections, collector/commutator performance and rheostat performance.

Winding resistance and system insulation resistance should be measured periodically to detect deterioration. Field insulation resistance may be continuously monitored on line and trended.

Brushless systems should be inspected stroboscopically for blown fuses if applicable. In some cases a blown fuse can cause a cascading overload of remaining fuses.

Collector ring/commutator and brush rigging condition should be evaluated. Ring film condition, commutator condition and overall cleanliness have a significant effect on reliability. A typical collector ring, brush rigging assembly, commutator assembly is seen in figure 4.
Bridge temperature, transformer temperatures, cabinet air temperatures and collector ring temperatures can provide an early indication of deterioration. Figure 5 shows a typical solid state system cabinet.

![Figure 4: Collector/Commutator/Brushes](image1)

![Figure 5: Typical Solid State Cabinets](image2)

### 3.2 Operations

It is critical that the design and capacity of the exciter match the operational requirements. Operation of the exciter and generator must be maintained with the manufacturer’s capability curve. An example capability curve can be seen in figure 6. For the excitation system critical operation is in the over excited region of the curve where field current is a maximum and temperature limits may be reached. Operation outside these limits results in increased heating and rapid deterioration of insulation and reduction of service life. Temperature limits for the field are determined by the NEMA insulation class.
For generating units whose capacity (output) has been uprated without exciter replacement consideration should be given to the number of collector ring brushes required by increased field current, the change in the generator short circuit ratio if applicable, and operating temperature limits.

Shorted rotor turns may increase excitation requirement for a given generator load, kVA. Rotor insulation class may limit kVA output due to temperature limits. Deratings of the excitation system may also impact kVA output of the unit.

3.3 Maintenance

The frequency of maintenance will best be determined by consideration of manufacturer’s recommendations, the age of the unit, the operating mode of the unit, environmental conditions and the failure history of the unit. No one frequency recommendation will be applicable to all units. The maintenance of excitation system components is also a significant factor in its performance capabilities. Manufacturer’s recommendations provide a basis for items necessary to maintain. These recommendations should be adjusted based on the actual age, operating conditions and operating environment in order to maximize life expectancy. Cleanliness is required to minimize potential for electrical tracking and grounds as well as to prevent degraded cooling or heat transfer.
The collector ring/commutator and brush rigging assembly are probably the most maintenance sensitive component of the system when it comes to reliability. The generation of carbon brush dust due to the relative motion between the rings/commutator and the brushes provides opportunities for field grounds and flashovers, including fires. Brush condition (length, freedom of movement, leads discoloration) should be visually checked frequently depending on how the unit is operated. The collector ring film should be visually inspected and run-out measured to be within manufacturer’s tolerance. If necessary the ring may be required to be trued, in situ or removed. The ring film may need to be removed and re-established. In either case the ring finish should be within manufacturer’s tolerance. If necessary as indicated by insulation resistance measurements the brush rigging should be cleaned.

Inspect the commutator and ensure that the commutator insulation does not protrude above the copper bars. If so, undercut per manufacturers recommendations.

Rheostats should be inspected, cleaned to ensure uniform, low contact resistance and lubricated for free movement. A typical rheostat is seen in Figure 7.

![Figure 7: Motor Operated Rheostat](image)

Excitation system AC and DC breakers and contactors should be tested, inspected, and maintained per the manufacturer’s recommendation. Particular attention should be given to DC breaker and contactor contacts for wear and electrical erosion.

Equipment enclosures should be cleaned and any vent filters replaced as necessary. Vents should not be obstructed. For solid state systems with force cooled bridges verify operation of bridge fans, lubricate as required.
Both solid state dry type and oil filled PPT/EPT’s should periodically meggered and have turns ratio tested. If fitted with fans they should be cleaned and operation tested. Oil filled PPT/EPT’s should be inspected for tank and bushing leaks. Transformer bushings should be cleaned and oil level checked. If fitted with oil pumps and motors their operation should be tested. Transformer oil should be checked for dissolved gases and quality.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

Excitation system losses (rheostat, brushes, transformers) and excitation system availability are all measures of condition and reliability. Losses associated with the exciter may include rheostat losses, brush contact losses, brush friction losses, and windage losses and may approach 15-30% of the unit full load losses. Generator rotor $I^2R$ losses are included with the generator and not considered in the excitation BP.

Any $I^2R$ loss, which is a waste heat loss, may be reduced by reducing R. “R” is the resistance which is a function of temperature and physical properties of copper in the excitation system components. R varies but not significantly with the temperature changes in operation. “I”, the current, may vary significantly. The amount of current, the most significant factor in the loss equation is dictated by the load. Exciter losses are the total of the losses in the equipment supplying excitation. This equipment is minimized with a static system, thereby reducing these losses.

Rheostat losses are the $I^2R$ losses of the rheostat if used. This is eliminated when using a static system.

Brush contact losses are the electrical losses in the collector ring brushes. Prudent maintenance of collector ring, commutator (eliminated with static system) and brush rigging minimizes these losses.

Brush friction loss is a mechanical loss due to rubbing friction between the brushes and collector rings and/or commutators. Elimination of the commutator brushes with a static system reduces these losses.

Friction and windage losses are the power required to drive an unexcited machine at rated speed with the brushes in contact (excitation system contribution to this loss is typically minimal and unavoidable).

The key measurements include field current $I_f$, field winding resistance $R$, temperature $T$, and brush voltage drop in volts.

4.2 Data Analysis

The CPL, relative to losses, is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics determined by unit efficiency testing, which requires testing per IEEE 115 methods. The CPL relative to field current requirements of the unit is made by comparison to the saturation and “V” curves. Failure to meet the IPL for field current may be due to shorted rotor turns.
4.3 Integrated Improvements

Reliability issues, obsolescence issues or impending unit uprate may warrant complete replacement of the existing exciter. The preferred option is a completely solid state unit which offers the following advantages [4]:

- Eliminates high maintenance and obsolete components
- Eliminates time constants associated with exciter field components and provides fast system voltage recovery and transient stability
- Provides data recording capability for trending and troubleshooting
- Offers an opportunity to increase original field excitation and uprate the unit.
- Provides digital communication capability that facilitates remote control and monitoring.
- Provides option of backup regulators
- Enhanced control features such as a power systems stabilizer, power factor and VAR control.
- Eliminates losses associated with commutator brushes and rheostats

It may not be necessary to replace the rotating exciter to restore unit reliability. Replacement of the pilot exciter/voltage regulator with a digital system may be sufficient to improve unit reliability; however, the response time of the system will not be optimized as with a full static system. While replacement of the voltage regulator only is a reasonable compromise, replacement with a full static excitation system is the best solution.

NEMA class F or H insulation (maximum operating temperature 155 or 180 oC, respectively) should be used for rotor pole windings. For unit uprates brush capacity should be evaluated for additional field current requirements. Constant pressure brush springs should be used for collector and commutator brushes.

Location and placement of a new solid state system and PPT (EPT) is often a challenge in existing plants. Once locations for new equipment have been determined consideration of the operating environment may indicate the need for additional cooling for reliability of the low voltage electronics.

5.0 Information Sources

Baseline Knowledge:

USACE – *Hydro Plant Risk Assessment Guide, Appendix E4 Excitation System Condition Assessment*

EPRI –EL-5036, Volume 16, *Handbook to Assess the Insulation Condition of Large Rotating Machines*
IEEE 115 – Guide for Test Procedures for Synchronous Machines


State of the Art:

Basler Application Notes – 16 Reasons to Replace Rotating Exciters with Digital Static Exciters

EPRI 1004556 – Tools to Optimize Maintenance of Generator Excitation Systems, Voltage Regulators and Field Ground Detection

Standards:

IEEE Std 492 – Guide for Operation and Maintenance of Hydro-Generators

NERC GADS – Top 25 System/Component Cause Codes
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
The primary purpose of an automatic control system or automation system is to allow through computerized control the automatic starting, stopping, safe operation, and protection of any equipment being controlled. In the context of this document, that equipment is a hydro generating unit and its associated components and auxiliaries. An additional benefit to an automation system is the ability to operate the hydro generating unit in a more efficient manner. Hydro generating units have been monitored and controlled by human operators for many years, both locally and remotely. Unfortunately, the generating efficiency is hard to be adequately optimized by human operators due to the vast number of variable parameters spanning multiple systems that can affect unit efficiency and also because the variables can change rapidly. However, a computer system has the capability to analyze numerous parameters to determine optimum performance settings for a generating unit many times per second, which brings such a system a distinct advantage when trying to squeeze every last megawatt out of a limited supply of water resources.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position
Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Instrument and Controls → I&C for Automation

1.1.1 Plant Automation Components

Performance and reliability related components of a hydroelectric plant instrument and control system will vary based on the automation supplier’s design. This component listing is based on a PLC (programmable logic controller) or RTU (remote terminal unit), PC based data server, PC based HMI (human machine interface), conventional panel boards for manual control and SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition) software. The term ‘controller’ will be used to represent either a programmable logic controller or current technology RTU.

- **PLC (programmable logic controller):** The function of a PLC is the heart of digital control system with programming capability that performs functions similar to a relay logic system. A PLC consists of a CPU (central processing unit), memory, power supply and a means of communications to I/O and other devices. The software includes ladder, block, sequential, structured text and other logic programming to control devices.

- **RTU (remote terminal unit):** The function of an RTU is to collect data and is similar to a PLC. Sometimes, it may be termed as PLC depending on the vendor terminology. RTU is generally associated with older (prior to 1998) control systems with minimal control capabilities, though it may also be a perfectly acceptable term for a current vendor offering. Use caution when making a quick assessment of systems based on these acronyms of RTU and PLC. The RTU is not always a lesser controller.

- **Controller:** This can refer to either a PLC controller or a current technology RTU.

- **HMI (human machine interface):** The function of the HMI is to be the interface for the operator to the control system. The HMI is normally a PC as the client portion of a client/server architecture. In some cases, the HMI and the server are the same PC.
Data Server: The function of a data server is to link to the controllers and the network to send data to the HMI and receive operator input from the HMI back to the controllers. The data server is normally a PC in a client/server application.

Network LAN (local area network): There are normally two major networks in a hydroelectric control system.

- The TCP/IP network (Ethernet) links the server(s) to the HMIs, the controllers, data historians, firewall, and other Ethernet based devices. This is shown as the Ethernet LAN in Figure 1.
- The I/O network may also be Ethernet, though it is commonly a protocol used by the controls supplier such as Profibus™, Modbus™, DeviceNet™ etc. This is shown as the Control LAN in Figure 1.
- There are also secondary network connections to 3rd party devices tied directly to a controller through serial or Ethernet. This is shown as the links to the plant or unit electronic intelligent devices in Figure 1.

GPS Clock: This is for time synchronization in the control system.
SCADA (Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition): SCADA unfortunately tends to be an ambiguous acronym. Suppliers and end users have widely varying interpretations of what comprises a SCADA system. (See also RTU definition above.) As shown in Figure 2, an older SCADA system consists of RTUs (remote terminal units) that tie back to a central processor that primarily collects data and commonly uses proprietary communication protocols. Some controls suppliers refer to their current offerings (Dec. 2011) as a SCADA system, which has the same capabilities as a PLC based system or even is exactly a PLC based system. This can lead to some confusion. Generally, older SCADA/RTU systems (designed prior to 1998) have limitations in both logic handling and communications, which make them the candidates for upgrade. Over the decade, SCADA systems, PLC based systems and DCS (distributed control systems) have migrated towards being synonymous. These acronyms and their meanings are usually vary with the culture or industry in which they were initially installed.

I/O (wired input and output to field devices): The function of I/O is to send commands to devices or receive information from devices.

- **Traditional Analog and Discrete I/O**: These are wired inputs and outputs that use voltage or current representing the status of a device, values and/or set points.

- **Hybrid I/O**: Hybrid I/O varies from traditional I/O in that digital communications are carried on the same wires as the voltage or current. This digital information generally contains diagnostic information about the connected device. Devices that support HART™ on top of a voltage signal are an example of a hybrid.

- **Smart I/O**: This communication signal is entirely digitalized. The accuracy exceeds traditional analog and it contains diagnostic information about the connected device.

- **Safety I/O**: This varies from traditional I/O in that the controller periodically tests the I/O to verify that the controller hardware is functioning properly.
Local Control (definition): Controls located at the equipment itself or within sight of the equipment. For a generating station, the controls are located on the unit switchboard-governor control station.

Automatic Control (definition): An arrangement of controls that provide for switching or controlling, or both, of equipment in a specific sequence and under predetermined conditions without operator intervention after initiation [1].

Non-performance but reliability related components of a control system.

Firewall: The function of a firewall is to restrict and protect the plant control network from outside unauthorized access. The firewall restricts communications in both directions protecting the process and data.

UPS (uninterruptible power supply): The function of the UPS is to provide temporary power to a system in case of main power failure. The UPS also acts as a power filter to protect control equipment. At hydro facilities, a large DC battery bank can also supply backup power through an inverter to the control system.
**IDS (intrusion detection system):** This device resides on the process control network to detect and log any intrusion attempts – failed or successful. Logs from firewalls can also be used as a limited form of intrusion detection.

**Historical Archive:** The function of the historical archive is to store historical information from the control system.

**Reporting:** The function of reporting is for GADS (Generating Availability Data System, as required by NERC - the North American Electric Reliability Corporation), production, scheduling etc. This is often accomplished on the server or client.

**Syslogs:** This is an important function to meet NERC-CIP requirements as defined below. Syslogs record software events from the computers, firewalls and other network devices that support Syslogs.

**Engineering Workstation:** The function of the engineering workstation is to configure the software for the control system controllers, servers, HMIs and other controls equipment.

**Efficiency Optimization:** This is a program that runs on top of the control system to maximize efficiency of the plant.

### 1.2 Summary of Best Practices

#### 1.2.1 Performance / Efficiency & Capability - Oriented Best Practices

- Use supervisory control that takes into account weather, demand, headwater and tailwater levels, fish habitat, outages, and other variables.

- Use advanced control algorithms, within the controller, to optimize generator efficiency.

- There should not be more than eight actionable alarms per hour per operator at any plant or for each operator at a central control facility.

- Test all software before downloading or installing.

- Design local control to be independent of the digital controller system in that the units can be operated from a bench board without the controllers and/or SCADA system in operation. Small generating units would be exempt from this practice.

- Compare long term trends, seasonal and annual, to measure performance. Figure 3 shows a complex control system LAN (local area network) with its own historian. This control system LAN ties back to a corporate LAN which has its own historian. This structure allows operators to create their own trends locally.
The corporate historian allows technical personnel the ability to study long term data. Figure 4 shows a similar complex system in hierarchical form.

Figure 3: Control System at a Hydroelectric Plant, Showing Connections to a Central Location – Courtesy of CERT [4]

1.2.2 Reliability / Operations & Maintenance - Oriented Best Practices

- Use redundant power supplies and/or a UPS (uninterruptible power supply) or use the DC battery power, normally available at a hydroelectric facility, as an emergency backup.

- Use redundant controllers for critical control and communications.

- Design the local control LAN to be redundant or in a ring.

- Design the I/O network (for remote I/O drops) to be redundant or in a ring.

- Units and all ancillary equipment should automatically go to a safe state on failure of a PLC or failure of critical instrumentation.
Security is now part of reliability and is to be a part of the design, maintenance and upgrade of all parts of the control system.

Use a firewall along with IPSEC (encryption) to protect the local control LAN.

Periodically review the firewall Syslogs for intrusion attempts or unauthorized access. It is recommended to add an intrusion detection for large systems and at the central control.

Analyze every port, service and application of all PCs on the control LAN. Remove or disable all unneeded ports, services and applications on those PCs. Review these PCs periodically.

Train local maintenance to periodically monitor the health of the control system.

Design the system so that online diagnostics are available and clear to operations.

Monitor corrosion and temperature in cabinets.

---

Figure 4: Central Control to Multiple Hydroelectric Plants [12]

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C – Operator Base System
- I&C – Condition Monitoring
- Mechanical - Generator
- Mechanical – Governor
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Technological Evolution

Automatic control systems for hydroelectric units based on electromechanical relay logic have been in general use for many years and, in fact, were considered standard practice for the industry. Within the past few decades, microprocessor-based controllers have been developed that are suitable for operation in a power plant environment. These computer-based systems have been applied for data logging, alarm monitoring, and unit and plant control. Advantages of computer-based control include use of graphical user interfaces, the incorporation of sequence of events, trending, automatic archiving and reporting into the control system. The incorporation of artificial intelligence and expert system capabilities also enhance the system [2].

The initial upgrade for older hydroelectric plants has been from a system that relied primarily on electromechanical relay logic to a computer based Supervisory Control and Data Acquisition (SCADA) systems. In an era of deregulation and competition, management needs more information than ever before, and as quickly as possible, regarding its own costs, efficiency and the market price for energy. That need for information is leading to the upgrading and re-engineering of SCADA systems nationwide with new software and hardware that is more productive, reliable, and which utilizes open standards architecture [11]. The early SCADA systems used proprietary network communications and had rudimentary logic and information. Today’s systems include more powerful controllers (PLCs or RTUs), open architecture (TCP/IP, DN3, Modbus™ etc.) and personal computers for HMIs (human machine interface).

2.2 Design Technology

Automation system design, operation, and maintenance have a major impact on unit efficiency, plant overall generation, and reliability. Best practice for the automation system begins with the ability to safely and securely control the entire facility both locally and remotely. The security of a control system supplants many previous design parameters, such as ease of remote network access, open wireless communications and easy physical access. Once a secure and fail-safe system is in place, the control system is then ready for optimization and high level control. Figure 5 shows a control system with firewalls and intrusion detection.
Cyber Security (some overlap with hardware and software design)

Government owned hydroelectric facilities cyber security policies will fall under federal compliance requirements with both NERC [13] (North American Electric Reliability Corporation) and FISMA [3] (Federal Information Security Management Act). The general rule is that larger government owned facilities and facilities considered ‘critical’ fall under the stricter NERC standards which include substantial penalties, if violations are egregious. The guidelines (NERC or FISMA) are determined by the management of each utility and their interpretation of the selection guidelines along with agreement from federal officials. Brief summaries of the two standards are listed in this document since they are crucial to the design or the upgrade of a government owned hydroelectric control system. NERC standards apply to private or public owned utilities that fall under the NERC domain. The standards are in the NERC-CIP 002-009 and in FISMA’s NIST 800-53 documentation. In particular, pay attention to appendix J of NIST 800-53 and NIST 800-82 [14].

At the 2011 “East Tennessee Cyber Security Summit”, several vendors remarked that 80% of security incidents are discovered by 3rd parties. These 3rd parties may be local law enforcement, FBI, banks or the media. The overwhelming number of corporate entities that were compromised did not have the ability to detect an intrusion nor a system in place to
track the intrusion. Intrusions may go on for weeks or months without being detected or reported. The importance of cyber security cannot be over stated.

NERC Guidelines

NERC Critical Infrastructure Protection (CIP) standards relies heavily on documentation. Compliance with NERC-CIP should not be interpreted as being secure. [13]

2.3 State of the Art Technology

A secure reliable automation system that supports high level supervisory optimization is no longer a difficult technical achievement. The proper design of the automation system will allow for fail-safe local control, redundancy, secure communications and automated scheduling with optimization. Optimization routines are readily available from 3rd party vendors or may be written in-house with software packages that are becoming easier to program and employ standard communication protocols.

As the state of the art technology, critical control systems that may cause physical harm, equipment damage or significant economic loss upon failure should have an appropriate level of redundancy. But, not all redundancy listed below is required or recommended for all systems due to the expense involved.

- **Redundant Power Source**

  This is the most common form of redundancy and is recommended for all control systems. A redundant power source may be a UPS with the understanding that the UPS has a time limit in minutes based on the load and battery size. A UPS may also be used as a clean power source. Controllers commonly have the ability to be wired to dual power sources as a fundamental feature.

- **Redundant Controller**

  If a redundant controller is not used, verify that a failure of the controller will not inflict equipment damage or harm personnel. The system must have a safe mode on a loss of the lone controller. The mean time between failures (MTBF) of a system with redundant controllers, redundant power supplies and redundant communications is nearly 10 times that of a standalone control system, see the result data in Table 1 from the study performed at the Large Hadron Collider Project in Europe using the Siemens 400 series PLCs [10].
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Table 1: Redundant Controller MTBF

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Standard System</th>
<th>Redundant System</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1 CPU S7-414</td>
<td>2 CPU S7-414 4H (in separate racks)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Power Supply</td>
<td>2 Power Supplies (one in each rack)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1 Communications path to I/O</td>
<td>2 Communications paths to I/O</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MTBF = 6.0 years</td>
<td>MTBF = 60.0 years</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

- **Redundant Servers and Clients**

   In client/server architectures, it is critical to have redundant servers. A server can be removed from service for patches and security modifications without shutting down the system. Personal computers (servers) have a high failure rate compared to controllers and should always be redundant. HMIs (clients) should be redundant so that an operator will not be blind on the loss of a lone operator’s station. If the plant is normally operated remotely, a redundant operator station may not be required and its replacement may be made on the next business day without disrupting operations.

- **Redundant Networking**

   The cost of networking equipment has dropped dramatically. It is recommended to have redundant networking on critical systems or use a network ring so that a single break in the network will not shut down communications. Dependency on a single network switch is problematic and should be avoided.

- **Redundant I/O**

   This is rare for most hydro applications. It is more common to have critical data points, such as headwater level, to have dual sources. Vibration, temperature and other critical data also have multiple sources and are not dependent on a single input. Ideally these critical control inputs should be distributed among different I/O cards.

- **Safety I/O**

   This I/O is continuously monitored by the controller through self-checks. The controller can detect a failed I/O point and respond appropriately to this failure. It is not a requirement to use safety I/O in the majority of hydro control systems. If it is available and the cost is not prohibitive, it is recommended.

- **Hot Swap**

   An I/O or communications card should be replaceable without the need to power down the backplane (I/O rack) or without losing communications to the remainder of the cards mounted on the backplane.
- It is essential that the following functions can be carried out under backup conditions or failure of the main control system (PLC or RTU) [12]:
  
  Emergency stop
  Operation of spillways
  Operation of high voltage circuit breakers and isolating switches
  Starting and stopping of generator/turbine units
  Operation of the intake gate/turbine isolation (shutoff) valve
  Governor and excitation adjustments

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

It is noticed that some key items are missing in control systems in even recent installations or upgrades of hydropower facilities in the United States (Dec. 2011). The items listed in this section will enhance IEEE Std 1249:1996 [1], which is now undergoing a revision. Engineering and operations should carefully consider all these items in the control system selection. The overall goal of automation system is dependability, as the majority of hydro facilities are not manned 24/7. This listing is to promote the best selection for a hydro control system based on the needs for maximum system availability, safety of equipment and personnel, system optimization, standardized communications protocols, ease of maintenance and security.

The first step in assessing an automation system would be the determination of the condition of existing equipment which must be controlled. A major portion of that assessment would be the condition and capabilities of any required sensors or feedbacks already present. The following information will be a guide through the various systems necessary and help determine any upgrades which might be required.

Turbines

While the actual best practices to be considered for hydro turbines is being covered in another guide, there is still important information which must be gathered in order to allow the automation system to operate a unit at optimum efficiency. Depending on the design of the turbine, different levels of testing will have to be performed to determine the overall operating characteristics of the turbine. For instance a set of efficiency curves will have to be developed for a Francis unit over a range of flow, headwater elevation, and tailwater elevation conditions. But for a Kaplan unit much more data must be collected to cover all the blade tilt positions as well as the range of water flow conditions. Each type of turbine will have its own specific variations but basically a complete set of turbine efficiencies must be available for input into the automation software. Additionally the flow instrumentation, headwater elevation instrumentation and tailwater elevation instrumentation must be accurate and must have data outputs which are compatible with the requirements for the automation
computer. Of course converters can be used if necessary. Typical efficiency curves for a Kaplan and a Francis turbine are shown below in Figures 6 and 7, respectively.

![Figure 6: Kaplan Turbine](image1)

![Figure 7: Francis Turbine](image2)

As can be seen from these curves the maximum efficiency point for a Francis turbine is extremely narrow while the Kaplan turbine has higher efficiencies over a much wider range. The Kaplan turbine achieves these wider ranges due to the added capability to alter the blade angle as operating parameters change. The control system needs to be assessed to verify that it can automatically control a unit in its highest efficiency range.

**Governor Systems**

The condition of the governor system and its instrumentation is key to optimizing hydro unit efficiency. It really does not matter if the governor is digital, electronic, or mechanical as long as it is in good operating condition and has tight feedback loops. Obviously a digital governor has an advantage in the fact that it will be the easiest to interface with the automation system but as long as the governor has good tight response to control changes and accurate instrumentation to provide feedback to the automation system you can achieve optimal efficiency.

**Generator**

While generator efficiency is mostly dictated by its initial design, the automation system must take into account the overall capabilities of the unit. Each generator has a specific capability curve which operating conditions must be monitored against to ensure no damage occurs to the unit. Of course these capabilities can be affected (lowered) by other components such as the excitation system, power cables, breakers capabilities, transformers, etc. The overall capability limits of the unit is vital information which must be considered by the automation software. In general the instrumentation required to monitor these limits will also be used by any efficiency calculations made by the system. A typical generator capability curve is shown below in Figure 8.
Excitation Systems

Again it really does not matter if the exciter is of digital or mechanical design as long as the equipment is in good working order and has adequate response times. However, a digital exciter again has an advantage in the fact that it will be much easier to interface with the automation system. Optimally the excitation system will have the capacity to operate the generator anywhere on the capability curve required. However, in some instances the existing exciter will not have the capacity required and those limits must also be considered in the automation system software.

Table 2 lists minimum instrumented inputs and outputs on an automated control system, such as a PLC, to control various devices or systems. The goal of having these levels of control is to allow fully automated control of a plant from a remote site with scheduling and minimize the need for operators at the plant full time. The existing system needs to be assessed to verify it can meet these minimal criteria.
Table 2: Typical parameters necessary to implement automated control

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Control Action</th>
<th>Inputs</th>
<th>Outputs</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unit Start/Stop</td>
<td>Gate limit</td>
<td>Brake release</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate position</td>
<td>Gate operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breaker status</td>
<td>Cooling water valve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor hydraulics</td>
<td>Exciter</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit speed</td>
<td>Start circuit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit protective relays</td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generator voltage</td>
<td>Breaker trip/close</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unit synchronizing</td>
<td>Unit speed</td>
<td>Breaker select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate position</td>
<td>Breaker closing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate limit</td>
<td>Unit select</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breaker status</td>
<td>Speed adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Governor voltage</td>
<td>Voltage adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus voltage</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AGC</td>
<td>Unit status</td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVar</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit protective relays</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Set point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Synchronous condensing</td>
<td>Draft tube depression</td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Excitation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVar</td>
<td>Draft tube depression</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine optimization</td>
<td>Head</td>
<td>Gate operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Blade angle</td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate position</td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Trash rack control</td>
<td>Differential pressure</td>
<td>Trash raking system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Gate operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Black start</td>
<td>Protective relays</td>
<td>Generator start</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Bus voltages</td>
<td>Unit synchronizing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generator status</td>
<td>Breaker close (dead bus)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Breaker status</td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Generator voltage</td>
<td>Voltage regulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Unit power</td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Breaker selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Base load control</td>
<td>Unit status</td>
<td>Power adjust</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MW</td>
<td>Gate operator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>MVar</td>
<td>Unit selection</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate position</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Gate limit</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Set point</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Control Action | Inputs | Outputs
--- | --- | ---
Voltage control (AVC) | Unit status
Breaker status
MW
MVar
Bus voltage
Set point
Generator voltage | Voltage regulator
Unit selection

Remedial action schemes | RAS initiation
Generator selection
Breaker status
Unit status
System frequency | Breaker trip
Breaker selection

Forebay selective withdrawal | Water temperatures
Gate position | Gate operator
Unit select

Alarming

Audits, performed by the authors of this section, of hydroelectric control systems have found many installations with minimal alarming, or the alarming was initially configured but never optimized. Operators routinely ignored alarms and, as a result, missed critical information. Frequently, numerous alarms are presented to an operator when a single event occurs. Many of these alarms are excessive and may lead the operator to an incorrect action. These secondary alarms should be grouped into a single alarm, to a primary cause or inhibited based on the primary alarm. The existing alarming system needs to be assessed to see how it compares to the criteria in Table 3.

Controls studies have determined that the optimum number of actionable alarms that an operator can properly handle is 6-8 per hour [6 and 8]. Where alarms exceed this threshold, the alarming configuration or the operations of the system itself should be studied and corrected during engineering and operations. Alarms that require no action on the part of the operators should be investigated for removal from the system or placed automatically into a historical archive for reference only to free the operator. Table 3 lists reasonable goals for alarm systems.

Discrete devices, such as pressure switches, temperature switches, proximity switches, device statuses etc. should all be installed in a fail-safe manner. A failed device or an alarm state of the device will trigger an alarm. This is a fail-safe design. Where there are multiple discrete devices monitoring a single system, such as turbine vibration, the switches are recommended to be wired to different I/O cards. Just be mindful of not putting all critical measurements on one I/O card. If the I/O card fails, important information protecting the process can be compromised. Check the quality and type of discrete I/O of the existing
system. In some older facilities, the quality of the wiring may need to be assessed. Older wiring may have cracked or even missing insulation.

Historical Data

Historical data is vital to troubleshooting and optimizing a control system. There are basically two types of historical trending. The first type is the near real-time trending, continuously displayed trend used by operators going back a few hours or minutes of a process and up to near real-time. The second type of trending is for long-term archiving. Audits of control systems have discovered the historical trending that was never archived or improperly configured, and/or the historical files were too short of duration to be of usefulness in troubleshooting or for optimizing. Assess the current ability to create long term trends and be able to export to a database for analysis.

All alarms should be trended and archived. Historical archiving of discrete points is recorded on an exception basis. Analog points should be archived based on common sense in terms of the deadband and frequency of data collecting. A slow-moving temperature measurement may only need to be collected every 5 seconds. A fast analog, such as flow or pressure, may be collected every second or even faster if the I/O is capable of scanning at high speeds (> 250 ms). The deadband of analog measurements to an archive is often set at 0.25% to 0.5%, which is the accuracy of most analog measurements. Audits of archives found analogs set at 2% or even higher deadbands. This can lead to aliasing and mislead an investigator in analyzing events. Current historical archiving software is capable of data compression without significant loss of data. The cost of recording media has become minor.

Older analog inputs channels are frequently 12 bit. That is 0.25% accuracy for the full scale (1 bit out of 4095 total bits). The system may not be capable of obtaining a desired accuracy from the analog I/O. The transmitter accuracy compounds the situation. Assess the analog input and output capability of the system. It should be at an absolute minimum of 13 bit accuracy with a preferred accuracy of 15 bits (or more). The accuracy of the measurement is an important factor in historical archiving, interpreting the data and controlling the process.

In modeling and optimizing generator performance, historical archiving for several years is required. Seasonal variations and overall control of the generator and dam performance can only be audited and improved using long term data.
### Table 3: ISA 18-2 Alarm Performance Metrics [6]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Metric</th>
<th>Target Value</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Annunciated Alarms per Time</td>
<td>Target Value: Very Likely to be Acceptable</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annunciated Alarms Per Day per Operating Position</td>
<td>~150 alarms per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annunciated Alarms Per Hour per Operating Position</td>
<td>~6 (average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annunciated Alarms Per 10 Minutes per Operating Position</td>
<td>~1 (average)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of hours containing more than 30 alarms</td>
<td>~&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of 10-minute periods containing more than 10 alarms</td>
<td>~&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Maximum number of alarms in a 10 minute period</td>
<td>≤10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage of time the alarm system is in a flood condition</td>
<td>~&lt;1%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percentage contribution of the top 10 most frequent alarms to the overall alarm load</td>
<td>~&lt;1% to 5% maximum, with action plans to address deficiencies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quantity of chattering and fleeting alarms</td>
<td>Zero, action plans to correct any that occur.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stale Alarms</td>
<td>Loss than 5 percent on any day, with action plans to address</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Annunciated Priority Distribution</td>
<td>3 priorities: ~80% Low, ~15% Medium, ~5% High or 4 priorities: ~80% Low, ~15% Medium, ~5% High, ~&lt;1% “highest” Other special-purpose priorities excluded from the calculation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized Alarm Suppression</td>
<td>Zero alarms suppressed outside of controlled or approved methodologies</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Unauthorized Alarm Attribute Changes</td>
<td>Zero alarm attribute changes outside of approved methodologies or MOC</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### 3.2 Operations

**HMI - Human Machine Interface**

The HMI is more than just a rehash of a P&ID (piping and instrumentation design drawing) with process descriptions. The software helps the operator in routine process management and optimization. The largest improvement in the HMI for operations has been in helping the operator respond to alarms. In the last few years emphasis has been placed in developing HMIs to assist the operator in abnormal situation management, which has been developed in a consortium with Honeywell [8]. The findings of this group have led to a radical graphical design change for operators. The normal color conditions for a process is gray and the
background is gray. Abnormal conditions change color based on the processes. Information such as efficiencies or key performance indicators often prompt the operator long before a serious alarm condition occurs. This group concludes that operators respond 40% faster to alarms than traditional displays with multiple colors and are less likely to make mistakes in responding to alarms.

Optimization – Various Methods

Below are the minimum control capabilities in an operating system.

- **Most Efficient Load (MEL)**

  This control mode will give the majority of efficiency benefits. The automation system will look at all the variables affecting unit efficiency, compare them to optimum, and automatically adjust the unit to achieve the highest possible efficiency for the operating conditions available. The system will continuously monitor all the parameters and, if any changes occur, it will automatically make necessary adjustments to again maintain maximum efficiency.

- **Maximum Sustainable Load (MSL)**

  While this mode is not the most efficient, there are times, when the unit must be operated at maximum MW output due to other power system constraints.

- **Fixed Turbine Flow**

  Occasionally there is a requirement to operate a plant at a fixed flow rate for periods of time. If there is only one unit at that plant, there is little opportunity during these periods to optimize efficiency. However, if there are multiple units at that plant, the automation system can match the individual unit efficiencies in such a way as to maximize the total flow requirement for the plant.

- **Headwater / Tailwater Elevation Control**

  Occasionally there is a requirement to operate a plant such that a particular Headwater or Tailwater elevation is achieved. Just like the fixed turbine flow mode there is little opportunity to optimize efficiency if there is only one unit. But, as long as several units are available the automation system can match the individual unit efficiencies to maximize plant efficiency while maintaining the water elevations.

- **Load Following / Automatic Generation Control**

  AGC is a topic which has caused much debate over the years among hydro utilities. The power system operators want to utilize hydro units for AGC due to the rapid response of the hydro units. Plant operation personnel tend to discourage that practice due to the belief that it causes increased maintenance requirements and reduced efficiency. Assuming that AGC is a requirement for the plant being automated, the automation system can take the load set point supplied by the power
system and calculate the most efficient loading of the individual units and still achieve the required AGC needs.

- **Condensing / Reactive Power Control**

Although there is no unit efficiency issue since no water is used in condensing mode, it is still an operating mode that must be considered in the software design as many units are operated this way for system voltage stability. In condensing mode, the turbine gates are closed and depending on the design of the unit, water is either naturally evacuated or a system of air compressors forces the water below the turbine blades. The unit is then operated as a synchronous condenser to supply reactive power to the power system for voltage control.

- **Automatic Load Reduction and Reinstatement for Temperature Considerations**

High temperature conditions for plant equipment is one of the fundamental issues that must be addressed. By supplying temperature sensors from plant equipment into the automation system, the system can monitor and trend those temperatures to ensure all components stay within their safe limits. One feature the automation system can accomplish is to allow the individual components to operate close to limits, but then if a temperature limit is reached, reduce loading to allow the temperature to stabilize at safe levels. Then as conditions change, which affect the cooling of that component, the automation system can automatically increase the load back to the desired level. Temperature sensors are almost always included in the generators, unit transformers, and critical bearings. Others critical to unit operation should be included as available.

**Sequence of Events and First Out**

First out information should always be historically archived. This is critical information for operations and troubleshooting. The first out information for trending originates from the controller, not from comparing times of discrete alarms in the historical archive. Historical archiving software is usually not fast enough to analyze events that may take place for high-speed trips. First out alarming in high-speed applications, such as turbine control, is configured in the control system. These discrete inputs are most commonly scanned at 1 ms or faster. Standard discrete I/O is not normally scanned at this frequency.

The main controller should have a time sync program with a GPS clock. This accurate time should be shared in all the controllers and HMIs.

The control system software frequently has prebuilt SOE (sequence of events) blocks or first out blocks that capture the event that caused a system to trip or fail. This captured event is then historically trended and displayed to the operator for a quick analysis as to what just happened. A turbine can trip off line for many reasons. A high vibration trip will be programmed in a first out block along with temperatures, speeds, power, operator action etc. If a trip is caused by high vibration, it will be the trapped event in the first out block and displayed to the operator. The operator will be able to quickly comprehend the cause of the
trip and take appropriate action. A restart of the tripped turbine will automatically reset the first out block and be ready to capture the next trip.

An alternate way of capturing first out events is to wire to an SOE device or high speed I/O card in parallel to the normal control I/O. The software in the controller (not the historical archiving software) will capture the individual times of each alarm. The actual time of the alarm will traditionally be in the message portion of the alarm and not the time the alarm appears in the archive. The operator will be required to look at all messages of the alarms in the alarm archive and search for the time of the first event that caused the trip. This is a common setup in systems that have evolved over the years and in older control systems that are still in service.

3.3 Maintenance

Backing Up Systems – Disaster Recovery Plans

A disaster recovery plan is essential and must be part of a control system design. A disaster can occur from a fire, corrupt data, failed systems, poor configuration with a download or even sabotage. There should be at least two backup copies. On a scheduled basis (monthly or quarterly, depending on how frequently changes are made to the system) a backup copy should be made that is stored in a secure location offsite. There are companies that provide this as a commercial service to IT departments. Primary backups should be made after any change. Commercial software archiving programs are available to store backups. Images of PC based systems on a frequent scheduled basis is also recommended. Historical data should have a backup system as well. A plan for making backups should be made then adhered to.

It is critical to test a recovery system. There are numerous stories of backup systems that were found to be ineffective. In some cases the backup tapes or disks were found to be blank or the backup copies were corrupt.

Also, refer to NERC-CIP-009 “Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets”

Patches and Software Updates or Changes

The NERC CIP-007-3 standard stresses the need to test modifications before installing the changes in the field. This is to minimize adverse effects on the production system or its operation. This includes verifying that no changes impact cyber security. Common practice to date has been to make changes in a control system without first testing on a bench or test system. The engineer or programmer has previously assumed no serious error or complications will occur with a change. This recommended practice of testing, even for a non-NERC site, will reduce errors in operations and create increased confidence from operators and management in the quality of process control software changes. In practice, the authors of this article have found the amount of time to test is quite minimal and has little impact on perceived productivity of the programmer when the time required to correct errors in the field with untested changes are taken into account.
Vendor patches, such as Microsoft, Siemens, Emerson, Honeywell etc, should be tested in a lab environment before field installation. Some vendors will test their software/hardware with recent patches and inform customers of the safe installation of the patches.

**Documentation**

NERC CIP-003-3 standard outlines rigorous documentation requirements. All changes to a control system need to be documented in a systematic manner.

**Secure Passwords**

All default passwords and/or administrative logins without passwords must be eliminated. All administrative passwords must be kept secure. The passwords should be ‘strong’. An ideal password is long (8 characters or more) and includes letters, punctuation, symbols, and numbers. It is permissible to write down passwords as it is difficult to memorize strong passwords. These written passwords should be stored in a secure place. These documents containing the passwords must be kept in a secure location. Refer also to NERC CIP-007-3 section 5.3.

**Predictive Maintenance Software – Condition Monitoring**

Condition monitoring measures the health of an asset through monitoring and analysis of data. Common data monitoring points are vibration, temperature, wear, corrosion, pressure, proximity and flow. Newer instrumentation, such as a HART™ enabled digital control valve positioner, has digital feedback information to monitor hysteresis, valve stiction and instrument air pressure. Data is monitored in real time to alert operations to potential problems. Packages are available to predict required maintenance using these data points. Maintenance is performed only when required.

From Hydro World Vol. 19 Issue 3: “Most of the 1,560 MW of hydropower plants in Japan are unmanned. Operations and maintenance of these plants are handled using a wide-area maintenance system, in which one office manages multiple facilities. Unmanned plants are equipped with remote monitoring systems that continuously record data from various devices, such as tailrace level, turbine discharge, and generator vibration. Condition-based maintenance is used…”

Extending the periodic inspection and overhaul cycles makes it possible to reduce the number of maintenance staff. Reducing the number of man-hours worked by engineers will enable their centralization to hydro plants and their allocation to maintenance with DEDE and other organizations. An estimated 2,025 man-hours can be saved by reducing the cycle of periodic inspections and overhauls. For example, before the demonstration, 2,130 man-hours were required for periodic inspection; this was reduced to 1,485 man-hours. For overhaul, 3,600 man-hours were required; this was reduced to 2,400 man-hours.”

**4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis**

Various plant functions are required to be operated quickly and predictably in response to changes in process variables or operator commands. Failure of the control system to execute
programmed response within a specific time frame will result in operator frustration and dissatisfaction and may jeopardize the safety of personnel and equipment. To ensure that the control system responds in a manner commensurate with the expectations of plant operations, the real-time ability of the control system should be defined in terms of the minimum time that it takes to process field events and operator-entered and program-generated commands.

Controls system response times are typically specified at the plant level. This excludes the interface with offsite control centers. The response times for offsite control will vary depending on the type and speed of the interconnecting communications link. In those situations, where the response time from offsite control centers is critical, it is necessary that the communications system be designed for secure, high-speed transmission with the plant control system.

The response time of the control system will depend on the system loading at the time of the event or control action as defined by its CPU and network load rate.

The CPU load rate is typically computed as a percentage of CPU capacity for "normal" and "worst case" system loading scenarios. A normal operating scenario is defined to be one where all field values are being updated at the required periodicity, a minimum number of active windows are open at the operator interface, communications are in normal configuration, application programs are in operation, and normal plant start/stop operations are being undertaken. A "worst case" scenario is typically a case where there are multiple unit trips in a short period of time. Such a condition has the effect of increasing the number of I/O (either field devices or operator-generated commands) that are simultaneously changing state.

Typical CPU load rates for normal operating scenarios are in the range of 40-60%. Some controllers set a percentage of CPU for logic and another percentage for communications. For worst-case loading scenarios, the CPU load rate will typically vary between 50-75% total. The network load (TCP/IP) should be less than 30% in the worst case scenario.

The time interval between the moments that a command is issued at the operator interface to the time the feedback (such as motor status) is displayed at the HMI should not exceed 1-2 seconds.

The time interval between the moments that a command has been issued at the operator interface to the time that the command is transmitted to the field device should be under 1 second. Ideally, discrete commands should be transmitted to the device in less than 200ms. The majority of I/O device drivers place a priority on write commands (write commands or operator inputs will normally execute before read commands) so that there is a quick response in the field to an operator screen input.

The time interval between the moments that a status change occurs at an input at the control system I/O to the time that the status change is displayed at one of the operator interfaces, should not exceed 1-2 seconds.

Update times to the system-wide database should be less than 1 second and typically range from 100 to 500 ms, depending on the type of I/O (digital input, analog input, or accumulator) and system loading.
Intrusion detection has historically been strictly an IT (information technology) function. This is falling upon process control engineers now. Intrusion detection logs should be automated and inspected by the process control engineer and IT. There should be no successful intrusion attempts.

Syslogs and firewall logs have also been an IT only function. Process control engineers should review these on a periodic basis.

Actionable alarms should not exceed 10 per hour. Ideally these alarms should not exceed 6 per hour per operator.

5.0 Information Sources:

Baseline Knowledge:


FISMA (NIST 800-53), Recommended Security Controls for Federal Information Systems and Organizations, NIST Special Publication 800-53.

The FISMA Implementation Project was established in January 2003 to produce several key security standards and guidelines required by the FISMA legislation. As a key element of the FISMA Implementation Project, NIST also developed additional guidance (in the form of Special Publications) and a Risk Management Framework which effectively integrates all of NIST’s FISMA-related security standards and guidelines in order to promote the development of comprehensive, risk-based, and balanced information security programs by federal agencies. The Risk Management Framework and the associated publications are available at: http://csrc.nist.gov/publications/PubsSPs.html.

The National Institute of Standards and Technology (NIST) 800-53 provides recommended security controls of federal information systems and is used to determine the baseline security controls for the system. Federal IT systems must adhere to these security guidelines to comply with FISMA. The section that pertains to hydroelectric control systems is in appendix I of NIST 800-53.

United States Computer Emergency Readiness Team

The continuously updated site: http://www.uscert.gov/control_systems/

The goal of the DHS National Cyber Security Division's CSSP is to reduce industrial control system risks within and across all critical infrastructure and key resource sectors by coordinating efforts among federal, state, local, and tribal governments, as well as industrial control systems owners, operators and vendors. The CSSP coordinates
activities to reduce the likelihood of success and severity of impact of a cyber attack against critical infrastructure control systems through risk-mitigation activities.


*State of the Art:*

ANSI/ISA ISA 18.00.02-2009 “Management of Alarm Systems for the Process Industries”.


National Institute of Standards and Technology's (NIST) Advanced Technology Program assisted in funding this technology.
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*Standards:*


CIP-002-3 “Critical Cyber Asset Identification”

Standard CIP-002 requires the identification and documentation of the Critical Cyber Assets associated with the Critical Assets that support the reliable operation of the Bulk Electric System. These Critical Assets are to be identified through the application of a risk-based assessment.

CIP-003-3 “Security Management Controls”

Standard CIP-003 requires that Responsible Entities have minimum security management controls in place to protect Critical Cyber Assets.

CIP-004-3 “Personnel and Training”
Standard CIP-004 requires that personnel having authorized cyber or authorized unescorted physical access to Critical Cyber Assets, including contractors and service vendors, have an appropriate level of personnel risk assessment, training, and security awareness.

CIP-005-3 “Electronic Security Perimeters”

Standard CIP-005 requires the identification and protection of the Electronic Security Perimeter(s) inside which all Critical Cyber Assets reside, as well as all access points on the perimeter. All access points to the control system need to be documented. It is common for vendor or remote maintenance dial up access to be tied to a hydro control system. These should be eliminated whether a facility is under NERC or not. Access should be secured through firewalls and the use of VPNs. All access should be logged.

CIP-006-3 “Physical Security of Critical Cyber Assets”

Standard CIP-006 is intended to ensure the implementation of a physical security program for the protection of Critical Cyber Assets.

CIP-007-3 “Systems Security Management”

Standard CIP-007 requires Responsible Entities to define methods, processes, and procedures for securing those systems determined to be Critical Cyber Assets, as well as other other (non-critical) Cyber Assets within the Electronic Security Perimeter(s).

CIP-008-3 “Incident Reporting and Response Planning”

Standard CIP-008-3 ensures the identification, classification, response, and reporting of Cyber Security Incidents related to Critical Cyber Assets.

CIP-009-3 “Recovery Plans for Critical Cyber Assets”

Standard CIP-009 ensures that recovery plan(s) are put in place for Critical Cyber Assets and that these plans follow established business continuity and disaster recovery
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1.0 Scope and Purpose
Condition monitoring of hydroelectric power generating units is essential to protect against sudden failure. Fault development can occur very quickly. Many hydro units are located in remote areas making regular inspection difficult. It is required to have a monitoring system that continuously checks machine condition, remotely indicates the onset of a fault and provides the possibility of preventative automatic shutdown.

Hydroelectric turbine-generators are subject to forces and operating conditions unique to their operation and configuration. They typically operate at low rotational speeds. Their physical mass and slow rotational speeds give rise to large vibration amplitudes and low vibration frequencies. This requires a monitoring system with special low frequency response capabilities.

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position
Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Instrument and Controls → I&C for Condition Monitoring

1.1.1 Condition Monitoring Components and Measurements
Performance and reliability related components are primarily centered on the turbine and generator. The primary components are proximity probes (used for vibration and air gap), temperature probes and speed indication.

Eddy current transducers (proximity probes) are the choice of vibration transducer and monitoring. Eddy current transducers are the only transducers that provide shaft relative (shaft relative to the bearing) vibration measurement.

Several methods are usually available for the installation of eddy current transducers, including internal, internal/external, and external mounting. Before selecting the appropriate method of mounting, special consideration needs to be given to several important aspects of installation that will determine the success of monitoring.

Eddy current transducers work on the proximity theory of operation. An eddy current system consists of a matched component system: a probe, an extension cable and an oscillator/demodulator. A high frequency RF (radio frequency) signal is generated by the oscillator/demodulator, sent through the extension cable and radiated from the probe tip. Eddy currents are generated in the surface of the shaft. The oscillator/demodulator demodulates the signal and provides a modulated DC Voltage where the DC portion is directly proportional to gap (distance) and the AC portion is directly proportional to vibration. In this way, an eddy current transducer can be used for both radial vibration and distance measurements such as thrust position and shaft position. [2]
Guide Bearing Vibration Probe
By measuring vibration at generator and turbine guide bearings, various sources of unbalance, shear pin failure, bearing problems and wicket gate problems can be determined. [1]

Gap
Gap indicates the distance between the probe tip and the shaft. It is determined by filtering out the dynamic signal (AC portion of the waveform) and looking only at the DC portion of the waveform. This is shown in Figure 1.

Thrust Bearing Oil Film Thickness
Large vertical hydro units can weigh over 1,000 tons, with the unit’s entire weight carried by the thrust bearing. An absence or reduction in oil film thickness at the thrust pads results in rapid breakdown of the bearing babbitt which can further lead to rotor/bearing damage if the oil film is not corrected. On hydro units, the thrust bearing shoes are fitted with proximity probes observing the thrust collar, providing a measurement of oil film thickness.

Guide Bearing Temperatures
Bearing temperature can indicate problems related to fluid-film bearings, including overload, bearing fatigue, or insufficient lubrication. One RTD (resistance temperature device) or thermocouple sensor is installed per bearing pad.

Thrust Bearing Temperatures
Bearing temperature can indicate problems related to fluid-film bearings, including overload, bearing fatigue, or insufficient lubrication. One RTD or thermocouple sensor is installed per bearing pad.

Keyphasor® Signal (Trademark Bently-Nevada)
A proximity probe observing a once-per-turn notch or protrusion (such as a key or keyway) on the machine’s shaft provides a precise reference signal used for

Figure 1: Typical eddy current transducer curve
indicating rotational speed, filtering vibration to multiples of running speed (such as 1X, NOT 1X, and NX – see definitions below), providing vibration phase information, and allowing air gap profile data. The proximity transducer is generally mounted near the upper guide bearing. The shaft’s notch or projection should align with an established reference on the rotor such as the generator’s #1 pole.

- **1X Amplitude and Phase**
  This is a measurement of the vibration that is synchronous with rotor speed (1X). A tracking filter with a Q (see fig. 2.) of 18 is used to attenuate all other components. This measurement is valid at speeds between 25 rpm and 1500 rpm, which is applicable for most hydro-turbines. This measurement is used to determine acceptance regions and provide data for detecting forced vibrations that may be introduced by bearing wear, unbalance, wicket gate damage, blade damage, generator faults, debris passing through the machine, and other conditions. An amplitude and/or phase change can be indicative of the above conditions. [4]

- **NOT 1X**
  This is an overall vibration measurement with the 1X component attenuated. This is a measurement of all vibration components except those occurring at shaft rotative speed. This measurement uses a tracking filter with a Q of 18 to attenuate the 1X component. With the 1X signal attenuated, which is usually the predominant component in hydro-turbines, the remaining signal will be the sub-synchronous vibration due to rough zone conditions or super-synchronous vibration. Therefore, the NOT 1X is the primary measurement used for rough zone vibration. Cavitation occurs during partial loads and running closer or below minimum operating level of the turbine. That operating range, where cavitation occurs, is considered to be in the rough zone. In Francis turbines, for example, cavitation damage is visible in the edges of the runner and draft tube.

  In addition to alarm set-points, an option can be implemented on the NOT 1X measurement for enabling or disabling a trip. This may be used to prevent other alarming while the hydro-turbine passes through the rough zone. Alarm delays may also be set to allow time for the hydro-turbine to pass through this zone. [4]

- **NX (Amplitude and Phase)**
  This is a measurement of the vibration that is an integer multiple (nX) of the rotor speed. A tracking filter with a Q of 18 is used to attenuate all other components. “N” may be configured to an integer value selected by the operator. Typically, this is used to detect guide vane blockage or shear pin failure, but may be used for detection of other faults that will cause super-synchronous vibrations. One major cause of super-synchronous vibration is reduced water flow through a wicket gate. This will cause a low-pressure region, and each time a blade or bucket passes through it, an impulse is felt on the rotor causing a super-synchronous vibration equal to the number of blades. Setting “N” to equal the number of blades will cause the NX amplitude and phase to be detected. [4]
• Composite – Gap and NX
The composite measurement combines the gap and NX amplitude to provide a means for detecting and alarming on shear pin failure or other types of conditions that change the flow of water through a wicket gate. In addition to the NX vibration caused by the newly created low-pressure region, the shaft position will also move toward that low-pressure area. The gap measurement will detect the change in shaft position. Composite is simply the NX amplitude multiplied by the percent-change in the gap. These two major indicators of shear pin failure are combined into one convenient measurement to provide extra machine protection. [4]

Figure 24: Definition of Q

• Head Cover/Draft Tube Vibration
Certain operating conditions can give rise to cavitation, an implosion of vapor cavities in the liquid. Cavitation can damage the turbine, erode metal, affect efficiency, and eventually force a shutdown. Cavitation is measured with an accelerometer mounted on the draft tube. By monitoring for draft tube or head cover vibration with an accelerometer and filtering appropriately, cavitation can be detected and conditions can be adjusted to avoid operating the unit in this damaging region.

• Stator Frame Vibration
Vibration of the stator core and frame can cause fretting and damage to the winding insulation. Uneven air gap can also cause the stator core to vibrate. Low-frequency seismic transducers are mounted on the outer diameter of the stator core and frame. By mounting an appropriate seismic vibration transducer on the stator core and frame, such problems can be detected before serious damage occurs.

• Generator Temperatures
Temperature sensors are installed in locations such as in stator slots, air cooler inlet and outlet, water inlet and outlet, etc., providing important information on stator condition. The system provides alarms and alerts operators when temperatures are outside of acceptable limits. [1]
Cooling Water Flow and Cooling Water Temperature
Cooling water flow may be an interlock and/or a permissive on some systems. Cooling water temperature tends to be informational only as it varies with ambient conditions. The quantity of cooling water flow, above the interlock minimum, is a minor variable in condition monitoring. This flow measurement is normally an analog device such as a magnetic flow meter or an orifice plate with a differential pressure measurement converted to flow.

Radial vibration and position probes are typically located at each bearing in "XY" pairs. The probes in each XY pair are mounted 90° to each other, thus giving a complete view of shaft radial vibration and position at the probe pair location.
Radial vibration measures the basic dynamic motion (vibration) that is perpendicular, i.e. radial, to the axis of the shaft. The amplitude of radial vibration indicates how "rough or smooth" the machine is running. On critical plant rotating machinery with proximity probes, radial vibration is expressed in units of mils (thousandths of an inch) peak-to-peak displacement.

Radial position provides information about the average position of the shaft within the bearing clearance. Fluid-film bearings, whether sleeve or tilting pad, have clearance between the shaft and bearing which permits the shaft to ride at different positions within the clearance. The average position is a primary indicator of proper machine alignment and bearing loading, both of which are key to managing vibration to acceptable levels.

The Keyphasor® probe provides the timing marker required to measure the phase angle of vibration. Accurate phase angle is necessary for in-situ rotor balancing and is extremely important for analysis of machinery malfunctions.

1.2 Summary of Best Practices

Best practices for machine condition monitoring can have a significant impact on plant efficiency and generation. A condition monitoring system can predict a pending failure and avoid machine stressors, detect deterioration earlier, reduce the length and frequency of outages, provide root cause analysis and improve availability and overall efficiency. The system can be used as a predictive maintenance tool to reduce unplanned outages. The system can be used as a standalone condition monitoring and analysis system or it can be integrated with the plant’s automatic control system.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C - Automation
- Mechanical - Lubrication
- Mechanical - Generator
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Technological Evolution and Design Technology

Vibration analysis was typically performed by a mechanic or the operator by observing a dial indicator. This is still the only method in older facilities. Recent developments in vibration sensor, data acquisition, and analysis technologies, however, are making vibration analysis cheaper, easier, and more widely available.

Air gap and vibration data is now being incorporated into model-based diagnostics. Models create virtual sensors where physical sensors are not able to be installed. An example is where real data from physical sensors mounted on the bearings at the shaft ends, is used to create a virtual sensor for mid-span vibration.

Detailed analysis is now available in near real time for stator insulation failure, stator grounding issues and stator vibration. These problems were previously only determined by expensive shutdowns and testing when the unit is disabled. Even when the unit is down, it can be very difficult to identify stator problems. The testing is expensive and time consuming. In the last few years, measuring magnetic flux using a variety of partial discharge sensors has evolved to be a viable tool for checking for generator problems using model-based diagnostics.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

State of the art cannot be discussed without mentioning the hardware required. Having all the sensors mounted, as listed below, and tied to supervisory system that has model-based software is the state of the art.

State of the Art Turbine Measurements: (See Figure 5.)

- 2-axis guide bearing vibration
- Guide bearing temperature
- Guide bearing housing seismic
- Draft tube vibration (may include head cover vibration)
- Rotational speed
- Seal ring position/blade clearance
- Cooling water flow
- Wicket gate position
Figure 5: Turbine Measurements [1]

State of the Art Generator Measurements (See Figure 6.)

- Air gap
- 2-axis guide bearing vibration
- Guide bearing temperatures
- Thrust bearing oil film thickness
- End winding vibration
- Core vibration
- Stator frame vibration
- Thrust bearing pad temperature
- Generator winding temperatures
- Magnetic flux or partial discharge probes (various types)
- Cooling water flow
3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

The items to assess:

- What is installed compared to recommended measurements?
- What parameters or variables are available to the control system?
- When was it installed? Age of equipment.
- How well was it installed? Proper mounting. Noise protection.
- Long term data for optimization and measure degradation?
- Training of operators? Are they involved in analyzing the data?
- Advanced calculations capability for better outage planning?

3.2 Operations

Monitoring systems includes sensors, transducers, monitoring modules and software and should be fully integrated with a plant’s governor and control system to facilitate shutdown and alarming. Many of the vibration behaviors typical to generator units require specialized filtering and signal conditioning. To minimize inaccurate readings and false warnings, the
monitoring system must be designed to operate long-term with the expected mechanical loads in a humid environment. The condition monitoring system should have features to prevent false alarms. Typically, the vibration signals must exceed preset limits for a specified time period before warning is given to reduce false trip signals. The monitoring system should also take into account rough zones that may be experienced due to low loads during start-ups.

Turbines in hydroelectric power plants must be able to withstand stresses as a result of rapid starts, stops and partial loading. These stresses induce fatigue that accumulates and eventually leads to damage. Wear to the journal bearings, damage to the runner blades from corrosion, cavitation and/or foreign particles in the water supply are other common problems. In many cases, the damage could be avoided with a condition monitoring system and methodology.

Air gap is a measure of the distance between rotor and stator in the hydro generator. Monitoring of air gap is important as both the stator and the rotor on large hydro machines can be quite flexible, and their shape and location are affected by operating centrifugal, thermal, and magnetic forces. Off-center or out-of-round conditions will at least reduce operating efficiency and in more severe cases can lead to damage from magnetically induced heating or a rotor-to-stator rub. [6]

When a hydro-generator rotor system is balanced and aligned properly, the shaft should spin within the confines of the guide bearings without much force being exerted against these bearings. Clearance of guide bearings can be estimated based on data that is acquired during unit startup. This is because the shaft moves in a random “orbit” throughout the clearance set by the guide bearings for the first few revolutions during unit startup. Therefore, when measuring shaft movement for the first few revolutions, (when the radial forces are not significant because of low speed – e.g. 8 orbits after start-up) guide bearing clearance can be estimated quite accurately using orbit analysis. This data can be collected for various temperature conditions of the guide bearings for both cold and hot conditions. [5]

Overall reliability and effective operation of a monitoring and protection system is related to a variety of factors including the following: required range of transducers, location of XY transducers, transducer cable routing and available functionality of the monitoring system.

On many hydro-generators, it is simple to replace a transducer if there is an operational problem. However, for some hydro-generators, transducers have to operate in an enclosed space, where quick probe replacement can be problematic. Therefore, for hydro-generators, it is important to consider installing redundant XY-transducers to increase the reliability of the monitoring and protection system. The redundant transducers can be fixed:

1) Opposite of the current shaft observing XY-transducers, and/or
2) Without significant angular shift when compared to the existing XY-transducers, and/or
3) Without significant axial shift when compared to the existing XY-transducers.

The term “shift” means that the distance between the two sets of probe tips has to be greater than the probe separation recommendations in the transducer’s technical documentation. If
this condition is not met, then an interaction between both transducers can occur, (often called cross-talk) decreasing signal to noise ratio. [5]

Partial discharge monitoring or analysis (magnetic flux) is a relatively new development. It requires an advanced software package and a good understanding of the unit being monitored. It can determine in real time a failure of stator insulation, stator grounding problems or stator vibration. Stator anomalies, such as stator vibration, are frequently difficult to isolate when the unit is down for maintenance.

Vibration monitoring remains the most effective technique for detecting the widest range of machine faults, but a number of other techniques are available for specialized monitoring, as seen in the Table 1.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mechanical and Bearing</th>
<th>Vibration</th>
<th>Air Gap</th>
<th>Magnetic Flux</th>
<th>Process Values</th>
<th>Cavitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Unbalance</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Misalignment</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor rub</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Foundation problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose bearings</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oil and lubrication</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Stator or rotor bar problems</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Generator</th>
<th>Vibration</th>
<th>Air Gap</th>
<th>Magnetic Flux</th>
<th>Process Values</th>
<th>Cavitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Stator bar/core vibration</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Air gap problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rotor/stator out of roundness</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loose/shorted stator bars or faulty insulation or stator vibration</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Turbine</th>
<th>Vibration</th>
<th>Air Gap</th>
<th>Magnetic Flux</th>
<th>Process Values</th>
<th>Cavitation</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Turbine runner/blade problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wicket gate problems</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Turbine blade cavitation</td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>X</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.3 Maintenance

Air gap dimension along with rotor and stator shape cannot be effectively measured with the generator out of service because of the combined effects of centrifugal, thermal, and magnetic forces. Early detection of air gap anomalies will facilitate condition-based maintenance by providing the user with important machine data necessary to plan for repairs before scheduled outages. Long term trending of gap and shapes can be correlated with operating conditions and used in operational and rehabilitation planning. Knowing the rotor and stator shapes and minimum air gap dimensions provides the operator with the information needed to remove a machine from service before serious damage like that from a rotor-to-stator rub occurs. [6]

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

Failure modes that the condition monitoring system helps predict:

- Wicket gate shear pin failures
- Cavitation
- Blade and shaft cracks
- Bearing rub, fatigue and overload
- Insufficient bearing lubrication
- Mechanical unbalance or misalignment
- Seal and discharge ring distortion

Insulation breakdown is the ultimate failure in any power generation device. The following faults will lead to the eventual breakdown of insulation.

- Air gap reduction/rub
- Cooling fault
- Winding vibration
- Insulation aging (not directly measureable)

4.2 Analysis of Data

There are numerous software packages available to analyze data from condition monitoring sensors. The high speed data can only be analyzed with computer software that creates charts, calculates variables such as vibration frequencies, changes in air gap etc. Operators should also be trained to interpret the data and understand how the condition monitoring system functions. The operators will then learn to trust the data and use the data for the best local decisions for the plant.

4.3 Integrate Improvements

The best way to gain the benefits of a monitoring system is to take advantage of the economic opportunities offered by various modernization, refurbishment, and new projects to
introduce the system and to adapt maintenance practices accordingly. The monitoring system is a major input to a condition-based maintenance program and is a key contributor to capitalizing on high market prices.

The cost of the monitoring system is low compared with the cost of a new power plant. A new plant should automatically be equipped with a monitoring system to minimize maintenance outage periods and to help the unit owner to stay well-informed of the condition of the equipment. [7]

5.0 Information Sources:


STI Vibration Monitoring, *Eddy Current Transducer Installation*,
http://www.stiweb.com/appnotes/PDF%20Files/radial.pdf

Bruel & Kjaer, *Permanent Vibration monitoring on a Hydroelectric Generating Set*,


Orbit, *XY Measurements for Radial Position and Dynamic Motion in Hydro Turbine Generators*, Vol. 30 No. 1, 2010


Orbit, *Condition Monitoring for Hydro Machinery*, 2nd Quarter, 2004


Best Practice Catalog

Francis Turbine Aeration

Revision 1.0, 12/20/2011
Contents

1.0 Scope and Purpose ......................................................................................................................... 317
1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position ................................................................................................. 317
   1.1.1 Components of a Francis Turbine Aeration System ......................................................... 317
1.2 Summary of Best Practices ....................................................................................................... 321
   1.2.1 Best Practices Related to Performance/Efficiency and Capability .............................. 321
   1.2.2 Best Practices Related to Reliability and Operations & Maintenance ................... 321
1.3 Best Practice Cross-references ............................................................................................... 321

2.0 Technology Design Summary .................................................................................................. 322
   2.1 Technology Evolution ............................................................................................................. 322
   2.2 State of the Art Technology .................................................................................................... 323

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices ....................................................................................... 325
   3.1 Condition Assessment ............................................................................................................ 325
   3.2 Operations .............................................................................................................................. 326
   3.3 Maintenance .......................................................................................................................... 327

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring, and Analysis .......................................................................................... 327
   4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability ....................................................... 327
   4.2 Data Analyses ......................................................................................................................... 328
   4.3 Integrated Improvements ....................................................................................................... 329

5.0 Information Sources: ............................................................................................................... 329
1.0 Scope and Purpose

This best practice for Francis turbine aeration addresses the technology, condition assessment, operations, and maintenance best practices with the objective to maximize the unit performance and reliability. The primary purpose of a Francis turbine aeration system is to provide air into the turbine as a way of increasing the downstream dissolved oxygen (DO) level for environmental enhancement.

Hydropower plants likely to experience problems with low DO include those with a reservoir depth greater than 50 feet, power capacity greater than 10 MW, and a retention time greater than 10 days [3, 6]. In general, these include plants with watersheds yielding moderate to heavy amounts of organic sediments and located in a climate where thermal stratification isolates bottom water from oxygen-rich surface water. At the same time, organisms and substances in the water and sediments consume and lower the DO in the bottom layer. For plants with bottom intakes, this low DO water often creates problems downstream from the reservoir, including possible damage to aquatic habitat. Most of the hydropower plants experiencing problems with low DO have Francis turbines. Typically, the most cost-effective method for increasing the downstream DO level is to use some form of Francis turbine aeration [9, 11].

A Francis turbine aeration system’s design, operation, and maintenance provide the most significant impact to the efficiency, performance, and reliability for a hydro unit utilizing the system. Aerating Francis turbines can experience insignificant to moderate (approx. 0.2% - 1%) efficiency losses even without aeration due, for example, to baffles or thicker blades compared to conventional, non-aerating technology. Aerating Francis turbines can experience significant (3% to 10% or more) efficiency losses with aeration, depending on the amount of air introduced into the turbine and the locations where the air is introduced [1, 2, 3, 4]. Francis turbines aerating through existing vacuum breaker systems and Francis turbines retrofitted for aeration using hub baffles typically experience restrictions in both capacity and flexibility that can significantly reduce generation [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 9, 11, 12].

1.1 Hydropower Taxonomy Position

Hydropower Facility → Powerhouse → Power Train Equipment → Turbine → Francis Turbine → Aeration Devices (Francis Turbine Aeration System)

1.1.1 Components of a Francis Turbine Aeration System

A Francis turbine aeration system can be either active or passive in design. An active design includes some type of motorized blower or compressor to force air into the turbine for mixing with water in the turbine and/or draft tube. The far more common passive design emphasized in this best practice typically includes either (1) additions or modifications to the turbine runner or draft tube to create zones of localized low pressure and draw atmospheric air into the turbine (see hub baffles in Figure 1) or (2) a turbine runner specifically designed for aeration (see Figure 2). The components of a Francis turbine aeration system affecting performance and reliability typically consist of air intakes, air flow instrumentation, sound mufflers, control valves, and air supply piping, as shown in Figure 3.
Figure 1: Photograph of Francis Turbine with Hub Baffles and Diagrams Showing Streamlined and Flat Plate Baffles [6]

Figure 2: Sectional View of Francis Turbine with Central Aeration (Red, Vacuum Breaker; Blue, Shaft), Peripheral Aeration (Yellow), and Distributed Aeration (Green) [9]
Air Intakes: Properly designed air intakes, typically bellmouths, reduce the noise levels associated with the air flow and reduce pressure losses in the aeration system, which increases air flow through the aeration system. If a standard nozzle design is used for the intake or if the intake is properly calibrated, the intake can also be used for air flow measurement (see Figure 4), which is discussed in the following section.

Air Flow Instrumentation: A variety of technologies can be used for air flow measurements, including bellmouth inlets, Venturi meters, orifice plates, air velocity traverses (typically using a Pitot-static tube or hot-film anemometer), calibrated elbow meters (calibrate off-site with appropriate upstream piping or calibrate in place with velocity traverses), and calibrated single-point velocity measurements (calibrate off-site with appropriate upstream piping or calibrate in place with velocity traverses). The following instruments may also be required for air flow measurements, depending on the type of air flow meters selected for the aeration system:

- Manometers, mechanical differential pressure gages, or electronic differential pressure cells (preferred);
- Thermometers, thermistors, RTDs, or thermocouples for air temperature measurements at primary flow elements;
- Barometer, mechanical pressure gage, or electronic pressure cell for air pressure measurements at primary flow elements; and
- Psychrometer or other means to determine relative humidity at primary flow elements.

Figure 3: Typical Configuration for a Francis Turbine Aeration System [7]
Detailed instructions, equations, and charts useful for understanding air flow measurements are provided in ASME 1983 [14] and Almquist et al. 2009 [15]. Although the performance test code for turbines and pump-turbines, ASME PTC 18-2011 [16], does not currently include turbine aeration systems, a revision addressing aeration systems is underway [13].

**Sound Mufflers:** The function of the sound mufflers is to reduce the noise levels associated with air flows into the Francis turbine aeration system. A properly designed muffler will reduce noise to a safe level without significantly decreasing the air flow.

**Control Valves:** The control valves are used to turn on or shut off the air flows into a Francis turbine aeration system or to regulate the amount of air flow in the system. Control valves may be manually operated, remotely operated, or integrated into the plant’s control system.

**Air Supply Piping:** The Francis Turbine Best Practice discusses the role of the vacuum breaker system for drawing in atmospheric air at low gate openings to reduce vibration and rough operation. Due to the air piping sizes in typical vacuum breaker systems, a retrofitted vacuum breaker system, even with the addition of hub baffles, rarely supplies enough air to produce a significant increase in downstream DO. Both retrofitted aeration systems and aerating turbines typically require additional air supply piping, as shown in Figures 2 and 3.
1.2 Summary of Best Practices

1.2.1 Best Practices Related to Performance/Efficiency and Capability

Best practices related to performance/efficiency and capability are similar to the Francis Turbine Best Practice, with the addition of aerating operation:

- Establish accurate current unit performance characteristics and limits under both aerating and non-aerating conditions through periodic testing [13, 16].

- Disseminate accurate unit performance characteristics under both aerating and non-aerating conditions to unit operators, local and remote control systems, decision support systems, and other personnel and offices that influence unit dispatch or generation performance.

- Conduct real-time monitoring and periodic analyses of unit performance under both aerating and non-aerating conditions at Current Performance Level (CPL) to detect and mitigate deviations from expected efficiency for the Installed Performance Level (IPL) due to degradation or instrument malfunction.

- Periodically compare the CPL under both aerating and non-aerating conditions to the Potential Performance Level (PPL) under both aerating and non-aerating conditions to trigger feasibility studies of major upgrades.

- Maintain documentation of IPL under both aerating and non-aerating conditions and update when modification to the equipment (e.g., hydraulic profiling, draft tube slot fillers, unit upgrade) or the aeration system (e.g., additional air piping, modifications to hub baffles or draft tube baffles, aerating unit upgrade) is made.

1.2.2 Best Practices Related to Reliability and Operations & Maintenance

- Use ASTM A487 / A743 CA6NM stainless steel to manufacture Francis turbine runners to maximize resistance to cavitation, and cavitation-enhanced corrosion.

- Clad aeration discharge areas with stainless steel to mitigate cavitation-enhanced corrosion.

- Monitor trends for air flows under similar operating conditions to detect aeration system problems.

- Routinely inspect air intakes, mufflers, air piping, and air outlets and remove any obstructing debris for optimal performance.

1.3 Best Practice Cross-references

- I&C - Automation Best Practice

- Mechanical – Francis Turbine Best Practice
2.0 Technology Design Summary

2.1 Technology Evolution

In the 1950s, turbine venting through the vacuum breaker system was introduced in Wisconsin to reduce the water quality impact of discharges from the pulp and paper industry and from municipal sewage systems. Research was also conducted in Europe to develop turbine designs that would boost dissolved oxygen levels in water passing through low head turbines. By 1961, turbine aeration systems were operating in the U.S. at eighteen hydroplants on the Flambeau, Lower Fox, and Wisconsin. During the late 1970s and early 1980s, the Tennessee Valley Authority (TVA) developed small, streamlined baffles, called hub baffles, which reduced energy losses while increasing air flows and operating range for aeration. The hub baffles installed at TVA’s Norris Project (see Figure 1) provided DO uptakes averaging 2 to 3 mg/L with typical efficiency losses of 1 to 2% [1].

During the mid-1980s, Voith Hydro Inc. and TVA invested in a joint research partnership to develop improved hydro turbine designs for enhancing DO concentrations in releases from Francis-type turbines. Scale models, numerical models, and full-scale field tests were used in an extensive effort to validate aeration concepts and quantify key parameters affecting aeration performance. Specially-shaped geometries for turbine components were developed and refined to enhance low pressures at appropriate locations, allowing air to be drawn into an efficiently absorbed bubble cloud as a natural consequence of the design and minimizing power losses due to the aeration. TVA’s Norris Project, which was scheduled for unit upgrades, was selected as the first site to demonstrate these “auto-venting” or “self-aerating” turbine technologies. The two Norris aerating units contain options to aerate the flow through central, distributed, and peripheral air outlets, as shown in Figure 2.

The successful demonstration of multiple technologies for turbine aeration at TVA’s Norris Project in 1995 has helped to develop market acceptance for aerating turbines. Major turbine manufacturers who currently offer aerating turbines include ALSTOM, American Hydro, Andritz, and Voith Hydro.

Performance levels for aerating turbine designs can be stated at three levels as follows:

- The Installed Performance Level (IPL) is described by the unit performance characteristics at the time of commissioning, under aerating and non-aerating conditions. These may be determined from reports and records of efficiency and/or model testing conducted prior to and during unit commissioning.

- The Current Performance Level (CPL) is described by an accurate set of unit performance characteristics determined by unit efficiency and air flow testing, under aerating and non-aerating conditions. This requires the simultaneous measurement of water flow, air flow, head, and power under a range of operating conditions, as specified in the standards referenced in this document [14, 15, 16].

- Determination of the Potential Performance Level (PPL) typically requires reference to new aerating turbine design information from the turbine manufacturer to establish
the achievable unit performance characteristics of the replacement turbine under aerating and non-aerating conditions.

2.2 State of the Art Technology

For aerating Francis turbines, turbine efficiencies under aerating and non-aerating conditions are the most important factor in an assessment to determine rehabilitation and replacement, as well as proper operation.

When properly designed, hub baffles typically reduce efficiency by 0.5% to 1% without aeration and 5% or more with aeration, depending on the air flows [1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 9]. In the cross-section through an aerating Francis turbine shown in Figure 2, central aeration through the turbine’s vacuum breaker system is shown in red, and central aeration through the shaft is shown in blue. Using an existing vacuum breaker system is typically the aeration option with the lowest initial cost. However, central aeration has the largest effect on unit efficiency, and the capacity and operational range for the turbine may be severely limited [1, 2, 3, 11, 12].

Figure 2 also shows peripheral aeration in yellow and distributed aeration through the trailing edges of the turbine blades in green. Distributed aeration often has the smallest effect on unit efficiency and the highest oxygen transfer into the water (i.e., increased DO), followed by peripheral aeration [11, 12]. For example, a recent study compared the central, peripheral, and distributed aeration systems needed to provide a 5 mg/L DO increase for a plant in the southern USA [12]. In the vicinity of the maximum efficiency, the predicted air flow requirements (i.e., void fraction in %) for central, peripheral, and distributed aeration systems were 7.2%, 6.9%, and 6.5%, respectively. The corresponding efficiency decreases (i.e., non-aerating efficiency minus aerating efficiency, in %) were greater than 10%, 7.4%, and 3.4%, respectively. These predictions are consistent with field test results reported for other sites [6, 8, 11].

In another example, aerating and non-aerating performance testing was conducted according to ASME PTC-18 standards [16] at a hydro plant with multiple types of aerating runners, including two eighty-years-old original runners retrofitted for central aeration, two modern runners installed in 2002 and designed for central aeration, and four state of the art runners installed in 2008 with distributed aeration (see Figure 5) through the trailing edges of the runners [11].

Figure 6 shows the aerating and non-aerating turbine efficiencies versus turbine outputs for the three unit types at this plant, operating at a net head of 95 ft. The turbine efficiencies have been normalized to the maximum measured efficiency of the most efficient unit. Note the relative efficiencies for the three unit types, the relative effects of aeration on efficiency for central and distributed aeration systems, and the relative amounts of air aspirated by the three unit types. Under non-aerating operation, the 2008 replacement runners (distributed aeration) have the highest peak efficiency, with both the original turbines (retrofitted central aeration) and the 2002 replacement runners (designed central aeration) about 4% lower. Under aerating
Figure 5: State of the Art Aerating Turbine with Distributed Aeration

Figure 6: Normalized Turbine Efficiencies versus Turbine Output for Three Unit Types [11]
operation, peak efficiencies for the 2008 replacement runners, the 2002 replacement runners, and the retrofitted original units drop by about 2.5%, 7%, and 2% (with very low air flows for the retrofitted original units), respectively. Air flow to water flow ratio ranges under aerating operation for the 2008 replacement runners, the 2002 replacement runners, and the retrofitted original runners are 5.0% - 7.4%, 2.7% - 4.4%, and 0% - 3.1%.

The operational challenges for efficient power operation and effective environmental operation of the plant’s eight units under non-aerating and aerating conditions, over a range of heads, and with rapid load swings are apparent, emphasizing the importance of proper control system design.

3.0 Operation & Maintenance Practices

3.1 Condition Assessment

After the commercial operation begins, how an aerating Francis turbine is operated and maintained will have a major impact on reducing efficiency losses and maintaining reliability. Materials for turbine runners are usually cast iron, steel, or stainless steel. As a best practice, the most common material being used today for new state of the art runners is ASTM A487/A743 CA6NM stainless steel (see Francis Turbine Best Practice).

Aeration systems for Francis turbines can take the form of more complex and more energy-consumptive active systems, such as motorized blowers, to the less complex passive systems, such as baffles and aerating runner designs. Focusing on the most common aeration system designs (i.e., passive systems), a simple condition assessment includes inspections of the air intakes, the air discharge passages in the turbine, the dissolved oxygen monitoring equipment, and any observable cavitation or corrosion-related damage that might affect normal operation. A decrease in the expected dissolved oxygen uptake in the waterway downstream from the plant is a good indicator of degradation in the condition of the aeration device.

A comprehensive condition assessment for a Francis turbine aeration system requires information on:

(1) the plant’s environmental and regulatory environment, including
   • incoming DO, TDG, and water temperature levels throughout the year
   • measurement locations and methods for incoming DO, TDG, and temperature
     (typically, multiple locations in penstock or spiral case)
   • regulatory requirements for downstream DO, TDG, and temperature
   • measurement locations and methods for downstream DO, TDG, and temperature
   • record of compliance and non-compliance;

(2) the plant’s operational environment, including
• daily and seasonal operational patterns
• typical tailwater range during periods of aeration
• other restrictions affecting operations (e.g., rough zones, special requirements for functioning of aeration systems);

(3) details of the specific aeration system, including
• type of aeration system (e.g., vacuum breaker, hub baffles, central, peripheral, distributed, multiple methods)
• diameters and lengths of aeration piping
• control valve characteristics;

(4) environmental and hydraulic performance of the specific aeration system, including
• pressures at aeration outlets over the operational range
• head losses for the aeration piping
• air flows through the aeration piping as a function of tailwater elevation, water flow, and control valve position
• turbine efficiency without aeration as a function of power and head
• turbine efficiency with aeration as a function of power, head, and air flow
• DO uptake over the range of operational conditions
• Corresponding TDG levels over the range of operational conditions.

3.2 Operations
Because aerating Francis turbines typically have a narrow operating range for peak efficiency (see Figure 6, for example), it is extremely important to proved plant operators or automated control systems with accurate operating curves for the units under aerating and non-aerating conditions. The curves usually originate from the manufacturer’s model test data and from post-installation performance testing. Because turbine performance can degrade over time, periodic performance testing must be carried out to determine unit efficiencies and to update the performance curves used for operational decisions. The ten-year testing cycle recommended in the Francis Turbine Best Practice is typically appropriate.

Francis turbine aeration systems may be operated manually or the operation may be integrated into a plant’s control system. The detailed aeration instrumentation and controls are site-specific. Aeration systems are often operated conservatively to ensure that environmental requirements for DO levels are maintained. However, this can lead to higher levels of total dissolved gases (TDG), as well as unnecessary efficiency losses due to excessive air flows into the turbine. Some sites have TDG environmental requirements in
addition to DO requirements, and the TDG requirements can have an additional negative impact on plant operation and further reduce overall plant efficiency.

3.3 Maintenance

For Francis turbine aeration systems, all air flow intakes and passageways must be clean and free from obstructions to operate properly. Normal maintenance of a Francis turbine aeration system includes periodic inspection (during routine inspections) and testing of components to ensure that the aeration system is operating according to design. Areas adjacent to the air discharge locations in the turbine or draft tube must be monitored for damage due to cavitation-influenced corrosion. As a best practice, the area surrounding the air discharge locations should be clad with stainless steel to mitigate damage.

The associated instrumentation for Francis turbine aeration systems, including incoming DO levels, compliance point DO levels, air flow rates, air valve control, and air valve positions, must be calibrated and maintained in good working order. Instrumentation for hydraulic performance data, including unit water flow rates, headwater elevations, tailwater elevations, and unit powers, must also be calibrated and maintained in good working order. Data on incoming DO levels, air valve positions, air flow rates, and air temperatures should be recorded at time intervals that can be correlated with other relevant plant data. As a best practice, hydraulic performance data and environmental performance data (incoming DO levels, compliance point DO levels, compliance point TDG levels, unit air flow rates, air temperatures) should be simultaneously recorded and stored in a common database.

4.0 Metrics, Monitoring, and Analysis

4.1 Measures of Performance, Condition, and Reliability

The fundamental performance measurement for a hydro turbine is described by the efficiency equation, which is defined as the ratio of the power delivered by the turbine to the power of the water passing through the turbine. The general expression for this efficiency (\( \eta \)) is

\[
\eta = \frac{P}{\rho g Q H}
\]

where \( P \) is the output power, \( \rho \) is the density of water, \( g \) is the acceleration of gravity, \( Q \) is the water flow rate through the turbine, and \( H \) is the head across the unit [16].

The condition of an aerating Francis turbine can be monitored by the Condition Indicator (CI) as defined according to the HAP Condition Assessment Manual [10].

Unit reliability characteristics, as judged by the unit’s availability for generation, can be monitored by use of the North American Electric Reliability Corporation (NERC) performance indicators, such as Equivalent Availability Factor (EAF) and Equivalent Forced Outage Factor (EFOR), which are used universally by the power industry. However, hydro plant owners typically do not designate whether or not their Francis are aeration-capable and do not differentiate between aerating and non-aerating operation.
4.2 Data Analyses

The key measurements for hydraulic performance include headwater elevation, $H_{HW}$ (ft); tailwater elevation, $H_{TW}$ (ft); water flow rate through Unit N without aeration, $Q_N$ (cfs); power output for Unit N without aeration, $P_{ON}$; and $T_H$, the measurement timestamp for hydraulic data. The key measurements for environmental performance include incoming DO level for Unit N, $L_{DON}$ (mg/L); incoming TDG level for Unit N, $L_{TDGN}$ (%); incoming water temperature, $F_{WTN}$ (degrees F); downstream DO level for plant at the compliance location, $L_{DOC}$ (mg/L); downstream TDG level, $L_{TDGC}$ (%) at the compliance location; and downstream water temperature, $F_{WTC}$ (degrees F), at the compliance location; air flow rate through Unit N, $Q_{AN}$ (cfs); water flow rate through Unit N with aeration, $Q_{NA}$ (cfs); power output for Unit N with aeration, $P_{ONA}$; and $T_E$, the measurement timestamp for environmental data. Measurements can be near real-time or periodic (hourly, daily), depending on the site details, license requirements, and operational requirements.

The unit efficiency $\eta_N$ (nondimensional) for operation without aeration is:

$$\eta_N = \frac{P_{ON}}{K \rho g Q_N (H_{HW} - H_{TW})/(1,000,000)}$$

where $K$ is a dimensional constant, $\rho$ is the density of water at Unit N, and $g$ is the acceleration of gravity at Unit N. For most cases, using $K \rho g = 84.5$ provides satisfactory results.

The unit efficiency $\eta_{NA}$ (nondimensional) for operation with aeration is:

$$\eta_{NA} = \frac{P_{ONA}}{K \rho g Q_N (H_{HW} - H_{TW})/(1,000,000)}$$

References provide detailed guidance on performing the key hydraulic measurements [16] and the key environmental measurements [15].

The unit efficiency loss due to aeration is equal to $\eta_N$ minus $\eta_{NA}$ for a given power level. However, detailed data analyses are required to determine what portion of these efficiency losses are avoidable, due to over-aeration, suboptimization, etc., and to compute the associated revenue losses. In general, aeration-induced efficiency losses greater than 3% warrant further investigation. The costs associated with the aeration-induced efficiency losses, capacity losses, and reductions in operational flexibility should be established for comparison with the associated revenue losses and used to optimize aeration operations and to evaluate and justify new aeration systems, including turbine replacements.

The condition assessment of an aerating Francis turbine is quantified through the CI, as described in the HAP Condition Assessment Manual [10]. The overall CI is a composite of the CI derived from each component of the turbine. This methodology can be applied periodically to derive a CI snapshot of the current turbine condition so that it can be monitored over time and studied to determine condition trends that can impact performance and reliability.

The reliability of a unit as judged by its availability to generate can be monitored through reliability indexes or performance indicators as derived according to NERC’s Appendix F, *Performance Indexes and Equations* [17].
4.3 Integrated Improvements

Data on lost efficiency, lost capacity, and operational restrictions due to Francis turbine aeration systems can be used to quantify lost revenues from generation and ancillary services, and the economic losses can be used to evaluate and justify funding for aeration system improvements, including turbine replacement.

The periodic field test results should be used to update the unit operating characteristics and limits. Optimally, the updated results would be integrated into an automated control system. If an automated control system is not available, hard copies of the updated curves and limits should be made available to all relevant personnel, particularly unit operators, and the importance of the updated results should be emphasized, discussed, and confirmed.
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